

# A NOTE ON SOLVING MATRIX EQUATIONS USING THE VEC OPERATOR\*

by

J. B. Kadane<sup>1</sup>, H. V. Henderson<sup>2</sup>, and S. R. Searle  
Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

## Abstract

Solving linear matrix equations in an unknown matrix  $X$  is discussed in terms of Kronecker products and the vec operator.

### 1. Introduction

Many special cases of the general matrix equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k A_i X B_i + \sum_{j=1}^l D_j X' E_j = C \quad (1)$$

are considered in the literature, especially cases with  $l = 0$ ; e.g., Lancaster [1970], Rao and Mitra [1971] and Wimmer and Ziebur [1972], and the special case,  $A X + X B = C$ , reviewed by Hartwig [1975]. Other special cases with  $l \neq 0$  are  $A'X \pm X'A = C$ , discussed by Hodges [1957]. In this note we derive an explicit solution to (1) and indicate its application to Hodges' special cases, suggesting, in so doing, that some of his limitations can be relaxed.

---

\* Paper No. BU-626-M in the Biometrics Unit Mimeo Series, Cornell University.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Statistics, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

<sup>2</sup> Supported by a New Zealand National Research Advisory Council Post-Graduate Fellowship.

Our approach is a generalization of Hartwig [1975] to solve (1) for  $\underline{X}$  by using Kronecker products and the vec operation of matrices so as to rewrite (1) in vector form  $\underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{b}$  with solutions  $\underline{x} = \underline{A}^{-}\underline{b} + (\underline{I} - \underline{A}^{-}\underline{A})\underline{z}$  for arbitrary  $\underline{z}$ , and  $\underline{A}^{-}$  being a generalized inverse of  $\underline{A}$  such that  $\underline{A}\underline{A}^{-}\underline{A} = \underline{A}$ . To do this, recall the definition of the Kronecker product of two matrices  $\underline{A}_{\underline{m} \times \underline{n}}$  and  $\underline{B}_{\underline{p} \times \underline{q}}$  as being the  $\underline{mp} \times \underline{nq}$  matrix  $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B} = \{a_{ij}B\}$  for  $i = 1 \dots m$  and  $j = 1 \dots n$ . And, for  $\underline{X}_{\underline{m} \times \underline{n}}$  partitioned as  $\underline{X} = [\underline{x}_1 \dots \underline{x}_n]$  with  $\underline{x}_j$  being the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column, the vec operator as defined, for example, by Neudecker [1969] gives

$$\text{vec}\underline{X} = [\underline{x}'_1 \ \underline{x}'_2 \ \dots \ \underline{x}'_n]' , \quad (2)$$

so that  $\text{vec}\underline{X}$  is the column vector consisting of the columns of  $\underline{X}$  stacked as a single column. Then, as shown by MacCrae [1974],

$$\text{vec}\underline{X}' = \underline{I}_{(n,m)} \text{vec}\underline{X} \quad (3)$$

where  $\underline{I}_{(m,n)}$  is the  $mn \times mn$  permuted identity matrix with its  $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$   $m \times n$  sub-matrix having 1 in position  $(j,i)$  and 0 elsewhere. Furthermore,

$$\text{vec}\underline{ABC} = (\underline{C}' \otimes \underline{A}) \text{vec}\underline{B} \quad (4)$$

and

$$(\underline{B} \otimes \underline{A}) = \underline{I}_{(m,p)} (\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}) \underline{I}_{(q,n)} , \quad (5)$$

as given in Neudecker [1969] and MacCrae [1974], respectively.

## 2. The Vector Solution

We solve (1) by applying to it the vec operator defined in (2), together with (3) and (4), to get

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^k (\underline{B}'_i \otimes \underline{A}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^l (\underline{E}'_j \otimes \underline{D}_j) \underline{I}_{(n,m)} \right] \text{vec} \underline{X} = \text{vec} \underline{C} . \quad (6)$$

Then defining  $\underline{G}$  as the matrix pre-multiplying  $\text{vec} \underline{X}$ , gives (6) as

$$\underline{G} \text{vec} \underline{X} = \text{vec} \underline{C} . \quad (7)$$

The existence and uniqueness of a solution  $\text{vec} \underline{X}$  to (7) now determines the existence and uniqueness of a solution  $\underline{X}$ , of given dimensions, to (1). It is clear that (7) has a solution if and only if  $\underline{G}$  and the augmented matrix  $[\underline{G} : \text{vec} \underline{C}]$  have the same rank. When this condition holds, both (1) and (7) are consistent and solutions to (7) are, for arbitrary  $\underline{Z}$  of the same order as  $\underline{X}$ ,

$$\text{vec} \underline{X} = \underline{G}^{-1} \text{vec} \underline{C} + [\underline{I} - \underline{G}^{-1} \underline{G}] \text{vec} \underline{Z} . \quad (8)$$

Defining  $\text{vec}^{-1}$  as the inverse vec operator which re-forms  $\underline{X}$  from  $\text{vec} \underline{X}$  so that  $\text{vec}^{-1}(\text{vec} \underline{X}) = \underline{X}$ , we have explicit solutions for  $\underline{X}$  from (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{X} &= \text{vec}^{-1}(\underline{G}^{-1} \text{vec} \underline{C} + [\underline{I} - \underline{G}^{-1} \underline{G}] \text{vec} \underline{Z}) , \\ &= \text{vec}^{-1}(\underline{G}^{-1} \text{vec} \underline{C} + [\underline{I} - \underline{G}^{-1} \underline{G}] \underline{z}) , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $\underline{z}$  is an arbitrary vector. When  $\underline{G}$  is non-singular the solution is unique:

$$\underline{X} = \text{vec}^{-1}(\underline{G}^{-1} \text{vec} \underline{C}) .$$

### 3. The equations $\underline{A}' \underline{X} \pm \underline{X}' \underline{A} = \underline{C}$

Hodges [1957] develops solutions for the pair of equations

$$\underline{A}' \underline{X} \pm \underline{X}' \underline{A} = \underline{C} \quad (10)$$

treating them as two distinct equations, a distinction we find to be unnecessary.

Also, his solutions are confined to having  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  non-singular and all matrices square. We extend this to  $\underline{\underline{X}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  being rectangular of order  $m \times n$  with  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  having full column rank, whereupon  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  has a left inverse,  $\underline{\underline{L}} = (\underline{\underline{A}}'\underline{\underline{A}})^{-1}\underline{\underline{A}}'$ , say (which is also a generalized inverse of  $\underline{\underline{A}}$ ). Then, on rewriting (10) in the form of (6) and using (5) and the identity  $\underline{\underline{I}}_{(m,n)}\underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,m)} = \underline{\underline{I}}_{mn}$  given by MacCrae [1974], (10) reduces to (7) with

$$\underline{\underline{G}} = [\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}](\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{A}}') . \quad (11)$$

For the solution (9) we need  $\underline{\underline{G}}^-$ , which is obtainable in this case by noticing that for  $k$  a positive integer  $[\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}]^k = 2^{k-1}[\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}]$ , because  $\underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}^2 = \underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2}$ . Then, since  $\underline{\underline{L}}\underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{\underline{I}}$ , a generalized inverse of (11) is

$$\underline{\underline{G}}^- = (\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{A}}')^{-1}[\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}]^- = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{L}}')[\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}] .$$

Furthermore, for (9)

$$\underline{\underline{G}}^-\underline{\underline{G}} = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{L}}')[\underline{\underline{I}}_{n^2} \pm \underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,n)}]^2(\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{A}}') = \frac{1}{2}[(\underline{\underline{I}}_n \otimes \underline{\underline{L}}'\underline{\underline{A}}') \pm (\underline{\underline{A}}' \otimes \underline{\underline{L}}')\underline{\underline{I}}_{(n,m)}] ,$$

and substituting into (9) gives, after repeated use of (3), (4) and (5) and

$$\underline{\underline{C}} = \pm \underline{\underline{C}}' ,$$

$$\underline{\underline{X}} = \frac{1}{2}[\underline{\underline{L}}'\underline{\underline{C}} + 2\underline{\underline{Z}} - \underline{\underline{L}}'(\underline{\underline{A}}'\underline{\underline{Z}} \pm \underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{A}})] \quad (12)$$

as solutions to (10) where  $\underline{\underline{Z}}$  is an arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrix, provided the consistency condition, referred to earlier, holds true.

When  $\underline{\underline{X}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  are square with  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  non-singular (the case considered by Hodges),  $\underline{\underline{L}} = \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}$  and so (12) simplifies to

$$\underline{\underline{X}} = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1})'\underline{\underline{C}} + \underline{\underline{Z}} \mp \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}'\underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{A}} . \quad (13)$$

Hodges writes his solutions as  $\underline{X} = \underline{P}'(\pm \underline{K} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{Q}'\underline{C}\underline{Q})\underline{Q}^{-1}$  with  $\underline{K} = \mp \underline{K}'$  but otherwise arbitrary, and  $\underline{P}\underline{A}\underline{Q} = \underline{I}$  with  $\underline{P}$  and  $\underline{Q}$  non-singular. This simplifies to  $\underline{X} = \frac{1}{2}\underline{A}^{-1}\underline{C} \pm \underline{P}'\underline{K}\underline{Q}^{-1}$ , which generates the arbitrary part of the solution in a different manner to that in (13), the relationship between the two being  $\pm \underline{2K} = \underline{P}'^{-1}\underline{Z}\underline{Q} \mp \underline{Q}'\underline{Z}'\underline{P}^{-1}$ , with  $\underline{K} = \mp \underline{K}'$  as required by Hodges.

### References

- Hartwig, R. E. [1975].  $AX - XB = C$ , resultants and generalized inverses. SIAM J. Applied Math. 28:154-183.
- Hodges, J. H. [1957]. Some matrix equations over a finite field. Annali di Matematica XLIV:245-250.
- Lancaster, P. [1970]. Explicit solutions of linear matrix equations. SIAM Review 12:544-566.
- MacCrae, E. C. [1974]. Matrix derivatives with an application to an adaptive linear decision problem. Annals of Statistics 2:337-346.
- Neudecker, H. [1969]. Some theorems on matrix derivatives in multivariate analysis. JASA 62:607-625.
- Rao, C. R. and Mitra, S. K. [1971]. Further contributions to the theory of generalized inverse of matrices and its applications. Sankhyā 33:289-300.
- Wimmer, H. and Ziebur, A. D. [1972]. Solving the matrix equation  $\sum_{p=1}^r f_p(A)Xg_p(B) = C$ . SIAM Review 14:318-323.