TESTING NON-TESTABLE HYPOTHESES IN LINEAR MODELS: A CORRECTION

S. R. Searle

Biometrics Unit, Cornell University Ithaca, New York

March, 1974

Abstract

Inconsistent statements in Searle [1971] about testing non-testable hypotheses are corrected.

In the linear model $y = x_{2} + e$ the F-statistic for testing H: K'b = m is

 $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{s}\hat{\sigma}^2 \tag{1}$

where

and

$$Q = (\underbrace{K'}_{\sim} \underbrace{b^{\circ}}_{\sim} - \underbrace{m}_{\sim})' (\underbrace{K'}_{\sim} \underbrace{GK'}_{\sim})^{-1} (\underbrace{K'}_{\sim} \underbrace{b^{\circ}}_{\sim} - \underbrace{m}_{\sim}) .$$
(2)

These results are derived in Searle [1971], hereafter referred to simply as LM, at Sec. 5.5b.

Suppose we define a hypothesis

H:
$$\underline{K}' \underline{b} = \underline{m}$$
 as H: $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{K}'_{1} \underline{b} \\ \underline{k}' \underline{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{m}_{1} \\ \underline{m}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$ (3)

or more generally as

H:
$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{1}'b \\ K_{2}'b \\ \kappa_{2}'b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{1} \\ m_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

BU-501-M

where $K_{1,\infty}^{i,b}$ is estimable and $K_{2,\infty}^{i,b}$ is not [in (3) $k_{\infty}^{i,b}$ is not].

Exercise 7 and the end of Section 5d of Chapter 5 of LM suggest that the F-statistic for testing (3) is indistinguishable from that for testing just its testable part H_1 : $K_1'b = m_1$. This suggestion is false, as pointed out by Hinkelmann [1972]. It is also contrary to the general result of Sec. 5.5e that when H: K'b = m is a non-testable hypothesis the F-statistic calculated by (2) is identical to that for testing H: K'Hb = m where H = GX'X. This general result is proved in Sec. 5.5e and is correct.

Without reference to the correct general result we show the falsity of the false suggestion; i.e., we show that the F-statistic for the non-testable hypothesis (4) is not the F-statistic for its testable part H_1 : $K_1^{i}b = m_1$.

Recall that $\underline{K'b}$ being estimable is only a sufficient condition for $(\underline{K'GK})^{-1}$ and hence Q of (2) to exist. Estimability is not a necessary condition. Hence whenever $\underline{K} = (\underline{K_1} \underline{K_2})$ of (4) is such that $(\underline{K'GK})^{-1}$ exists Q can be calculated even if $\underline{K'b}$ is not estimable. Then from (2) it is clear that

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} K_{1}^{i}b^{\circ} - m_{1} \\ K_{2}^{i}b^{\circ} - m_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{i} \begin{bmatrix} K_{1}^{i}GK_{1} & K_{1}^{i}GK_{2} \\ K_{2}^{i}GK_{1} & \kappa_{1}^{i}GK_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} K_{1}^{i}b^{\circ} - m_{1} \\ K_{1}^{i}b^{\circ} - m_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

We assume that G is symmetric. If it is not, use GX'XG' in its place. Then the inverse matrix in Q is symmetric and since $K_1'b$ is estimable, $K_1'GK$ is nonsingular and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{$$

where

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{K}_{2}^{'}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{2} - \mathbf{K}_{2}^{'}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{1}(\mathbf{K}_{1}^{'}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{1})^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{1}^{'}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}_{2} .$$
 (6)

Substituting this in (5) gives

$$Q = (K_{1}^{'}b^{\circ} - m_{1})^{'}(K_{1}^{'}GK_{1})^{-1}(K_{1}^{'}b^{\circ} - m_{1}) + t^{'}W^{-1}t$$
(7)
for $t = K_{2}^{'}b^{\circ} - m_{2} - K_{2}^{'}GK_{1}(K_{1}^{'}GK_{1}^{'})^{-1}(K_{1}^{'}b^{\circ} - m_{1})$
 $= K_{2}^{'}b^{\circ} - m_{2} - K_{2}^{'}(b^{\circ} - b^{\circ}_{H_{1}})$
 $= K_{2}^{'}b^{\circ}_{H_{1}} - m_{2}$

where $b_{H_1}^{\circ}$ is the solution vector under the hypothesis H_1 : $K_1'b = m_1$ as in (72), LM p. 191. Hence in (7)

$$Q = Q_{1} + (K_{2}^{'} b_{H_{1}}^{\circ} - m_{2})^{'} W^{-1} (K_{2}^{'} b_{H_{1}}^{\circ} - m_{2})$$
(8)

for Q_1 being the numerator sum of squares for testing H_1 : $K_1'b = m_1$. Thus Q_1 , and hence the F-statistic for testing H of (4), is <u>not</u> the same as that for testing H_1 .

Numerical illustration

The illustration in Chapter 5 has the following characteristics

Ğ =	Го	0	0	0	,H =	Γο	0	0	0	, b ⁰	=	0	,b =	μ	
	0	13	0	0		1	1	0	0			100		α_1	
	0	0	12	0		1	0	l	0			86		α2	
	0	0	0	1		1	0	0	1			32		α _{3_}	

We consider the hypotheses of Exercise 7. First, the testable hypothesis

$$H_1: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$

for which

$$\mathbf{K}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{m}_{1} = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$K_{1}^{\prime}GK_{1} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = 5/6 \qquad K_{1}^{\prime}b^{0} - m_{1} = 100 - 86 - 0 = 14 \qquad (11)$$

-3-

and so

$$Q_1 = 14(5/6)^{-1}14 = 6(39.2) = 235.2$$
.

To test the non-testable hypothesis

H:
$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$

 $\alpha_1 = 110$,

the first part of which is the testable H_1 , we have

$$\begin{array}{c}
\underline{K}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \underline{m} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\underline{K}' \underline{G} \underline{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\underline{K}' \underline{b}^{\circ} - \underline{m} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 - 86 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{array}$$
(13)

Hence

$$Q = [14 -10](6/6) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix} = 2(14^2) + 5(-10)^2 + 2(-2)14(-10)$$
$$= 1452,$$
(14)

= 1452,

as derived by Dempfle [1974]. We confirm that the same value is given by (8):

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{H}_{1}}^{\circ} = \mathfrak{b}^{\circ} - \mathfrak{K}_{1}(\mathfrak{K}_{1}^{\prime}\mathfrak{K}_{1})^{-1}(\mathfrak{K}_{1}^{\prime}\mathfrak{b}^{\circ} - \mathfrak{m}_{1})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 86 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (5/6)^{-1}(14), \text{ using (9), (10) and (11)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 100 - 28/5 \\ 86 + 42/5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 94.4 \\ 94.4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(12)

From (13), $K_{2}^{i} = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$ and so

$$K_{2}^{\prime}b_{1}^{\circ} - m_{2} = 94.4 - 110 = -15.6$$
.

And in (6),

$$W = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}(5/6)^{-1}\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{15} = \frac{1}{5}$$
,

so that in (8), using (12)

$$Q = 235.2 + (-15.6)(1/5)^{-1}(-15.6) = 235.2 + 1216.8 = 1254$$

as in (14).

The general result can also be confirmed, that Q is the numerator sum of squares for the testable hypothesis H: K'Hb = m, which with K of (13) and hence

$$\mathbf{\tilde{K'H}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the hypothesis H: $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \\ \mu + \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{K}^{'} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{b}^{\circ} - \mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{14} \\ \mathbf{10} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{14} \\ -\mathbf{10} \end{bmatrix} \\ (\mathbf{K}^{'} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{H}^{'} \mathbf{K})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 5/6 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = (6/6) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Hence, from (2) the Q for H: K'Hb = m is

$$Q = [14 -10] \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix} = 1452$$

as in (14).

References

Dempfle, L. [1974]. Personal communication.

Hinkelmann, K. [1972]. Book review, of Searle [1971]. Jour. Statist. Computation and Simulation 1, 195-6.

Searle, S. R. [1971]. Linear Models, Wiley, N. Y.