January 1983

A.E. Res. 83-9

AN ANALYSIS OF STEM-HAUL WHOLESALE MILK ROUTE COSTS INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE FEDERAL ORDER NO. 2 70-MILE ZONE

by

Richard Aplin

Colette Hoffman

Department of Agricultural Economics Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences A Statutory College of the State University Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 14853

It is the policy of Cornell University actively to support equality of educational and employment opportunity. No person shall be denied admission to any educational program or activity or be denied employment on the basis of any legally prohibited discrimination involving, but not limited to, such factors as race, color, creed, religion, national or ethnic origin, sex, age or handicap. The University is committed to the maintenance of affirmative action programs which will assure the continuation of such equality of opportunity.

Preface

Richard Aplin is a Professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics at Cornell University and Colette Hoffman was an Agricultural Economist in the Office of the Market Administrator, New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area.

The research reported herein has been funded by the Market Administrator's Office, New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area. We wish to express our gratitude to Erik Rasmussen, Assistant Market Administrator, and Robert Wellington, Senior Agricultural Economist, who provided us with technical assistance.

We are also grateful for the helpful reviews of Dr. Norman Garber, Mae Liss, Elaine Moy, R. D. Aplin, Dr. Andrew Novakovic, Dr. Robert Story, and Jean Sehulster. Jean Schulster also contributed significantly by doing a very thorough editing job. The authors extend grateful thanks also to Wendy Barrett and Darlene Jennings for their excellent work in typing successive drafts of this manuscript.

The contents of this paper are the responsibility of the authors and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views or opinions of the Office of the Market Administrator, New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Area.

Copies of this report can be obtained from:

.

Dr. Richard Aplin Department of Agricultural Economics Cornell University New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Warren Hall, Room 305 Ithaca, New York 14853-0398

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ł

	Page
DIGEST AND HIGHLIGHTS	1
Major Objective of the Research	Ţ
Important Points About our Approach to Costs and Cost Comparisons	1
Results of Basic Comparison, Tables 1 to 4	2
In Summary	10
Sensitivity Analysis	10
Regression Analysis	12
DETAILED REPORT	13
Introduction	13
Background	13
Objective	14
Methodology	14
Route Scenarios	15
Labor Costs	20
Delivery Vehicle Costs	20
Basic Comparison Results	28
Sensitivíty Analysis	37
Order No. 2 Transportation Differentials	37
Plant-Specific Labor Costs	43
Inside Routes Using Straight-Chassis Vehicles and Commission Routemen	43
Differences in the Cost of Capital	46
Double-Bottom Tractor-Trailers	46
Regression Analysis	48
APPENDIX TABLES	50

DIGEST AND HIGHLIGHTS

Major Objective of the Research

The primary purpose of the study was to determine whether the changes in Federal Order No. 2 pricing provisions effective September 1, 1981 created a transportation cost advantage for plants outside the 1-70 mile zone which ship packaged milk into the 1-70 mile zone, compared to plants inside the 1-70 mile zone which ship bulk milk into the zone for packaging and distribution.

Important Points About Our Approach to Costs and Cost Comparisons

- 1. Differences in "stem-haul" costs incurred by handlers in transporting packaged milk were compared to differences in the Federal Order transportation differentials to see if a transportation-related advantage existed for hauling packaged milk into the 1-70 mile zone. "Stem-haul" begins after the packaged milk is loaded onto the delivery vehicle and ends when the delivery vehicle reaches the sales area. Only cost differences caused by location or distance were considered in the cost comparisons. Limiting the analysis to stem-haul costs eliminated, or at least reduced, the impact of any institutional complexities that are not transportation-related. Factors such as plant-cost differences, delivery labor contract provisions, customer service decisions and management skills were not included in the cost estimates.
- 2. A differential approach was used to budget cost components for the stem-haul portion of the various delivery routes studied. Using only differences in the stem-haul costs due to location isolated the impact of the Order provisions. The differential approach allowed for accurate cost comparisons to be made and eliminated arbitrary decisions such as what portion of fixed costs not distance-related should be allocated to the stem-haul. Each cost item was studied. A cost that did not differ significantly (that is, the difference in cost was too small to affect stem-haul costs for either handler) between the inside and the outside handler was not included in the stem-haul cost comparisons. If the cost varied significantly between the inside and the outside handler was added to the stem-haul cost of the handler, the <u>difference</u> in the cost item was added to the stem-haul cost of the handler bearing the higher cost.
- 3. Stem-haul costs were estimated for fluid processing plants at four different locations within the 1-70 mile zone (so-called "inside" plants) and for seven processing plants located outside the 1-70 mile zone (so-called "out-side" plants). One inside plant is located in New York and three in New Jersey. Four outside plants are located in Pennsylvania (two in the Order No. 2 76-80 mile zone which were pooled in Order No. 4; one in the 111-120 mile zone and one in the 121-125 mile zone). The other three outside plants are located in New York (one in the 131-140 mile zone; one in the 151-160 mile zone and one in the 171-175 mile zone). Furthermore, a cost estimate was made for each of these eleven plants relevant to each of seven different sales areas (two in South-Central New Jersey, three in North-Central New Jersey and two in Suburban New York). The appropriate Federal Order No. 2 transportation differentials or Federal Order No. 4 location adjustments for each of the eleven plants were added to the estimated stem-haul costs to provide the cost comparisons needed.

- 4. Stem-haul costs were developed using budgetary analysis of representative "prototype" routes which would serve large supermarket accounts (routes serving 5 or 6 customers with an average of 100 to 150 cases per delivery). To make realistic operating assumptions and cost estimates, data were obtained from the managements of three plants located inside the 1-70 mile zone and six plants located outside the 1-70 mile zone. In addition, the results of other Cornell research and the judgment of Cornell personnel were used.
- 5. Cost estimates were based on costs and prices paid during the winter of 1981-82.
- 6. Today's technology and currently-used handling methods were assumed. That is, the product was assumed to be unloaded by the routeman at the store, using a hand truck.

These additional points should be kept in mind in studying the summary tables presented in the following section:

- 1. Cost comparisons reflect the stem-haul transportation costs for packaged milk plus Order No. 2 transportation differentials or Order No. 4 location adjustments for the outside plants vs. the stem-haul transportation costs plus Order No. 2 transportation differentials for the inside plants.
- 2. The summary cost comparisons reflect the following assumptions:
 - a. Use of single-bottom tractor-trailers for delivery.
 - b. Hourly routemen at \$14.90 per hour including fringes for all handlers. (Using only one labor cost allowed cost comparisons that were neutral with respect to institutional factors.)
 - c. Estimates of representative average costs for vehicles.
 - d. A real cost of capital of 9%,
 - e. Federal Order No. 2 transportation differentials, or Federal Order No. 4 location adjustments, in the case of two plants presently pooled under Order No. 4, were added to the differential stem-haul cost calculations for comparison.
 - f. Only budgeted routes which met U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety regulations for driving and total work day hours are included in the comparison.
 - g. The stem-haul costs for routes originating in Fultonville, New York, with more than 680 cases were calculated assuming one driver and one helper on each route (as required by the union contract). If we had assumed one driver, these routes would have been eliminated from the analysis, because they would exceed Department of Transportation regulations of 15 hours per day. Fultonville is the only location, to our knowledge, that employs this type of delivery practice; therefore, assuming two men per route for this handler allowed us to include this type of milk movement in the comparisons.

Results of Basic Comparison, Tables 1 to 4

The results of the basic stem-haul cost analysis are presented in Tables I through 4 which follow. For a detailed discussion of these results, see page 28.

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	versge a andlera ily 10.0	iávunca Vould Cents	aversge advadcage of approximately 21.0 cd handlers would have a transportation-cost only 10.0 centa per hundredweight.	norimutel mspurtat eduction	average advantage of approximately 21.0 c. handlers would have a transportation-cost only 10.0 cents per hundredweight.	cence per fu it advantage	r hmdr	adueight. adueight. ouly 61% of	In 72% of the cases studied, the inside mandler had a transportation-cost sevenuage in sevenus angle supermation actual actual actual determined and seven actual determined and seven actual determined and seven actual actual determined and seven actual determined and seven actual actual actual actual actual actual actual determined actual a	age ar e 1 piants perisone	revis Refer Vitta Vitta	larke duper Liced in Fe n averoye a	supermarket arcounts with an in Federai Order No. 2. insi ske advæntage of	es wirth an D. Z. Inside
0 मि के थि भा (7 मे के 91	Generally, the larger the advantage of the l weight basis declines size increases, there	ុំ ក្រឹះ ក្រុងស្រុ ខេរុង ៨ ខេរុង ៨ ខេរុង ខេរុង	Generally, the larger the load size, the the advantage or the larger the disadvant weight busis declines for higger loads where increases there are tewer feasible	che load alze, the arger the diandwan for higger loade w are rewer feasible	fre, the diandvant loade wh feasible	, कल्प्रा, क्र भुषे , भुक्ति प्रि, , भुक्ति प्रि, , भुक्ति, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the pri- tetengh applici	smailer the properion of age. Although totul stem ile the applicable order o foutes.	Generally, the larger the load size, the smaller the proportion of afruations in which the fuside handler has an advantage, the small the advantage, the small the advantage is bundred- the advantage of the larger the disadvantage. Although total atem haul costs rise as load size increases, the cost on a per hundred- weight basis declines for higger loads while the applicable order differentials per hundredweight are constant. However, as load size increases, the cost on a per hundred- size increases, there are rewer feasible routes.	. which c se au lo per hund	le frat ad afre reducig	de handler increases, ht are cons	has an advantage, i . the cost on a per .tant. Hosever, as	age, the Bualler B per hundred C, as load
والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ	an a		والمواجعة والمحافظة	a da managén de la companya de la	and a subscription of the	وفاردا مجار وساوات منامر وكالترا معاري	T,	Nad Size	a a la desta de la sera de marte de la sera d	office a province of the second s	د در در این میرود اور و اور این این میرود میروستان در در ا	a ya ma ay ananga na mayana ma ay magagata a sa g	يعادين والمتعالمية المركب المركب المعامل المعامل المعامل المعامل المحافظ المعامل المحافظ المعامل المعامل المعام	and the second
	And an only of the second s	600 000 c	600 24-01. Cases 100 cases/deliverv)	(es .erv)			750 01	750 24-Qt. Cases (150 cuses/delivery)	17 Y } 25		800 (133 cu	800 24-Qr. Cases 33 cases/dellvery)	15 1 T Ų)	Weighted Average
Location T of Inside N Flant R	Tatal No. of Koutes	Pot of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range In 17 Disadv. (+ To Adv.	1	Total No. of Koutes	ret. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range in • Disedv.(~) To Adv.	Yotal No. of Koutes	Pcc. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range 1a Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Disadv.(~) or Adv. for all Load sizes
	- I	n a the same	4/	ф/сие				14.2/4	1		al Arabi and a successive state of the successive state of	2007 C WY	The second se	4. / CWU
Yonkers, NY	5 M	61	22.6	-23.7 to 79.0	0.97 01	9 6	ê g	18.8	-26.7 to 62.5	ም] ምገ	67	15.9	-27.2 to 58.5	19.3
Wallingron, WJ	39	82	26.3	1 6 11 ~	-11.9 to 83.0	36	75	-9-14 -	-17.9 to 63.6	رمة 121	73	18.4	-19.4 to 57-5	22.4
Flewington, NJ	39	66	22.1	يد 1 1	ro 61.6	36	64	له کې د مې س	-16.6 to 77.2	ണ് ന	58	18.8	-17.6 20 67.7	20°-
Union, NJ	39	92	224.4	-13.2 1	το 68.0	36	64	1.55	-18.7 to 72.6	رن ری	58	17.7	-19.7 10 64.6	21.6
Uveral1	156	69 69	23.9	n offen and the second s		144 Inside		68 21.0 Advantage on 312/	312/432 Routes = 7	132	64	1,7		21.0

5 2) 20 V Sji i) 1 The weighted averages each load size. 'n

	Table to merve these when area of the four flow from the effort have a location in the bound of the postflow AD fracter (147) fails in this distance range. The postflow AD fracter (147) fails in the solution of the postflow AD fracter (147) fails in the Physica in the Physica in the Physica in the postflow AD fracter (147) fails of the transformed area and an advantage of price in the Physica in the Physica in the Physica in the postflow AD fracter (147) fails of the transformed area and an advantage of oright 1 one of the front and an advantage of oright 1 one of the postflow AD for the postflow AD for the Physica in the Physi	MR LO BERVE TREBE BALES MISSE [Stance range, f all plants were priced in Of hose sales areas incared more re therefore within 107 miles ad an adventage of orly i ont	., Bud theref then 107 all of Wost all of Af routes of Afroutes	06% 10%116% 18% 20%128% 61% 20% 20% 63 20% 20% 20% 1800 20% 20% 20%	ra have advanra e oursld Uhar the arage tr	a loveti ge uf th distant adistant anspurta 750 24- 01. A	.cosl dist (Tatie 9 (Tatie 9) i foc cosi i fize 5 fize st/delive	sdvantage. Ho Bandiar ziso), because the le ouerile pla c disadvantage s	ierer, or increases i cao Orde ion to the ion 16.7	aly e0 o e sith d e site. 4 cents ; cents ;	of the presh is rente. Ho sies vas les set bundredwe set bundredwe	ble 432 rear Ducare the e shert?? N eight.	es (14%) Eall Jvantage ealy the 76-80 af 11es, the 109	an this applies to e cone and ide hundler
	Teak Routes Adv. To Adv. <	Total No. oř	123				lVerage s.(-) or		Toral No. of	(133 ce Pet., of	see/delivery Average Dis.(~) or	Y / Range in Diszdv.(-)	Wrd, Avg. Dis.(~) or Adv. for all	01 4.1.1 FRASTLL RTS: 12 25 24-1
t0024-0t. Cases10md 512e10md 512et0024-0t. Cases75024-0c. Casest0024-0t. Cases66024-0t. Casest00cases/delivery13cases/deliveryt0170.0170.0170.01t020101.0110.01t030101.01t040101.01t0401t05 </th <td>tres/ f/cwt f/cwt</td> <td>Kourse Advs.</td> <td>and the second second</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>d va .</td> <td>Adv.</td> <td>-</td> <td>Routes</td> <td>Adrs.</td> <td>Adv.</td> <td>To AdV.</td> <td>load sizes</td> <td>Lance Rauge</td>	tres/ f/cwt	Kourse Advs.	and the second			d va .	Adv.	-	Routes	Adrs.	Adv.	To AdV.	load sizes	Lance Rauge
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	x fewer 16 94 13.4 -1.0 to 28.6 16 94 13.4 -1.0 to 28.6 16 94 13.7 13.7 - 107 20 40 1.8 -23.7 to 43.0 20 20 - 26.7 x0 34.5 20 - 6.7 -27.2 x0 32.5 - 3.2 - 107 20 76 86 18.4 17.7 -22.9 x0 64 55 16.0 -23.5 x0.5 20.5 - 150 76 86 26.6 -17.7 -22.9 x0<62.5	1 (July)	\$ / CWT				Ę∕ cw:	t,			¢/cwt		÷/swc	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ - 107 20 40 1.8 -23.7 \text{ to } 43.0 20 20 -4.7 -26.7 \text{ to } 34.5 20 20 -6.7 -27.2 \text{ to } 32.5 -3.2 \\ - 150 76 86 26.6 -18.4 \text{ to } 77.5 68 60 17.7 -22.9 \text{ to } 62.5 64 55 16.0 -23.9 \text{ to } 58.5 26.5 \\ \text{than } 150 44 95 31.7 -1.4 \text{ to } 93.0 40 95 42.3 -7.8 \text{ to } 77.2 32 94 39.3 -7.8 \text{ to } 67.7 37.5 \\ \text{than } 150 44 95 31.7 -1.4 \text{ to } 93.0 40 95 42.3 -7.8 \text{ to } 77.2 32 94 39.3 -7.8 \text{ to } 67.7 37.5 \\ \end{array} $	16 94	6.0 ~ 2.0	1.44 03	91			- 1.0 to 28.6	P	56			9134°	ي. و ويونو ويونونو
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	- 150 76 86 26.8 -18.4 to 77.5 68 60 17.7 -22.9 to 62.5 64 55 16.0 -23.9 to 58.5 20.5 than 150 44 95 31.7 - 1.4 to 83.0 40 95 42.3 - 7.8 to 77.2 32 94 39.3 - 7.8 to 67.7 37.5	- 107 20		to 43.0	20			-26.7 to 34.5	50	202		07	1.00 +	ین این سا
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	zhan 150 44 95 31.7 - 1.4 to 83.0 40 95 42.3 - 7.8 tu 77.2 32 94 39.3 - 7.8 to 67.7 37.5	- 150 76 86			68				99			਼ੁ	and a language a lang	, 00 1
Total $10ad$ Size $10ad$ Size $10ad$ Size 500 24-0c. Cases 500 24	100.0	zhan 150 44 95		to \$3.0				- 7.8 to 77.2	5-3 5-3	776		7.8 to 67.7	Provinceration	26.9
And From $6/00 \ 24 - Q_1 \cdot Cases$ Iond Size Iond Size Size Sign Size Side Side Side Side Side Side Side Sid		ومعروب مرياني معرفين ويستعمرون والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاص											** Jen 24: 100	100.0

2. The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of routes.

4

Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Nas a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the

Advantage or Disadvantage. By Location of Sales Area, and Load Size, 1982 Table 3.

these sales locations are more discant than North Central Jersey or Subarban New York for most insiders (emperially Yonkers). On the other hand, inside plants have the largest locational advantage in serving Suburban New York because those rwo sales areas are the most distant from most outside plants. 36.8 cents per hundredueight, the smallest advantages being for sales area locations in South-Central New Jersey, because of the sales are and the inside plant. The weighted average advantages for the inside handlers range from 0.7 cents to The transportation-cost advantage or disadvantage of the inside handler varies widely depending on the relative location Nighlights:

assumption, as compared with an average inside handler advantage of approximately 12.1 cents per hundredweight in the basic analysis. South Central New Jersey sales areas would pose the largeat problem for inside handlers if the two Order No. 4 plants were priced in Order No. 2. There is an average disadvantage of 0.1 cents per hundredvelght in that sales area (see Table 10, under this

and any any and a second of the	Weighted Average Disadv.(~) or Adv. for all load sizes	¢/cut	0.7	8. 13	22.4	5 I7.0	12.1	
فليستعمد المستر والجارية الاهتمام ومستعمل المراكبة ومراركي المستعم والمستر والهوار مستعم	nge in sadv.(-) o Adv.	¢/cwt	-24.4 to 49.6	-19.4 to 54.1	- 5.8 to 67.7	-13.9 to 64.6		
and the second	800 24-Qt. Cases (133 cases/delivery) Fct. Average Ra of Dis.(-) or Di Advs. Adv. T	4	1 * 7	7-4	20.8	13.9	10.8	
	800 (133 c Pcr. of Ådvs.		50	60	60	60	и 65%	
	Total No. of Routes	an in a fair an	10	10	10	10	outes '	
ولا يتركونهما والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	ange in isadv.(-) To Adv.	<pre>k/cwt NEW JERSEY</pre>	-23.4 to 56.1	-17.9 to 63.6	- 3.8 to 77.2	- 7.4 to 72.6	14.2 Inside Advantage on 78/120 routes	
an a	Load Size 750 24-0t. Cases (150 cases/dellvery) Pct. Average R. of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	¢/cwt south-central new jersey	50 3.2	60 10.2	70 24.0	60 19.4	14.2 Inside Advan	continued
متعامله الهالمة المساحمية لمهادية فريعها	fotal Vo. of Routes		10	10	10	10		
مانده در سر بسیالی میکنون وارد میکنوند. میکنوند از میکنوند میکنوند از میکنوند از مانده و از مانده و از مانده و	600 24-Ot. Cases (100 cases/delivery) r Pet. Average Range in bf of Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) as Advs. Adv. To Adv.	¥t.	-18.7 to 28.4	-11.9 to 39.4	3.7 to 55.0	1.3 to 48.4		
ana ang sa	24-01. CAS 1ses/delly Average Dls.(-) o Adv.	\$/car	ا ئى	6.9	22.5	17.7	11.2	n - Carlon Martin III - Carlon Andrea
	600 2 (100 c2 Pet. of Advs.		50	60	100	100	and the second	
a an	Total No. of Roures		10	N. Y			TH CENTRAL EY	
	Location of Inside	a File in	Yonkers, NY	Hollington W.	T.M. morenter T	Union, NJ	OVERAIL SOUTH CENTRAL NEW JERSEY	

	a conservation a conservation de management es conservation	600	All 26 all Care	مند است. ویکی	and the second	T	Load Size					and an an and the second second second in the second second second second second second second second second s	and a standard out of the
1	رب ليوجين سان هدومورش بار المعطف يا الع	(100 5	(100 cases/delivery)	e ry)		(150 c.	/50 24-0r. Cases (150 cases/Arlivary)			800	24-QL Cases	·····································	1
Location of Inside Plant	Totel No. of Routes		Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range 1n Olsedv.(~) To Adv.	TOEA1 No. of Routes	Per. of Adva.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range in Disadv.(~) To Adv.	TULA] No. of Routes	133 C	(133 cases/dellvery) Fot. Average R of Dls.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	(very) Range in or Digadv.(+)	Weighted Average Disadv.(~) or Adv. for all
- reg ver en i delan per provinci que a mança e la facto que a la contence e a la	an a substant of the substant	a , pa ja da na ma ja k ka ka sa afa na farangan n	1			and the second	And I for the second		and the second	and a management of the second	1	2	
Yonkers, WY	ĹĨ	82	22.9	-23.7 to 67.5	2	NORTH-(65	NORTH-CENTRAL NEW JERSET 65 15.9 -26.7 n		2	90	97 7 7	terent	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Wallington, N	71 CN	82	28.9	- 9.7 to 77.0	Ń.	76	24.5 				1 20		
Flemington, N	LL EN	76	24.4	-12.1 to 61.6	<u>~</u>	é, ç	21.7	-16.6 to 57.7	∩4 ≻−1	09	8, 1¢	2 (
Union, NJ	17	82	26.5	-13.2 to 68.0	یہ جو استو	65	22.2	-18.7 to 56.6	12) اسم	60	21.4	3 2	7 56
overall north new Jersey	CENTRAL		25.7		an lap une de las de las de las de	Ĩ.ns.1de		21.8 Advantage on 140/196 routes	ures "	2TL	£.12	,	23.0
Yonkers, NY	12	100	42.9	15.3 to 79.0	6	SUBU 100	SUBURBAN NEW YORK 35.8 4	RX 4.8 to 62.5	50	100	28.7	5 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	0 90
Wallington, NJ	27 15	100	38.8	6.3 to 83.0	5	69	30.2	0.7 ro 62.5	හ	88	22,6		
Flemington, NJ	1 12	67		- 7.1 to 56.6	- Ø1	56	16.7 -	-12.1 to 42.6	ŝ	50	10.7	40 4 40 4	× a
Union, WJ	normality in the second se	100	27.0	2.3 to 66.0	Ċ,	67	22.2	5.7 to 49.0	<i>\$</i> \$	05	5		9 7) c + c
OVERALL SUBURBAN NEW YORK	BAN		31,8		- Alle one model and the o	Inelde	26.2 Adventage on		1 S S	82 X	19.4		26.7
NOTES: 1, 2.	Ranges The well each los	Ranges represen The weighted av each load size.	nt the large	Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(~) to the largest adv The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages each load size.	e(-) to	the lar, s/disadv	for for	ch load	1		he total	the total number of feasible routes	ble routes for
ື້	South Ca Hasbroud Bales ar	entral A Ck Neigh Pez, see	dew Jersey I. Its. New Jer. 'Yable 21 Ir	South Central New Jersey includes Freehold Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey; and Suburba Bales area, see Table 21 in the Appendix.		and New Brunswick, n New York Includes	sulck, New J Ludes New C	New Jersey; North Central New Jersey New City and Yonkers, New York, Por	Central rs, New	New Jer York.	For the d	includes Dover, Paterson and the denailed results sales a	rson and . sales area by

Table 3 continued

I
) -
1
5
10
9
ġ
- 22
- 6.65
10

Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage of Disadvantage, By Location of Outside Plant, and Load Size, 1982 Table 4.

The cost comparisons by location of the outside plant reveal ruo plants. Schupkill Haven and Scranton, for which the inside handler disadvantage is significant. For the Schupkill Haven plant, a disadvantage for the inside handlers exists for burh load sizes above 600 cases; the average disadvantage for the three load sizes is 2.0 cents per hundredweight. On the other hand, fuside plants have an average 40.9 cent advantage (on 100% of the routes) compared to the Lansdale plant. RICHLICHTS:

Nowever, the rue 0 dder No. 4 plants were priced in Order No. 2, (see Table 11)., the average advantage for fuside handlers drops from spproximately 36.8 cears to 6.4 cears per hundredweight relative to the Fort Washington plant; and from 40.9 cears to 10.5 cears per hundredueight for the Lansdale plant due to a change in the location adjustments of 30.4 cents par hundredveight.

600 24-00: Cases 750 10 750 750 11 17 100 42.6 20.1 100 35.5 1 1 7 100 35.5 1 17 100 42.6 20.1 10 7 100 35.5 1 17 100 47.6 18.0 10 10 34.2 1 18 7 100 47.6 10 10	с. К				514
Total Fct. Average Kange in Yotal Pcr. Average No. of of Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) No. of of Dis.(-) or No. of of Dis.(-) or Roures Advs. Adv. Yotadv.(-) No. of of Dis.(-) or Roures Advs. Adv. Yotadv.(-) No. of of Dis.(-) or Nu 7 86 41.9 -2.0 to 74.0 7 86 33.8 NJ 7 100 45.5 4.5 to 78.0 7 100 36.6 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 53.0 7 100 36.0 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 53.0 7 100 36.0 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 18.0 to 79.0 7 100 34.2 NJ 7 100 44.6 18.0 to 79.0 6 10 34.2 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 <			800 24-(t. Cases 33 cases/delivery)		
7 86 41.9 - 2.0 to 74.0 7 86 33.8 NJ 7 100 45.5 4.5 to 78.0 7 100 36.6 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 NJ 7 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 7 100 36.0 1 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9	tange In Misadv.(-) To Adv.	Total Pct. No. of of Routes Adve.	Pett. Average Range in of Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) Advs. Adv. To Adv.	Dis.(~) or % of Adv. for all Inside Load sizes Adv.	% of Inside Adv.
7 86 41.9 - 2.0 to 74.0 7 86 33.8 - NJ 7 100 45.5 4.5 to 78.0 7 100 36.6 NJ 7 100 45.5 4.5 to 78.0 7 100 36.6 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 7 100 42.6 18.0 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 7 100 44.6 18.0 to 59.0 7 100 36.0 1 7 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 6 100 34.2 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 NJ 7 100 66.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 20.0	¢/cut NSYLVANTA	n dan mula nga jang sa nga jang nga nga nga nga nga nga nga nga nga	\$/cwr OVERALL	11. 36.8	296
NJ 7 100 45.5 4.5 to 78.0 7 100 36.6 NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 7 100 44.6 18.0 to 63.0 7 100 35.0 1 1 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 6 100 34.2 NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 NJ 7 100 68.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 2	- 1.0 to 59.5	6 83	27.7 - 1.0 to 55.0	34.8	-0
n, NJ 7 100 42.6 20.1 to 56.1 7 100 35.5 1 7 100 44.6 18.0 to 53.0 7 100 36.0 1 NT 7 100 44.6 18.0 to 53.0 7 100 36.0 1 NT 7 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 6 100 34.2 n, NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 61.6 6 100 36.9 n, NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 61.6 6 100 36.9	4.0 to 62.5	6 100	30.2 3.5 to 54.5	5 27.8	174-PO
7 100 44.6 18.0 ca 63.0 7 100 36.0 1 NY 7 100 47.8 3.0 co 79.0 6 100 34.2 n, NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 xo 83.0 6 100 36.9 2 n, NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 xo 83.0 6 100 36.9 n, NJ 7 100 51.4 9.5 xo 83.0 6 100 36.9	18.I to 46.6	6 100	32.4 17.1 to 44.1		unt_ntianstaans
7 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 6 100 34.2 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 7 100 48.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 2 7 100 46.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 2	15.5 to 51.0	6 100	30.7 12.0 to 47.0	.0 37.4	
7 100 47.8 3.0 to 79.0 6 100 34.2 7 100 51.4 9.5 to 83.0 6 100 36.9 7 100 48.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 2 7 100 46.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0 2	YLVANIA	en en ser en	OVERALL	VLL 40.9	1001
7 100 51.4 9.5 ko 83.0 6 100 36.9 7 100 48.5 25.1 ko 61.6 6 100 39.0 2 4 400 ko 6 100 39.0 2	2.5 to 62.5	6 100	32.1 2.5 to 58.5	າງ ເດີ ເງິ	relyande with 1920
7 100 48.5 25.1 to 61.6 6 100 39.0	7.5 to 62.0	6 IOD	34.5 7.0 to 57.5	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	u ganadaya, Kibi ba
1 100 50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.11.6 to 50.1	6 100	36.8 20.6 to 47.6	.6 41.8	No. Con star of the Constitution of the Consti
100 007	19.0 to 54.5	6 100	35.0 16.0 to 50.0	.0 #1.8	

Table 4, Continued

Location Total of Inside No. of Plant Routes Yonkers, NY 7 Wellington, NJ 7		<pre>bill 24-0F. Cases (100 cases/delivery Pct. Average of Dis.(+) or 1 Advs. Adv.</pre>	s.		750 (150 c	750 24-QE. Cases	200		200	74-15-	Can be at the		-40
×,		Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	And the second			*シー ほど / ほきぶめ			0000	141-141 - 141 - 141-141	0		
î z	5 5 5 5		kange in Disadv.() To Adv.	Total No. of Roures	Pet. of Advs.	Fct. Average 1 of Dis.(-) of Adv.	Range in To Adv. (-) To Adv.	Total No. uf Routes	122. 122. 122. 122.	Average Dis(-) or) Adv.	ar Disadv.(~) To Adv.	Wra, Avg, Dis.(-) or Adv. for all load sizes	X of Inside Adv.
NJ	5 2 2 3 8	1 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C	1 recommendation of the second s	S CHUYLK	ILL RAV	SCHUYLKILL HAVEN, PENNSYLVANIA	¢/ cve S YLVANTA		the second s	1	¢/c⊌t OVERALL	- 2.0 ·	305
ιIJ	55 266	ج	-18.4 to 32.6	7-	چ ت	- 6.2	-23.4 to 16.1	7~,	10 17	- 8.0	-24.4 to 12.6	-0 	_
	86	7.1	-11.9 to 28.1	5~	67	4°E -	~17.9 to 12.1	~	-17 500	ທ ທີ່ 1	-19.4 to 10.1	2.2 0 1	
Flewington, NJ 7		7 17 18 19	~ 1.3 to 8.2	5	0	4.4	- /.8 to -0.8	r~•	0	ਟਾ ਪ੍ਰ ਪ੍ਰ	- 9.8 to -3,3	1	
Union, NJ 7	86	6.2	- 2.4 to 13.1	Ĺ.	57	- 4.0	- 9.9 ro 1.6	r-,	c	- 6,8	-13.9 to -1.4	u"- j	
				SCR	SCRANTON, 1	PENNS YLVAN LA	LA		and the second	- And a set of the set	OVERALL	- 6.4	26%
Yonkers, NY 7	43	े के ख ा	-23.7 to 23.8	*	62	8°6	~26.7 to 11.8	<i>*</i> ~	29	-11.6	-27.2 to 8.8	°.8	
Wallington, NJ 7	64	. 0.1	- 9.7 to 19.3	~	29	- 7.0	-15.7 00 7.8	3~	29	1°6	-17.7 to 4.3	0°0 -	-
Flemington, NJ 7	29	- 	-12.1 to 8.9	ř~-	14	+ 8,0	~16.6 ro 0.4	j'	0	, 9 , 1	~17.6 to ~1.6	- - - -	
Union, NJ 7	71	0,1	-13.2 to 6.8	<u>~</u>	c	9°/ -	-18.7 to -2.2		0	-10.4	-19.7 to -4.7	- ¢,0 -	
) von underer annangeligen inner fan fenne fen menne fen menne fen menne fen	BIN	INGHAMTON,	N, NEW YORK			- We also address for de la serange e regere a	e manufacture de la constantin en la const	CVERALL.	13.0	82.2
Yonkers, NY 5	100	13.9	2.0 to 22.0	5	50	ي ب ب	- 8.0 ro 5.0	*		thermos		4 2	
Wallington, NJ 5	001	20.8	17.0 to 27.5	2	50	2*8	3.5 to 13.0	41	77,48	1716-191	dan din	17.2	
Flemington, NJ 5	80	16.0 -	1.4 10 33.1	¢4	50	۰. می	1.2 03 1.1	-iz	5	teller sons	44 years	11.9	
Unter, NJ 5	100	2.52	8.0 to 26.5	t~i	50	2.7	0.0 to 5.5	×	10.00	And made	Tripo Aqu	7″£I	

1111

.

and a second						<u></u>	1.044 51%5					and the state of t		
	ano an an Anna an Anna	600-2 (100-cg	600 24-Qt. Cases 00 cases/deliver	25 3 T y)		750 (150 c	750 24-Qt. Cases 50 cases/deliver	EY)	And the second sec	800 (133 c	800 24-Qr. Cases 33 cases/deliver	1	Wed Ave.	ii. C Pd
Locarion of Inside Plant	Toral No. of Routes	Pct. of Advs.	Pert. Average R of Dis.(-) of D Advs. Adv.	Range in Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes		Pcr. Average R of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	Range in r Disadv.(-) Tu Adv.	Total No. of Routes	rct. Adrs,	Pet. Average w of Dis.(~) or D Advs. Adv.	tradv.(-) To Adv.	Adv. for ell load sizes	Ineide Adv.
	and a subplacement of the subplacement of the sub-	general the second city is a second city of a second	¢/cwr	1. M.C.	4	JLTONVII	FULTONVILLE, NEW YORK	¢/cut Yurk	-		¢/cut	vč OVERALL	き/cwt 44.2	286
Yookers, NY	ŝ	100	33.6	22.1 to 48.1	2	100	49.8	34.5 to 62.1	~	100	43,2	30.1 to 54.6	44,2	مەر ئىچىرىرىدىغ
	NJ 3	100	32.9	26.1 ro 40.1	~	100	52.6	41.1 to 63.6	<u>م</u>	100	45.7	34.6 to 54.1	46.3	
Flemington, NJ	. E CN	67	6'7I	- 0.3 to 24.2	~	100	51.6	21.2 to 77.2	~	100	45.I	16.7 to 57.7	42.4	
Union, NJ	en	100	22.8	9,1 to 31,1	4	100	52.0	27.6 to 72.6	~	100	44.6	22.1 to 64.6	43.7	
Sound State of the				yan da ar an an an an an ar an		ROXBURY	ROXBURY, NEW YORK	n a standard			and you would be a second of the	OVERALL	28.0	100%
Yonkers, NY	Ē	100	26.1	24.9 EO 28.4	*	anti- nave	an dar	-	¥ 		- THIN THE	-	26.1	
Wallington, NJ	NJ 3	100	29.4	19.9 to 39.4	¥	1		uting proper	*		-	Careford and the second se	29.4	
Flemingron, NJ	NJ 3	100	27.8	2.5 to 55.0	*	ر من سال			-#		3	and the second se	27 - 8	
Union, NJ	e	100	28.7	11.9 to 48.4	*		1	All handless	*		andra ayu		28.7	

*Routes eliminated based on feasibility standards.

1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage. NOTES:

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size;

٦

In Summary

The results of the basic analysis generally show that the amendment provisions in Order No. 2, effective September 1, 1981, have not placed handlers within the 1-70 mile zone at a disadvantage vis-a-vis the outside plants in delivering packaged milk to large supermarkets within the zone.

Outside plants were in a better competitive position to serve inside sales areas on only 28% of all routes. Only the handlers in Scranton and Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania, had a transportation-cost advantage over the inside handlers in serving large supermarket accounts in the 1-70 mile zone of Order No. 2.

Sensitivity Analysis

Some sensitivity analysis was performed to determine the effect on the results of some of the assumptions made for the basic comparisons. Several of the assumptions were relaxed. For each sensitivity analysis, only one assumption was relaxed. A summary of the results follows.

<u>Federal Order Transportation Differentials</u>. To examine the competitive situation which would prevail if the two plants currently in Federal Order No. 4 were pooled in Federal Order No. 2, appropriate Order No. 2 transportation differentials were used in the cost comparisons for those two plants. The results of this phase of the sensitivity analysis were significant:

- (a) The percentage of route situations where the outside handler has an advantage over the insider increases from 28% to 39%.
- (b) Generally, inside plants would be at a transportation-cost disadvantage in competing with plants located within 107 miles of the sales areas, particularly with plants located less than 75 miles from the sales area.

For a detailed discussion, see page 37.

Plant Specific Labor Costs. In the basic analysis, a common hourly labor rate of \$14.90 including fringes (with an overtime cost per hour of \$16.28) was assumed for both inside and outside handlers. These "common" wage rates were used so that the comparisons would be "neutral" with respect to institutional differences. This assumption was relaxed and actual labor costs were budgeted for each handler's routes. These "plant-specific labor costs" were based on each plant's labor contract and fringe benefit package.

Highlights of using the plant-specific labor costs rather than a common hourly labor cost for all plants are:

- 1. The percentage of routes on which the inside handler held an advantage decreased slightly--from 72 to 68 percent.
- 2. Weighted-average advantages for inside handlers remained significant but decreased an average of 4.0 cents per hundredweight.

- 3. For the plant located in Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania, there were increases in the weighted-average advantages over the inside plants of 4.0 to 6.0 cents per hundredweight; for the plant located in Scranton, Pennsylvania, the increases were 1.5 to 3.5 cents per hundredweight. The inside plants' advantages over the Fultonville, New York plant location declined significantly (approximately 19.4 cents per hundredweight) under the plant-specific labor costs scenarios. The deliverylabor costs of these three plants were significantly lower than the average.
- 4. The weighted-average advantage for the inside plants relative to the Lansdale, Pennsylvania location increased by approximately 2.5 cents per hundredweight; the advantage of inside plants relative to the Fort Washington, Pennsylvania plant location increased by approximately 3.6 cents per hundredweight.

Inside Routes with Straight-Chassis Vehicles and Commission Routemen. The basic analysis assumed all handlers used tractor-trailers and hourly routemen for delivery since most large supermarkets were served in this manner. Actually, some large supermarkets are served by inside handlers with straightchassis delivery vehicles and commission routemen. Therefore, commission routes were budgeted for inside handlers and compared to the outside handlers' tractortrailer routes. For all sales areas, 600-case straight-chassis commission routes were compared to 600-750- and 800-case tractor-trailer routes for all sales areas.

Highlights of the comparisons assuming inside handlers served supermarkets with straight-chassis commission routes while outside handlers used hourly tractor-trailer routes are:

- 1. Inside handlers have a transportation-cost advantage in serving large supermarket accounts in 76% of the routes studied. This increase over the results of the basic analysis (72% inside-handler advantage) is due largely to the difference between operating costs for a tractor-trailer and a straight-chassis delivery vehicle. While the average labor cost of commission routes (\$23.33 per hour including fringes and commissions) is significantly higher than the hourly labor cost of outside tractor-trailer drivers (\$14.76 including fringes), the higher tractortrailer operating costs and longer distances involved for outsiders outweigh the labor-cost differences.
- The transportation-cost advantage of inside handlers increased an average of 4.2 cents per hundredweight for all load sizes.

3. The weighted-average disadvantage of the inside handlers relative to the Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania plant, 2.0 cents per hundredweight, changed to a weighted-average advantage for inside plants of 2.3 cents per hundredweight if straight-chassis are used.

Differences in Cost of Capital. The basic cost comparisons were made using 9% as the real, inflation-free, cost of capital. Raising the assumed cost-of-capital level to 14% produced no significant change in the results.

Double-Bottom Tractor-Trailers. Double-bottom tractor-trailer rigs can only be used on the New York Thruway. Moreover, using them is not related to federal order differences, and in the basic analysis, single-bottoms were assumed. However, double-bottoms can be used from Fultonville to Suffern, New York. Therefore double-bottom routes were budgeted for the Fultonville handler location.

Highlights of the results of assuming the use of double-bottom tractortrailers on the New York State Thruway are:

- 1, Inside handlers still held an advantage in 72% of the routes compared.
- The weighted-average advantages for insiders for all load sizes and all sales areas decreased by 2.0 to 5.0 cents per hundredweight depending on inside plant location.
- 3. The Fultonville plant saved an average of 14.0 cents per hundredweight over inside plants for all load sizes.

Summary. The proportion of routes for which the inside handler has a transportation-cost advantage in serving large supermarket accounts, for each set of assumptions, are as follows:

Assumptions	Percent of Routes with Inside Handler Advantage
Basic Analysis Assumptions	72
Order No. 2 Transportation Differentials	61
Plant-Specific Labor Costs	68
Commission Routes vs. Tractor-Trailer Routes	76
14% Cest of Capital	72
Double-Bottom Tractor-Trailers	72
All Possible Route Scenarios]/	77

Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was used to estimate the total variable cost per mile of moving packaged milk into the 1-70 mile zone. While a total cost function could not be estimated, total variable cost as related to distance traveled can be estimated, using the differential stem-haul costs for outside handlers.

Using high and low vehicle-cost data for each of the three load sizes, a series of regression equations were estimated (see Table 19). The equations show total variable costs which ranged from 3.6 cents per hundredweight to 5.6 cents per hundredweight per ten-mile increment of one-way distance traveled.

 $[\]frac{1}{A11}$ route comparisons (a total of 588), including those judged to be infeasible.

DETAILED REPORT

INTRODUCTION

Provisions of the New York-New Jersey Milk Marketing Order (Federal Order No. 2) were changed, effective September 1, 1981, to realign intramarket and intermarket class prices. Marketing conditions were such that the former order provisions no longer reflected the cost of assembling milk from dairy farms and transporting it to plants for processing. Transportation allowances in Order No. 2 were increased to more accurately reflect the cost of hauling bulk milk. (For a more complete explanation see: Federal Register, Vol. 46, No. 122, pages 33008-33018.) These transportation differentials attempt to reflect general cost differences due to location. They are not intended to reflect differences in actual costs for individual situations.

The method used for aligning intramarket prices was of particular concern in this study. The cost of hauling milk from distant farms to the New York Metropolitan area can be considered to have three distinct segments: farm-tofarm assembly cost, over-the-road variable cost, and over-the-road fixed cost. Under Federal Order No. 2 as amended, the producer pays only the hauling cost to the first plant of receipt. For reloaded milk, the producer pays the hauling to the re-load plant (assembly cost) and the handler recovers a rate per 10 miles between the zone of the farm and the city plant (variable cost); however, the handler receiving reloaded milk bears the fixed cost of the over-the-road haul. For direct-delivered milk, the handler recovers the full cost of hauling, including the fixed cost, because the first plant is the final destination. Therefore, the handler receiving direct-delivered milk has an order price advantage equal to the fixed cost of the over-the-road haul. To achieve handler equity, an additional fixed transportation differential has been added to the cost of direct-delivered milk received in the 1-70 mile zone (North Jersey, New York City, and Westchester, Rockland and Orange counties in New York).

BACKGROUND

In 1979, an analysis of actual hauling rates for milk reloaded outside the 1-70 mile zone and then shipped to plants within the 1-70 mile zone revealed a variable over-the-road hauling charge of 2.2 cents per hundredweight of milk per 10 miles shipped. Variable hauling rates for milk produced beyond the 1-70 mile zone and direct-delivered to plants in the 1-70 mile zone also averaged 2.2 cents per hundredweight per 10-mile zone. Therefore the 1.8 cents per hundredweight transportation differential rate previously allowed under the Order was 0.4 cents less than the variable over-the-road cost to handlers. Raising the transportation differential to 2.2 cents per hundredweight per 10-mile zone permitted handlers to recover the distance-related, variable hauling costs of moving raw Class I milk.

The 1979 analysis also revealed a 15.26 cents per hundredweight fixed cost associated with milk reloaded outside the 1-70 mile zone and shipped to plants within the 1-70 mile zone. Handlers within the 1-70 mile zone receiving direct shipped milk do not bear that reload cost. To equalize the cost under Order No. 2 of direct-delivered and reloaded milk, the direct delivery differential was changed to a 15-cent fixed transportation differential on Class I milk shipped to the 1-70 mile zone. Thus, the 15-cent per hundredweight fixed transportation cost of reloaded milk is reflected in the Class I price of direct-shipped milk in an attempt to equalize hauling allowances for handlers on both direct-shipped and reloaded milk.

Interested parties are concerned that although the amended transportation differentials more closely reflect actual <u>bulk milk</u> hauling cost, they may have altered the competitive relationship between handlers who package milk at plants beyond the 1-70 mile zone and those located within the zone. This study examines what effect, if any, these changes in Order transportation differentials have on the competitive environment of New York-Nev Jersey Metropolitan Area operators and distributors.

<u>Objective</u>

The purpose of this study was to determine whether the changes in Federal Order No. 2 provisions, effective September 1, 1981, created a transportationrelated advantage to shipping packaged milk into the 1-70 mile zone as opposed to shipping bulk milk into the 1-70 mile zone for packaging and distribution.

METHODOLOGY

The <u>raw bulk</u> milk hauling cost differences among handlers caused by their particular locations vis-a-vis producers are represented in this analysis by the federal order transportation differentials. In this study, differences in "stem-haul" costs incurred by handlers in transporting <u>packaged</u> milk were compared to the amended federal order transportation differentials to determine whether a location advantage would exist for hauling <u>packaged</u> milk into the 1-70 mile zone from plants outside the zone. Stem-haul costs began after the packaged milk was loaded onto the truck and ended when the delivery vehicle reached the first supermarket. By comparing <u>only</u> the stem-haul costs for handlers at various locations, the effect of the transportation differential order provisions could be isolated from other location-related cost differences caused by institutional factors other than the Order. Factors such as plant cost differences, delivery labor contract provisions, customer service decisions and management differences were ignored in the standard cost estimates. The cost comparisons were based only on factors related to distance and/or transportation.

A differential approach was used to allocate costs to the stem-haul portion of the route. Since the objective was to examine differences for "inside" and "outside" handlers in <u>packaged</u> milk stem-haul costs, each cost component was examined to determine whether it differed for the inside versus the outside handler. Cost components which did not differ were not included in the stem-haul cost estimates. If a component did differ significantly, only the <u>difference</u> in that cost was included for the handler bearing the higher cost. This differential approach to cost allocation made the comparison of distance-related transportation costs between inside and outside handlers more accurate than a total cost approach. An economic-engineering (or budgetary) approach was used to estimate differential transportation costs. Using this approach, rather than analyzing actual hauling costs, also made it possible to include only transportation- or distance-related costs and exclude other institutional factors that were not related to marketing order provisions. Also, with the budgetary approach, hypothetical movements of packaged milk into the 1-70 mile zone could be compared, not merely movements which were actually occurring. However, the validity of economic-engineering studies depends on the validity of the assumptions about economic conditions on which they are based. For this study, the managements of six plants located outside the 1-70 mile zone and three plants inside the zone generously provided the information, data and judgment needed to budget realistic operating conditions.

Several sales areas in which processors from inside and outside the 1-70 mile zone either were, or possibly could be, competing for packaged milk sales were identified. In addition, 11 plant locations (4 inside and 7 outside), two of which were pooled in Order No. 4, were identified. (See Table 5.) Distribution routes (route scenarios) were budgeted for each of these plants to ship packaged milk to each of these sales areas. Each route was developed in two parts: stem-haul, or that portion of the route from the platform to the first supermarket and from the last store back to the plant; and the on-route component, or the store-to-store delivery portion. Stem costs for outside plants were budgeted for various load sizes going to each of the sales areas and the appropriate federal order transportation differentials or location adjustments were added to the estimated cost. Similarly, stem-haul costs were calculated for inside plants and the appropriate differentials were added. The inside and outside estimates were compared, plant by plant and sales area by sales area, to determine where relative advantages or disadvantages might exist for hauling packaged milk.

Route Scenarios

Based on information provided by cooperating handlers, both outside and within the 1-70 mile zone, several typical route scenarios were developed for serving large stores (i.e., stores receiving at least 100 cases per delivery) with tractor-trailer delivery vehicles. Only large stores were included because handlers packaging milk outside the 1-70 mile zone probably would not compete with inside processors for small or medium-sized accounts. Smaller stores would require more driving time within the sales area, and would probably require smaller trucks. Also, it could be assumed that large supermarkets could be served with tractor-trailers because the "inside" handlers were generally using tractor-trailers to serve them. The assumptions made concerning load size, stop size, driving and delivery time standards, and delivery practices were based on information provided by handlers and on the results of previous studies made by Cornell researchers.2/

2/"Measuring and Improving Wholesale Milk Route Productivity and Profitability," Manual for Management Workshops, Cornell University, 1975.

Location of Plant	Federal Order No. 2 Freight Zone	Federal Order No. 2 Transportation Differential	Federal Order No. 4 Location Adjustment*	Sales Area Location
ويسترسه والمراسم والم	mlles	Ted Since	cents per hundredweight	یک میکند. این میکند از میکند از میکند از میکند از میکند از میکند میکند میکند میکند از این میکند از این میکند از این میکند میکند از میکند از این میکند از این میکند از این میکند از م
Located Inside the 1-70 Mile Zone				,
Yonkers, NY Wallington, NJ Union, NJ Flemington, NJ	1 - 10 31 - 10 31 - 40	+59.0 +59.0 +52.4		South-Central New Jersey Freehold New Brunswick
Located Outside the 1-70 Mile Zone				North-Central New Jersey Dover Paterson Hasbrouck Neights
Fort Washington, PA Lanadale, PA	76 - 80	+28 ° 6 +28 ° 6	+59.0 +59.0	Suburban New York New City
Schuylkill Haven, PA Roxbury, NY	111 - 120 121 - 125 131 - 140	+17.6 +17.6		Yonkers
Binghamton, NY Fultonville, NY	151 - 160	+11.0 + 6.6		

Table 5. Locations of Inside and Outside Plants and Sales Areas Studied

tuentical, 24.0 cents per hundredweight was used as a proxy for the Order No.4 location differential in the basic analyses.

Round-trip stem mileage was measured for each route from the plant to the sales area and the associated stem-driving time was calculated based on applicable road conditions and driving standards (Table 6). After stem driving was calculated, there were additions for personal time for the driver, for normal delays (12% of standard time) and for any at-plant work such as checking the load, doing paperwork, etc. which was attributable to the stem-haul. Each route scenario (highways used, driving time, at-plant time, and delivery time) was constructed and confirmed by the cooperating handlers.3/

On-route time (time spent serving supermarkets) was also calculated for each scenario. Time spent at stores in delivery (at-stop time) was calculated using Cornell delivery standards of 8.2 minutes fixed time per stop and .34 minutes variable time per case (which included reloading empty cases).4/ These delivery standards apply to "drop deliveries"--that is, the driver moves the milk no more than 15 to 20 feet from the truck, without help from store employees, and does not pack the dairy case or collect. The on-route driving-time standard was 1.6 minutes per mile (or 37.5 mph) and an average of 8 miles between stops was assumed (Table 7). If the calculated total route time (stem plus on-route times) for any scenario exceeded U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Motor Carrier Safety regulations for driving time and total hours (15 hours per day), the scenario was discarded from the analysis.

Stem-haul cost comparisons were made sales area by sales area and load size by load size for each inside/outside plant combination. For example, a 600-case load to Dover, New Jersey for a particular outside handler was compared to a 600-case load to Dover for an inside handler. Cross-comparisons mixing load sizes were not made (except for straight-chassis/tractor-trailer comparisons). Each comparison made was based on identical on-route scenarios.

Preloading or loading trailers 12 or 24 hours prior to delivery was considered a production, rather than a location or transportation, decision and, therefore, was excluded. The possibility of two trips (routes) in one day with the same delivery vehicle was not studied because this practice was not common. The possibility of backhauls was also ignored because backhauls would require a very unique situation (time or location-wise) and because few handlers operated routes with backhauls.

 $\frac{3}{\text{While this analysis addresses costs associated with the stem-haul portion of a route, it was necessary to develop scenarios for the total route including store-to-store delivery, so that the time required for the routes could be checked for feasibility and so that such costs as labor overtime could be included accurately in the stem-haul cost comparisons.$

 $\frac{4}{1}$ In instances in which there were two men on the truck, the variable time standard used was .25 minutes per case.

Driving Condition	Description	<u>Standard</u> (minutes per mile)
Interstate	Open highways on which the highest attainable speed is 55 MPH, reflect- ing an average speed of 50 MPH.	1.2
Highway	Roads on which maximum attainable speed is 55 MPH. Typically there is a free flow of traffic with few delays, reflecting an average speed of 37 MPH.	1.6
Suburban	Roads on which the maximum attainable speed is 20 to 35 MPH. Typically there are some delays due to stop signs, intersections and possibly traffic, reflecting an average speed of 28 MPH.	2.1
City	Roads on which the maximum attainable speed is 15 to 20 MPH. Traffic is typically slow with frequent delays for congestion reflecting an average speed of 14 MPH.	4 . 4

Table 6. Driving Standards Used to Calculate Stem Driving Time

SOURCE: "Measuring and Improving Wholesale Milk Route Productivity and Profitability", Manual for Management Workshops, Cornell University, 1975.

Number of 24-Quart Cases on Truck	Number of Customers Served <u>1</u> /	Average Number of Cases Per Stop	Assumed Total Time Per Stop	Assumed Driving Time on Route	Total Cn-route Time
		——————————————————————————————————————	min	utes	an a
600	6	100	42.2	64	317
750	5	150	59.2	51	347
110	<i></i>	₩V 7			
800	6	133	53.4	64	384

Table 7. Assumptions Underlying Three Load Sizes Used in Analysis

1/ Actual situations observed.

Labor Costs

The labor contracts for individual plants were used to calculate the labor cost per day, including fringe benefits, of a packaged-milk transport driver or route personnel. Fringes not stated in contracts, such as state and federal unemployment benefits and workmen's compensation, were added to the fringes specified. These calculations were confirmed with each cooperating handler. Some labor costs were calculated for five 8-hour days, some for four 10-hour days and some, if the contract allowed, for a three-day work week. Overtime costs per hour, including fringes, were also calculated.

These plant-specific labor costs were used to calculate an industry-wide average to estimate stem-haul costs for shipping packaged milk. The plantspecific costs were used to conduct some sensitivity analysis and to reach some of the conclusions reported herein, but none are reported in order to protect confidentiality of information. Moreover, in our judgment, results based on industry averages were more relevant for marketing order analysis.

The calculated plant-specific hourly labor costs, including fringes, ranged from approximately \$11.50 to \$19.00 with an average of \$14.76 per hour for cutside handlers, and from \$14.50 to \$16.50 with an average of \$15.11 per hour for inside handlers. The overall average labor cost used in these comparisons for all plants was \$14.90 per straight hour, including fringes, and \$16.38 per overtime hour.

A differential approach was also used to calculate stem-haul labor costs for each scenario. On-route or delivery time was identical for insiders and outsiders for each scenario; therefore, the differences in the total route time ware caused by differences in stem driving time. Therefore, the overtime cost incurred for the route was sllocated to the stem-haul. For example, if a route required 10 hours to complete, four of which were stem hours, and the straight workday was 8 hours, the labor cost attributed to the stem-haul portion of the route would be 2 hours at the overtime rate and 2 hours at the straight-time rate. For a more detailed description of labor cost calculations, see Exhibit 3.

Delivery Vehicle Costs

To budget the vehicle costs for the route scenarios, information about vehicle-cost components and factors affecting those costs was gathered from participating handlers. Data were collected for vehicle replacement prices, tire prices, diesel fuel prices, repair and maintenance costs, highway use taxes, insurance and registration fees, as well as vehicle life, tire life and average miles per gallon of diesel fuel and reefer fuel use.

Industry averages were used for vehicle purchase price, diesel cost per gallon, tire and recap prices, and repair and maintenance cost. Actual rates were used for state highway use tax, ton-mile tax and licensing and registration fees. In the sensitivity analysis, ranges in these figures were used to estimate the stem-haul cost components. For a detailed list and description of these cost components, see Exhibit 1. Exhibit 1. Cost Components Used in Calculating Vehicle Costs, 1982

ĩ

Cost Component	Range/Average	Source of Information
Tractor Purchase Price	Range: \$50,000 to \$55,000	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Trailer Purchase Price	Range: \$30,000 to \$32,000	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Straight-Chassis Price	Range: \$50,000 to \$55,000	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Salvage Value Tractor and Trailer and Straight- Chassis Truck	10% of Purchase Price	Average of figures reported
Number of Spare Vehicles Needed	l spare tractor, 1 spare trailer for every 10	Average of figures reported
Tractor Life in Miles Straight-Chassis Life in Miles	500,000 miles 300,000 miles	Average of figures reported
Tractor Life in Years Outside Plants Inside Plants Straight Chassis Trucks	5-8 years 8-10 years 8-10 years	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Trailer Life in Years	12 years	Average of figures reported
Registration	Varies state to state	
Insurance	\$2,200 a year tractor-trailer \$1,300 a year straight-chassis	Average of reported figures
Federal Highway Use Tax	\$210.00 a year tractor-trailer \$120.00 a year straight- chassis	Calculated based on gross unloaded weight of tractor 14,000 lbs
Repair and Maintenance	\$.08 - \$.12 per mile	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Miles Per Gallon	4.5-5.6 miles per gallon	Range reported by cooperating handlers
Reefer Fuel Use	3/4 gailon per hour	Average of reported figures
Tire Price (new)	\$273.00	Average of reported figures
Recap Price	\$77.50	Average of reported figures
New Tire Life	100,000 miles tractor-trailer 70,000 miles straight-chassis	Average of reported figures
Recapped Tire Life	72,000 míles	Average of reported figures
Diesel Price Per Gallon	\$1.10 gallon	Average of reported figures

21

i

Vehicle coscs were allocated to the stem-haul based on the manner in which each cost was incurred. For example, repair and maintenance, tire, and fuel costs were incurred on a per-mile basis and ware allocated to the stem-haul based on stem-haul miles. Applicable state highway use taxes, ton-mile taxes and fuel taxes were included in the stem-haul cost comparisons and were calculated using actual rates and stem-haul miles. All tolls associated with each route were also included in the cost comparisons.

Au applicable portion of the fixed-cost components, such as registration fees, insurance, and interest and depreclation on delivery vehicles, were also allocated to the sum-haul. But, since fixed costs are not incurred on a mileage basis, they were difficult to allocate to either the on-route or stem portion of any route scenario. Therefore, a differential approach was employed. If a fixed cost component was not significantly different for inside versus outside handlers, it was not included in the stem-haul costs for either. If the cost varied significantly between the inside and the outside handler, only the <u>difference</u> in the cost component was included and was added to the stem cost for the handler who bore the higher cost. Licenses, registration fees, insurance, and federal highway use tax were dealt with in this manner. None of these costs were significantly different for insiders or outsiders and were ignored.

Tractor interest and depreciation costs were separated from trailer interest and depreciation. Interest and depreciation for each were based on replacement price, expected length of life in years and miles, salvage value, and cost of capital. The handlers estimated an everage life of 12 years for a box trailer and stated that useful life varied with the amount of wear and tear associated with on-route delivery, not with stem mileage. Although some "fatigue" occurred during the stem haul, the limiting factor in useful life for trailers was assumed to be "on-route" stress; charafore, capital trailer costs should be allocated to on-route costs. However, on-route times and distances were assumed to be identical for inside and outside handlers in this analysis; therefore, interest and depreciation costs of trailers were ignored.

On the other hand, the stated average length of life for the tractor was 500,000 miles for all handlers; therefore, life in years depended on annual mileages for tractors. Inside handlers had experienced useful tractor lives of 8 to 10 years, while outsiders only 6 to 8. Stem-haul engine wear was largely a function of miles traveled, while on-route stress varied with driving and delivery conditions. Since the on-route conditions were the same for inside and outside handlers for each scenario, the tractor interest and depreciation costs attributable to the on-route portion were also the same. But there were, or would be, differences in stem-haul mileege for inside and outside handlers. A tractor typically would reach the end of its useful life in miles two years sooner for the outside handler than the inside one because of higher stem distances. Or, stated differently, within a given length of time, the outside handler would have to replace tractors more often; therefore, annual tractor interest and depreciation costs would be higher for the outside handler. The difference between fixed tractor interest and depreciation costs per year for the inside and outside handler was included in the stem-haul costs for outside handlers. (Exhibits 2 and 3.)

In addition, two sets of stem-haul costs were calculated for each route studied using two sets of vehicle costs; one was a low cost and the other a high cost. The low-cost calculations assumed: \$50,000 tractor purchase price,

	Calculati	lon of Stem-Haul Costs	
Notes: 1,	The value to illust	es reported in this exhibit are the ones use trate the calculation of stem-haul cost comp	d in Exhibit 3 arisons.
2.	The numbe necessari	ers used here are for illustration only. Th Lly numbers used in the actual analysis.	ey are not
Cost Compo	nent	Explanation/Source	Value
Total Rout	e Time	Driving time for stem-haul round trip, plus store-to-store delivery time plus time at store, personal time, fatigue and delay; limited to 15 hours with one man on delivery truck.	12 hours out- side route 8 hours insid route
Stem Time		Stem driving hours plus percent of personal, fatigue and delay time allocable to the stem-haul; limited to 10 hours total with one man on delivery truck.	4 hours out- side route 2 hours insid route
Stem Dista	ince	Mileage from plant to "first" store of delivery plus mileage from "last" delivered store back to plant.	200 miles out side route 80 miles in- side route
Vehicle Op Time	peration	Number of days delivery vehicle is in use; not necessarily on same route every day.	5 days
Regular We Length	orkday	Normal scheduled workday.	10 hours out- side route 8 hours insid route
Cost of C	apital	An estimate of the real, inflation-free, rate.	9 percent
Labor Cos Straight		Based on either hourly rate or daily base salary plus commission, includ- ing all fringes.	\$120/day (15.00/hour)
Overtime	Cost	Based on cost of straight hour plus fringes at specified overtime rate, (i.e., time and a half).	\$22/hour
Tractor P Price	urchase	Based on industry-reported replacement costs for typical over-the-road tractor.	\$50,000
Tractor L	ife	Outside handlers reported tractor reached 500,000 miles in 6 years. Inside handlers reported tractor reached 500,000 miles in 8 years.	6 years 8 years

Exhibit 2. Cost Component Values Used in Hypothetical Illustration of Calculation of Stem-Haul Costs

Exhibit 2 (continued)

Cost Component	Explanation/Source	Value
Salvage Value Equipment	Based on reported average	10% of pur- chase price
Equipment Needs Per Route	Based on reported averages	l for every 10
Fuel Mileage	Based on reported averages	5.0 MPG
Reefer Fuel Use	Based on reported averages	.75 gallon/hr.
Fuel Price	Based on reported averages	\$1.10/gal.
Refrigeration Fuel Cost	Based on reported averages	\$1.05/gal.
New Tire Life	Based on reported averages	100,000 miles
Vew Tire Price	Based on reported averages	\$273.00
Recapped Tire Price	Based on reported averages	\$77.50
Recap Life	Based on reported averages	72,000 miles
Recaps Per Casing	Based on reported averages, taking into account that re- capped tires are not generally used as drive tires.	1
lepa≬r Cost	Based on reported averages includes repair and mainten- ance over the life of the vehicle, excluding diesel and tires.	\$.12/mile
olls	Calculated for each route	\$10.00 outside \$3.00 inside route
oad Use Taxes	Calculated using actual rates and actual taxable miles for route wherever applicable. (i.e., NJ handler travelling into NY State would be charged NY Ton Mile Tax)	,017/mile NY TMT -outside route all 200 stem miles in NY. -inside route 30 stem miles in Ny

- Exhibit 3. Betalled Hypothesical Example of Calculation of Stem-Haul Gost and Inside Handley Transportation-Cost Advantage or Disadvantage Per Hundradweight of Fackaged Milk
- Notes: 1. This is for cusable only. These calculations are not necessarily exact numbers used in the analysis.
 - 2. Numbers used for this passple can be found in Exhibit 2.
 - 3. Both inside and outside routes assumed to be serving a sales area locarioo in New Verk Lieve, such neurging 800 24-quart cases, making 5 stops.

Cost Component	iel. Biankoo	Value
Labor Jose	bucside conte: A stem bours, 2 @ overtime cost per hour, 2 @ straight cost per hour (2 x 22.00) + (2 s 15.00)	\$74
	Inside Route: 7 stem bours (straight coat per bour (pu enathing induction on this route) 1. K (18-20)	30
Interest and Devised	en e	nali nan nan ang na nan nan nan nan nan nan
Salvage Value Tractor	Contener nowes for toate x Tractor Volthese Price) a Salvage Value of Equipment (1120 x \$30,000) x 102	\$ 5,500
Present Value of Salvage Value	Salvage Value Tractor z Zresent Value Electer (j. Ear o provo et 90	
Inside Handler Outside Handler	ちょうしむ は しつし1号 (登 (*10×06)) ちょうのし № してのたま(ご へにかべた)	2,760 3,279
Interest and De- preciation Cost per yes:	(täsulpuene oneos olimount Putchese Store) – 19 Stierre' – Anosity Jactor² Sec o puers at 96	
Inside Handler Outside Handler	7(7, 10 x 30,000) - 7,750) + 5,3548 <u>177,10 x 30,000) - 3,279] + 4,4659</u>	9,438 11,529
Difference in Interest and Dop. Cost per year Inside/outside	- 811,524 - 39,433	2,091
Differential Cost for Gutside Handlet per mile	Difference en 1 e D'Cost Vear * Annest welsen Cractor Outside Mamiler 12,031 - 1240 (000/5 years)	.025/mile

Exhibit 3 (continued)

Cost Component	Calculation	Val	ue dollars
Tire and Fuel Cost			in the state of a second s
Fuel Cost Mile	Fuel Price Per Gallon + MPG \$1.10 + 5.0		\$.22/m1le
Refrigeration Cost	Refrigeration Fuel Price/Gal. x Fuel Use per hour \$1.05 x .75		.79/hour
Tire Cost Mile	[New Tire Price + (Number Recaps x Recap Price)] + [New Tire Life + (Number Recaps x Recap Life)] x Number of Tires [\$273 + (1 x \$77.50)] + [100,000 miles + (1 x 72,000 miles)] x 18 tires		.04/mile
Additional Road Taxes		·	
New York State Ton Mile Tax	Tax Rate x Taxable Stem Miles .017 x 200 miles outside route .017 x 30 miles inside route		3.40 .51
Tells	All tolls added to stem	10 3	0 0 5 0 4 4 0
Total Cost of Stem Haul		Inside Route	Outside Route
Labor Cost Stem		\$30.00	ş 74 . 00
Differential Stem Interest and Depreciation Cost Outside Handler	Differential Cost per mile x Stem Miles \$.025 x 200 miles		5.00
Tire Cost Stem	Tire Cost Mile x Stem Miles \$.04 x 200 miles \$.04 x 80 miles	3.20	8.00

Exhibit 3 (continued)

1

Total Cost of Stem Haul		Inside <u>Route</u>	Outside Route
Fuel Cost Stem	(Fuel Cost Mile x Stem Miles) + Ref. Fuel Cost Hour x 1/2 Stem Hours (\$.22 mile x 200 miles) + 2 hours x \$.79 hour (\$.22 mile x 80 miles) + 1 hour x \$.79 hour	\$18.39	\$ 45.00
Repair Cost Stem	Repair Cost Mile x Stem Miles \$.12 x 200 miles \$.12 x 80 miles	9.60	24.00
Road Use Tax Stem	Tax Rate x Taxable Stem Miles \$.017 x 200 miles \$.017 x 30 miles	.51	3.40
Tolls		3.00	\$10.00
Total Cost of Stem Haul		\$64.70	\$169.40
Total Stem-Haul Cost per (800 24-quart cases e	: Hundredweight qual approximately 412.8 cwt.)	\$.157	\$.410
Federal Order Transport:	ation/Location Differential	+ ,590	+ ,286
Stem Haul Cost per cwt :	including differential	s .7 47	\$. 696
Advantage (+)/Disadvant.	age(-) of Inside Handler	wis S	051/cwt.

¹Present Value Factor = $(1 + r)^{-n}$; where r = rate of interest; n = years.

²Annuity Factor = Present value of \$1 received annually at the end of each year for n years.

 $\frac{1-(1+r)^{-n}}{r}$

5.6 MPG on diesel fuel and \$0.08 per mile for maintenance and repairs. High vehicle costs assumed \$55,000 for tractor purchase price, 4.5 MPG on diesel fuel, and \$0.12 per mile for maintenance and repairs. The estimated stem-haul costs ranged from 1.0 to 3.0 cents per hundredweight for inside handlers, and from 4.0 to 6.0 cents per hundredweight for outside handlers. The higher costs for outsiders are due to higher stem mileages.

An average of the low and high stem-haul costs for all routes was used as the basis for the cost comparisons. The average cost per hundredweight for the inside handler was compared to the average cost per hundredweight for the outside handler to determine the average advantage or disadvantage per hundredweight. A detailed illustration of the computations used in the cost comparisons in this study is given in Exhibits 2 and 3. A detailed discussion of the results of the basic comparison follows.

BASIC COMPARISON RESULTS

Some of the outside plants could not operate routes to all of the sales areas, because those routes would exceed the Federal standard of 15 hours per day. Hypothetically, with seven outside plants, seven sales areas and three load sizes, there could be 147 routes from outside plants to be compared to routes from four inside plants for a total of 588 route-by-route stem-haul comparisons. However, only 108 of the 147 outside routes (73%) were feasible; therefore, only 432 stem-haul comparisons were needed.

The results of the basic comparison indicate that inside plants would have a transportation-cost advantage on 72% of the 432 feasible routes. This advantage would average 21.0 cents per hundredweight for all four inside plants and all three load sizes. However, there is a wide variation in the inside advantage among the plants and the sales areas.

Table 8 presents the transportation-cost advantage of each inside plant location versus all outside plant routes to all sales areas, by load size. As load size increases, both total hundredweight delivered and total time required to complete the route increase. And stem-haul cost increases somewhat as total route time increases. However, stem-haul cost increases less with load size than total hundredweight delivered; therefore, stem-haul cost per hundredweight, without the order differential, declines. The order differential is constant per hundredweight regardless of load size; therefore, the inside advantage declines as load size increases. However there are fewer feasible outside routes for the larger load sizes; at 600 cases 80% are feasible, while at 800 cases only 67% would meet Federal standards.

Table 9 shows the transportation-cost advantage for inside plants grouped by one-way stem distance from the outside plant to the sales area. As stem distance increases for outside plants, their total route time and stem-haul cost increase. On the other hand, as outside plant to sales area distance and stem-haul cost <u>declines</u>, inside plant to sales area distance and stem-haul cost does <u>not necessarily increase</u>. For example, on routes to sales areas within 75 miles of an outside plant, inside plants would have a 94% transportation-cost advantage, averaging 13.7 cents per hundredweight over all load sizes. Therefore, although some outside plants are relatively near some sales areas, inside plants would have a locational advantage under current Order No. 2 provisions. Percent of Roures On Which Inside Handler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Superwarket Accounts, Amount of Advantage or Disadvantage, By Load Size, 1982 Table 5.

And shares a stress start.

	nanower family & groups a shall				1	Load Size			and the second of the second	ومستعمل الرابعة والمستعم والمستؤلا المالية ومستعر المستعمل والمستوار معارضهم	substantiation of the state of the substant of the substant state of the	
	60	600 24-0r. Cases) € S 10 ± 10)		750 (150 6	750 24-Qr. Cases (150 cases/delfvery)	ses very)			ੂ ਹੋ	e ()	Weighted Average
Total No. of Routes		3	Average Range In Average Range In Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) Adv. To Adv.	Total No. of Routes		Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range In or Disadv.(-) To Adv.	7 Toral No. of Roures	t Pot. Df Of ss Advs.		Average Range in Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) Adv. To Adv.	Disauv.(-) or Adv. for all load sizes
	وسياريونيا فارتجعها رديا سيابيه سينا	~ / *	\$/cut	and the second		1	¢/cut			\$ / cur	۲.	f / cut
6 E	79	32.6	22.6 -23.7 to 79.0	ŝ	66	18.8	-26.7 to 62.5	33	67	15.9	-27.2 to 58.5	10°. 10
Gallfroron N.F 39	82	9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-11.9 to 83.0	36	75	21.9	-17.9 co 63.6	.6 33	5	16.4	-19.4 to 57.5	22.4
		22.1		36	64		-16.6 ro 77.2	.2 33	58	8*81	-17.6 to 67.7	20.8
	92	24.4		Sec.	79	22.1	-18.7 to 72.6	33	58	1.1.	-19.7 to 64.6	9.12
156	83	23.9	3.9	1 144 Ins10	68 le Advæn	21.0 Lage on 31	144 68 21.0 Inside Advantage on 312/432 Routes = 72X	132 	79	1 * L T	and the second secon	21.0

The cotal number of routes includes comparisons between each inside plant and each outside plant for all sales areas. Since there 7 ourside plants and 7 sales areas, the maximum number of comparisons is 49. Any rotal less than 49 is due to the elimination of infeasible powers (i.e., routes requiring more than 15 hours per day). • •••4

NOTES:

2. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage.

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size. r,

Percent of Roures On Which Inside Bandler Ras A Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of Advantage or Dissuivantage, by Distance From the Outside Flant to the Sales Area, and Load Size, 1982 Table 9.

Out at dr				4	~		りょうばん テッジ とま とこく			(1) (1) (1)				201 212 201
	transmission of the second second	(100 c)	(100 cases/delivery)	ry)		(150 c	(150 cases/delivery)	, γµikµa d		(133 cv	J3 Cases/deliverv)	۲۷) ۲۷)	なられ、アキロ	UT ALL 432 Travella
rient to Jo Sales No Area* Ro	Loral No. of Koutes	rct. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Average Range in Dis.(-) or Disadv.(~) Adv. To Adv.	Tore No. Wour	l Pct. of of es Advs.	Average Dis (°) or Adv.	arge in Isadv.(~) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Pcr. of Advs.	For Average Ra of Uts.(-) or Ut Advs. Adv. T	Average Range In Dis.(~) br Disadv.(-) Adv. To Adv.	Dis.(+) or Rize., 7 Adv. for sll h Dis- Load sizes famer Ke	Rtee., X In Dis- fance Kange
(miles)			¢∕cwt	ţ.	a management of the second state of the second	and all the second memory where the		1¢			*//	\$/cwt	¢/ CWE	
75 or fewer	16	75	16.0	- 2.0 to 34.1	16	76	4 i s	- 1.0 to 28.6	540 Jan	75	11.8	- 1.0 to 26.6	6.61	استر ه ۱۹۰۹ ۲۰۰۹
76 - 107	20	40	1 - 13	1.8 -23.7 to 43.0	20	20	T 4 ~	-26.7 to 34.5	50	$c_{ij}^{(a)}$	- 6.7	-27.2 to 32.5	1 6	0
108 - 150	76	26	26.8	-18.4 to 77.5	68	60	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-22.9 to 62.5	64	-20 22	16.0	-23.9 to 58.5	26.5	1.84
more than 150	体森	20	L. 1E.	31.7 - 1.4 to 83.6	40	u'i D'i	42.3	- 7.8 to 77.2	č	\t 6	14 S	- 7.8 to 67.7	5, <u>7</u> 6	6 5 5 7 7
														100.0

Ranges given represent the largest disadvantage(~) to the largest advantage. , ,--;

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of routes. ~

As outside plant to sales area distance increases above 107 miles, the inside plant advantage increases also. Inside plants would have a transportationcost advantage on 77% of the routes requiring more than 107 miles of one-way stem for outsiders. This advantage would average 29.0 cents per hundredweight for all load sizes in these distance ranges. In addition, 75% of the feasible routes would require at least 108 one-way stem miles for outside plants.

The inside plants would be at a small disadvantage compared to outside plants in serving sales areas between 76 and 107 miles from outside plants. In this distance range, total differential stem-haul costs are similar for both outside and inside plants; however, only 14 percent of the feasible routes would fall into this distance category.

In Table 10, the transportation-cost advantage of inside plants in relation to all outside plants is presented for three sales-area groupings. Generally, as sales locations differ, stem distance for both inside and outside plants differ. Inside plants would have the smallest advantage in serving stores in South Central New Jersey (Freehold and New Brunswick) because these two sales locations are within 75 miles of two outside plants but are more distant from some insiders (e.g., Yonkers) than others (e.g., Flemington).

On the other hand, inside plants would enjoy a transportation-cost advantage on 82% of the routes serving Suburban New York sales areas (Yonkers and New City). The Suburban New York locations are more than 107 one-way stem miles from all outside plants and are closer to more inside plants. Although Flemington is more distant from either Yonkers or New City than the Yonkers plant, Flemington would still have an advantage over most outsiders.

Table 11 shows each inside plant's transportation-cost advantage compared to each outside plant. As outside plant location changes, both the applicable Order No. 2 (or Order No. 4) transportation differential or location adjustment and outside plant-to-sales area stem distances change. Inside plants would be at a small disadvantage in relation to the plant in Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania and at a somewhat larger disadvantage compared to the Scranton, Pennsylvania location.

If the Fort Washington and Lansdale, Pennsylvania plants continue to be pooled in Order No. 4, inside plants would have an advantage on virtually all feasible routes from those plants.

a second a second s

The Roxbury and Fultonville, New York plant locations are too distant from the sales areas to serve them. Roxbury could only operate 600-case load routes to three sales areas, all routes for larger loads would exceed Federal workday standards. The Fultonville plant could operate routes at all load sizes by using two routemen for the larger case loads; however, insiders would have an average advantage of 44.2 cents per hundredweight on 99% of all Fultonville routes.

In conclusion, based only on differential stem-haul costs and on the applicable order transportation differential or location adjustment, the handlers located within the Order No. 2 1-70 mile zone have an advantage in the majority of cases in serving stores within that zone compared to outside plants under current Order No. 2 provisions, amended as of September 1, 1981. However, in conducting our analysis for the basic comparison, it was necessary to make a number of assumptions in order to isolate the differences in stem-haul costs and order provisions from other non-institutional cost differences. Therefore, sensitivity analysis was performed; the results of this analysis follow.

Table 10. Fercent of Routes On Which Inside Randler Ras a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Superastket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage 1982. Advantage of Disadvantage, By Location of Sales Area, and Load Size, 1982.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		والمحافظة والمحافظة المحافظة والمحافظة	- WV 7		an de maren energiele anne (Prostangeler), den 1. aanvere met 1. eeuwerken.		Ţ	Load Size	gana in har mengen di napadigi dijande landar kara pada da ganggaga.	and the second se	an a binn an a	and the second states of the s		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				zamur. Cos Jases/deliv	les 'ery)	all of the same	(150 e	24-01. Cas ases/dellv	ies grv)		800	24-00 ° 0886 seev/del144	18 Tref	ldetaberad Aura
$ \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{50} = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{2.3} = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{13.7} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{50} = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{2.3} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{20} = \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{60}}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{60}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{60}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{60}}{10} \frac{\sqrt{60}}{10} $	Location of Inside Flant	Total No. of Routes		Average Dia.(~) o Adv.	Range in Disadv.(~) To Adv.	Yocal No. of Routes	Pcc. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) o Adv.	Range in Risadv.(~) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Mcr. Df Ådvæ.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range In Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Dikady. (~) cr Adv. for all load sizes
NY 10 50 -2.3 -18.7 $c0$ 20.4 10 50 1.2 $-2.4.4$ 10 49.6 10 50 1.2 $-2.4.4$ 10 49.6 10 10 50 1.2 $-2.4.4$ 10 40.6 7.4 -19.4 $c0$ 51.7 -19.7 $c0$ 51.7 -19.7 $c0$ $c0$ -11.7 $c0$ $c0$ -11.7 $c0$ $c0$ -11.7 $c0$		n na mana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	- All a field a second s		Ser.		-NTUO2	¢/c CENTKAL, NE	we Wjersey		and an and the second			5/242
	onkere, NY	.10	90	1 10 10	-18.7 ro 28.4	0 T	60	د، ش	-23.4 to 56.1	2	96	54 24	-24.4 20 49.5	0.7
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			\$O	6°9	-11.9 to 39.4	0	60	10.2	-17.9 ro 63.6	2	0.9	्य १~	-19.4 to 54.1	ж ~"
NJ 10 10 10.1 10.10 17.7 1.3 10.4 10 10 10 10.1 14.2 JENSEY 11.2 11.2 11.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 10.6 13.9 -13.9 15.6 64.6 JENSEY 11.2 11.2 11.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 10.8 -13.4 10.6 10.9 JENSEY 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 10.8 -23.7 10.6 10.9 60 18.9 -27.2 10.8 , NY 17 82 22.9 -23.7 17 65 18.9 -26.7 15 60 18.9 -27.2 10.7 , ton, NJ 17 82 22.9 -23.7 17 76 23.4 -17.7 17.7 15 57.5 , ton, NJ 17 76 24.5 -15.7 15 60 21.4 -17.7 10.7 17.7 10.7 , ton, NJ 17 76 24.5 15.7 15 60 21.4 -17.7 15 17.6 17.7 15 15 17 17.7 15 17.6 17.7 15	iemingron,		100	22.5	3.7 to 55.0	10	70	24.0	3.8	10	60		5.8 to	
. SOUTH CENTRAL JEKSEY JEKSEY JEKSEY 11.2 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.7 12.7	nion, NJ	10	001	17.7	1.3 to 48.4	10	6.0	19.4	7.4 20	0,	60		ç L	
↓ NY 17 82 22.9 -23.7 to 67.5 17 65 18.9 -26.7 to 55.6 15 60 18.9 -27.2 to 50.0 (ton, NJ 17 82 22.9 -23.7 to 67.5 17 65 18.9 -26.7 to 55.6 15 4 -17.7 to 57.5 50.0 (ton, NJ 17 76 24.4 -12.8 76 24.5 -15.7 to 62.0 15 60 18.9 -27.2 to 57.5 50.0 (ton, NJ 17 76 24.5 -15.7 16.6 17 65 21.7 -16.6 15 73 23.4 -17.7 to 57.5 50.0 NJ 17 82 26.5 -13.2 to 61.6 17 65 21.8 70 50.0 17 60.0 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 NORTH 17 82 26.5 -13.2 17 65 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 16 NORTH 25.7 -18.7 to 56.6 15 60<	VERALL SOUT NEW JERSE	A CENTRAL Y		1.2				14.2 16. Advant.	age on 78/120 re	1	1	10.8	a de la mandre de la contra de la	12.1
<pre>, NY 17 82 22.9 -23.7 to 67.5 17.0 17 65 18.9 ~26.7 to 55.6 15 60 18.9 -27.2 to 50.0 ton, NJ 17 82 28.9 -9.7 to 77.0 17 76 24.5 ~15.7 to 62.0 15 73 23.4 -17.7 to 57.5 ton, NJ 17 76 24.4 -12.1 to 61.6 17 65 21.7 ~16.6 to 57.7 15 60 21.8 ~17.6 to 51.7 NJ 17 82 26.5 -13.2 to 68.0 17 65 22.2 ~18.7 to 56.6 15 60 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 NORTH CENTRAL STATAL S</pre>				*	The j		MURTH	CENTRAL NE	wc W JERSEY		a den marca da constante a constante de		tert.	¢ / cwc
rton, NJ 17 82 28.9 - 9.7 to 77.0 17 76 24.5 -15.7 to 62.0 15 73 23.4 -17.7 to 57.5 ton, NJ 17 76 24.4 -12.1 to 61.6 17 65 21.7 -16.6 to 57.7 15 60 21.8 -17.6 to 51.7 NJ 17 82 26.5 -13.2 to 68.0 17 65 22.2 -18.7 to 56.6 15 60 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 NORTH CENTRAL JERISET 25.7 Inside Advantage on 140/196 routes = 71.8	onkers, NY	1	82	22.9	-23.7 to 67.5	17	65	18,9	-26.7 to 55.6	ул red	60		27.2 to 50.0	20.3
rton, NJ 17 76 24.4 -12.1 to 61.6 17 65 21.7 -16.6 to 57.7 15 60 21.8 -17.6 to 51.7 NJ 17 82 26.5 -13.2 to 68.0 17 65 22.2 -18.7 to 56.6 15 60 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 NORTH CENTRAL JERSEY 25.7 Inside Advantage on 140/196 routes = 71.8 Inside Advantage on 140/196 routes = 71.8	allington,		82	28-9	- 9.7 to 77.0	~	36	24.5	01	당] ~~1	5		сò	25.7
NJ 17 82 26.5 -13.2 to 68.0 17 65 22.2 -18.7 to 56.6 15 60 21.4 -19.7 to 50.0 NORTH CENTRAL JERSEY 25.7 1 25.7 21.8 21.8 21.8 21.8 21.8 21.8 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4 21.4	leaington,		26	24.4	-12.1 to 61.6	<u>ج</u>	ц ф	C* 12	-16.6 to 57.7	יי די	60		to 1	22.19
25.7 25.7 Inside Advancage on 140/196 rouces = 71%	aton, NJ	17	82	26.5	+13.2 to 68.0	17	65	22.2	-18.7 to 56.6	ນາ: 	09			ସ ୯ ୯
	VERALL NORT NEW JERSE	H CENTRAL Y		1 ° 1 2		n a frank were date men joart so	Ineid	21.R 21.R	e an 140/196 rou	1	71%	21.4	la una vez ante ante ante ante ante ante ante ante	23,0

Table 10 continued	tnued									ما مىسىرىرىد. قام يە – ي	يىلىغىنى بىرىلىمىنى بىسىغ بىرىپىرىيىنى مەن بىلىغىنىيىنى بىرىكى قىيىرىيى	مريان ماران ماران مارد الاستان المراجع المارية المارية المارية المارية المارية المارية المارية المارية الم	ماد و دوم از مان از این از از این از از این این از این از این
and the second			And a second	والتركيس والمراجع وال		Lc	Load Size						
	al de la constante de la constante	600 2	600 24-01. Cases	:S rv)		750 : 750 ci	750 24-Qt. Cases 50 cases/deliver	jry)		800 (133 c	800 24-Qt. Cases 33 cases/deliver	s ry)	Weighted Average
Location of Inside Plant	Total No. of Routes	Pcr. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Rauge In Basdv.(-) To Adv.	TOLAI No. of Routes	Pct. of Advs.	Pct. Average R of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	Range in Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Pct. of Advs.	Pct. Average R of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	Range In Disadv.(~) To Adv.	Range in Disadv.(-) or Disadv.(-) Adv. for all To Adv. loed sizes
Yonkers, NY	12	100	42.9	15.3 to 79.0	6	SURI 100	SURURBAN NEW YORK 35.8 4	10RK 4.8 ro 62.5		100	28.7	1.3 to 58.5	36.8
Wallington, NJ	1 12	100	38.8	8.3 to 83.0	6	68	30.2	- 0.7 to 62.5	30	88		- 2.7 to 47.0	31.7
Flemington, NJ	J 12	67	18.5	- 7.1 to 56.6	6	56	16.7	-12.1 to 42.6	ю 	50	10.7	-13.6 to 38.6	15.8
Union, NJ	12	100	27.0	2.3 to 66.0	6	67	22.2	- 5.7 to 49.0	200	50	15.4 -	- 8.2 to 43.0	22.3
OVERALL SUBURBAN NEW YORK	BAN	and and any and an even of the data	31.8			Insid	26.2 e Advantage	26.2 Inside Advantage on 95/116 routes	ដ ខេទ្	82%	19.4		26.7
NOTES: 1.	Ranges	represen	ic the larg	Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage.	ge(-) to	the la	rgest advai	ntage.					
2.	The wei each lo	The weighted av each load size.	erages are	 The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes each load size. 	dvantage	s/disad	vantages f.	or each load s.	ize veigh	red by	the total n	mmber of feas	ible routes for
									•	;		F	

South Central New Jersey includes Freehold and New Brunswick, New Jersey; North Central New Jersey includes Dover, Paterson and Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey; and Suburban New York includes New City and Yonkers, New York. For the detailed results sales area by sales area, see Table 21 in the Appendix. 'n

Table 11. Percent of Roures On Which Inside Randler Ras a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage of Disadvantage, By Location of Ourside Plant, and Load Size, 1982

	and the second second second	And a second	and the second			ٿي. ر	Logd Size							
		600 (100 (600 24-Qt. Cases (100 cases/delivery)	les (ery)	a film da film a construction de la	750 (150 c	750 24-Qr. Cases (150 cases/dellverv)	ts [rv]		800	800 24-Qr. Cases 33 rases/deliver	8 8	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	SALAT Local of the State
Locarion of Inside Plant	Total No. of Moutes		Average Dis.(-) ar Ådv.	Range in r Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Toral No. of Koutes	PCC. of Adve.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Kange in f Disadv.(~) To Adv.	Totæl No. of Rout≞s	I	Por. Average 3. of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.	Range In Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Dis.(~) or Dis.(~) or Adv. for all load sizes	X of Inside Adv.
				↓ / www.	and an and a second	and a first part of the state o	\$/ cwt	101.		and a second	Alta / f	1	<u>k (-115</u>	
					FORT		WASHINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA	IS YL VAN I A) 	OVERALL	30.8	296
Yonkers, NY	~	86	41.9	- 2.0 to 74.0	ř~	36	8°55	- 1.0 to 59.5	Q	£3	27.7 -	1.0 to 55.0	34.8	Sector addressed
Wallington, NJ	1 1	100	ት ም	4.5 to 78.0	~	100	36.6	4.0 to 62.5	~~	100	30.2	3.5 to 54.5	20 	
Flemington, NJ	13 J	100	42.6	20.1 to 56.1	5~	100	35,5	18.1 to 46.6	ç	100	32,4	17.1 to 44.1	19 19 19	
Union, NJ	L	100	44.6	18.0 to 63.0	1~	100	36.0	15.5 to 51.0	ç	100	30.7	12.0 to 47.0	37.4	
				an fina a faranzar a anterior a mante ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an	LAN	LANDSDALE,	PEANS YLVANIA	1.1.A	An include the sum of	and an and a set of the set of th	ann an	OVERALL	40.9	100%
Yonkers, NY	1	100	47.8	3.0 to 79.0	er T	100	24.25	2.5 to 62.5	9	100	32.1	2.5 to 58.5	38.5	viter-gra
Wallington, NJ	1	100	51.4	9.5 to 83.0	ýg	100	36,9	7.5 co 62.0	é	100	34.5	7.0 to 57.5	5.14	B-100 (100-10) (100-10) (100-10)
Flemington, WJ	13 7	100	48.5	25.1 to 61.6	¢,	100	39.0	21.6 to 50.1	ç	100	36.8	20.6 to 47.6	41.8	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
Union, NJ	7	100	50.5	23.0 to 68.0	9	100	36₄5	19.0 to 54.5	Q	100	35.0	16.0 to 50.0	41.8	
							C.011	cont inued			and a second provide statement of the second statement of the	la na anna ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a		

į

יריין אורייאניין אין איין איין אין אין אין אין אין אי	erannen antiko antaŭ emer	- Marriel Color Marriel Marriel Televis		And a management of the second se			Load Size	A		000	000 41 No 000 N	المراجع معادمة معادمة المراجع من المراجع معادمة المراجع من المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع الم المراجع		
1	alimente estatuaria en al Alimente de La compañía d	600	600 24-01. Cases 00 reserved Av 1 War	. 7 V)		750 (150 c	750 24-QL. Cases (150 cases/delivery	les /ery)			cases/delivery	2	Wrd, Avg.	ـــار ح توفي
Location T of Inside N	Total No. of Proves	Per. of Adva.	Per. Average R of Dis.(~) or D Advs. Adv.	Range in Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Toral No. of Roures	Pcr. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range in or Dieadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Fer. Advæ. Advæ.	Average Dis.() or Adv.	ur after (-) After To Adv.	Adv. for 411 Load sizes	Tneide Adv.
			¢/cwr		SCHUYLK	ILL BAV	SCHUYLKILL RAVER, PENNSYLVANIA	¢∕cwr S'rlvāniā			1001 + / COL	wr OVERALL	¢/cwr - 2.0	39%
Vonkore NY	÷	L.C.	يني نين	-18.4 to 32.6		43	- 6.2	-23.4 to 16.1	2	29	۱ ۵ ۲	-24.4 to 12.6	् हा भ	
		15		-11.9 to 28.1	Γ	43	- 3.4	-17,9 to 12.1	٢	4	ະ ມີ 1	-19.4 to 10.1	9°0 -	- مردار اردم
	1-	89 89	4.2	- 1.3 to 8.2	~	0	- 4.4	~ 7.8 to -0.8	~	0	- 6.1	- 9,8 50 -3.3		
	~	86	¢•5	- 2.4 to 13.1	~	29	0.4.0	- 9.9 to 1.6	~	0	- 6.8	-13.9 to -1.4	- 1.5	
	The second second of the second second				scr	RANTON,	PENNS YLVANIA	WIA				OVERALL	- 6.4	26%
	ب	57	- 2,6	-23.7 ro 23.8	r-	29	н 9,8	-26.7 to 11.8	~	29	-11.6	-27.2 to 8.8	- 8.0	
Ioutets, wi weisis		- L7	1.0	- 9.7 to 19.3	~	29	- 7.0	-15.7 to 7.8	~	29	- 1°6 -	-17.7 to 4.3	- 5.0	
		90	5*T 1	-12.1 to 8.9	~	14	8.0	~16.6 to 0.4	7	0	- 6.7	~17.6 to -1.6	۰ 6.5	
кгон , ж. к		F	0.1		~	0	- 7.6	-18.7 to -2.2	~	0	-10.4	-19.7 to -4.7	- 6.0	
CM SHOTHO						BINGRAM	BINGRAMTON, NEW Y	YORK				OVERALI	13.0	82%
Yookers, NY	ŝ	100	13.9	2.0 to 22.0	5	50	- 1.5	- 8.0 to 5.0	*		1	dan tau	. v.	
Wallfnorm N.I		100	20.8	17.0 to 27.5	5	50	8 *2	3.5 to 13.0	*	ì	an (r	And the second second	17.2	
		80	16.0	- 1.4 to 33.1	'~i	50	1.6	1.1 to 2.1	*	١			11.9	
	ŝ	100	17.7	8.0 ro 26.5	5	50	2.7	0.0 to 5.5	*	1		dan an	13.4	
				an die de la de				continued						

Table 11. Continued

	ona mila comme moralisadi. Cua intera	nerežieračnosti Antonio nerejanski tre dotora	n a transmissionen sedensische Lande mits sed Agriculturen vormführt besonen.	եւ ուղեներին եւ ենչ չինչ ենչ ուղենչություն ու թուրջները։ չինչպուս է են որ, որընցերը չենքությո	ويتعادمون المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	A COLUMN AND AND A COLUMN ADDRESS ADDR	Load Size	-	a a substantia de la subst	and the second se				
		600 ((100 (600 24-Qr. Cases (100 cases/delivery)	ts try)		750 (150 a	750 24-Qr. Cases 50 rases/deliverv)	es erv)		800 (133 c	24-01. Case ases/delive	800 le-qr. Cases 33 cases/deliverv)	Rid, Ave,	
Locarion of Inside Plant	Total No. of Noures	1	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Kange lu : Disadv. (-) To Adv.	Totel No. of Roures	Por.	For. Average Ra of Dis.(-) or Di Advs. Adv. T	r Disadv. (~) To Adv.	TOLAT No. Of RONCES	Adva.	FCL. Average Radio of Dis. (~) or Di	Bange In Bluedv.(-) To Adv.	Dis.(-) or Adv. for all Load sizes	X of Inside Adv.
and a second	strangly	and a second	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	and the second	non a fabrant ann an tao an tao ann an tao an	MULTIN	FULTORVILLE, NEW YORK	cwr Y()RK	uris terraturation		18.1 C. 81.	au UVERALL	\$ / 24T	, 992
Yonkers, WY	5 7 7	100	33.6	20,1 to A8.3		100	49.8	34.6 to 62.1	ş	1.03)	- Gr Inni Curt	30.1 ro 54.6	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
Wallington, N	NJ 3	100	32.9	26.1 to 40.1	Pr.	100	52.6	41.1 to 63.6	~.	100	£°24	34.6 to 54.1	40.3	
Flemington, NJ	N.J 3	67	14.9	- 0.3 to 24.2	~	100	51.6	21.2 10 77.2	~	lóu	4°54	16.7 to 67.7	-10 -14 -14 -14	1
Union, NJ	m	100	9° 22	9.1 to 31.1	<u>.</u>	100	52.0	27.6 TO 72.6	***~ ***	001	44.4	22.1 to 64.5	5 5	-14
a for foreigned for the first of the first o	re Annual Constants Property lines of the Visual	A Complete a configuration of the second	- o complete por la porta de la complete de la comp	'n menody' Ye waa is worken mei degen yn a degen y a ar menoden a ar weren.	a e factor de la companya de la comp	ROXBUR	ROXBURY, NEW YORK	سلية الجليل المتقاصيف وكالتسليل بالأطواب الم يكان إلى معاصلات الماك المالية	and the second	No. of the second s	مجرمه تأثم ورارعها ومعاطفه فالمعاط المعاط المعامل والرابي بالمعارفة والمعالم	OVERALL	28.0	100%
Yonkers, NY	ίλ <i>μ</i>	100	26.1	24.9 co 28.4	*	anii - Mari		, Anna Anna	¥r		With the West	den Ver	26.1	
Wallingron, h	K.J 3	100	79.4	19.9 20 39.4	4	774 H.A.	er m sys	regioner	\$	and a state			79.4	
Flewingron, NJ	N.J. 3	100	27.8	2.5 to 55.0	*\$	and a second	-the left-	Hafrada.	X	and the			27.8	1000-00-00 (P)
Union, NJ	ናግ	100	28.7	11.9 to 48.4	ż	a libratura	14 A* Blass		ň¢.	1	a interest	Alexant	28.7	-

Moutes ellminated based on feasibility standards.

1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage. NOTES:

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size. ?°

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Several assumptions used in the basic analysis were relaxed to examine the impact of each on the competitive environment. The following is a brief description of the methodology used to perform this sensitivity analysis with a short summary of the results of each change.

Order No. 2 Transportation Differentials

Two outside plant locations are currently pooled in Federal Order No. 4. Because the Order No. 2, 1-10 mile zone Class I price and the Class I price at these Order No. 4 plants are identical, 59.0 cents per hundredweight was used as a proxy for the Order No. 4 location adjustment for the basic analysis. However, if the plants located at Fort Washington and Lansdale were pooled in Order No. 2, their transportation differentials would be 28.6 cents per hundredweight. Sensitivity analysis was done to see the effects on the cost advantages/ disadvantages of inside handlers if these two plants were priced under Order No. 2. The results are as follows.

The number of routes for which the inside handler has a transportationcost advantage decreases from 312 in the basic analysis to 264 if the two Order No. 4 plants shifted to Order No. 2. Only 61% of all the inside plants' routes, rather than 72%, would have a cost advantage. The overall weighted average advantage for inside handlers would decrease from 21.0 cents to 10.0 cents per hundredweight (Table 12).

Table 9 shows the impact of the Order No. 2 assumption by comparing routes based on the distance of the outside plant to the sales area. When the two plants were pooled in Order No. 4, there was a significant advantage for inside handlers in sales areas that are more than 107 miles from the outside plant. That advantage is similar when all plants are pooled in Order No. 2. However, the inside handlers would have an advantage on only 2% (1 in 48) of the routes to sales areas less than 75 miles from the outside plants if all were pooled in Order No. 2. Both of the plants currently pooled in Order No. 4 are in the 76-80 mile zone, just beyond the 15-cent fixed transportation differential, and are located within 107 miles of most sales areas.

There was little change for sales areas that are 76-107 miles from the outside plant. In the basic analysis, 26% of all the routes showed an inside-handler advantage as compared to 20% for this analysis. Inside handlers have a smaller advantage in serving these particular sales areas (see Tables 20 and 21 in Appendix for results by sales area) because they are moving milk away from the city zone, while the outside handlers are moving it toward the city zone.

Sales area locations in South Central New Jersey (Table 14) would be the most significant problem area if the two Order No. 4 plants were priced in Order No. 2.

A considerable decrease in the weighted average advantages/disadvantages by outside plant location can be seen (Table 15) under this analysis; the decrease averages 30 cents per hundredweight for the Lansdale and Fort Washington plant locations. Percent of Routes On Which Inside Randler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Superaarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage or Disadvantage, By Load Size, If All Plants Pooled in Order No. 7, 1982 Table 12.

	or man on a provinging proving the second	600	26-01. 6460	500 26-01. Cases	nonditi, (Mi)noosi oone eessingeese (r		750 26-01. Cases	and a summary compared and a summary of the summary	, a manufacture of the state of		800 24-0, Cases	1948 S.		
Location of Inside Plant	Toral No. af Koutes	ì	AUU CARAS/GEILVEY) PCL. AVACAGE A A Dr. Max Dr. D Advs. Adv.	MARAN GRIJVETY) Average Runge (n Mis.(-) or Disadv.(-) Adv. To Adv.	Toral Bo. of Foures	Advs.	(1200 CRAES/GELIVERY Pot Average 1 of Dis.(*) or 1 Advs. Adv.	very) - Range in or Pikadv.(~) To Adv.	Total So. of Routes		(135 mases/delivery) Fur. Average R of Dis.(-) or P Adv. Adv.	verv) kanze (n or Pissde.(~) To sev.	Werd, Avg, Dis.(-) or Adv, fel all load sizes	Gveral. Z Adv.
n fra sjene na se na na na na se na na na se	an a	and a market water of the second of the	5 / CAR	and a second	and the state of t	and a second	a na serie de la companya de la comp	and the second se		, while a subscript of a subscript o		the second s		
Yonkers, NY	39	69	11.7	11.7 ~32.4 ro 40.6	36	58	7.8	-31.4 to 62.1	(*) (*)	1777) 1877)	5° 7		e z	و عقده مورد العربي
Wallingron, NJ	RJ 39	04 1-	15.4	-25,9 to 52.6	36	64	11.0	-26.4 to 63.6	(**) 5**1	-1 -0	ه سرة ع اريمو	-26.9 00 54.1	2) 	a
Fleutngrun, NJ	NJ 39	272	21,22	-12.1. to 55.0	36	63	1.0.1	-]6.6 to 77.2	ല്പ	জন নক	20 70	~17.6 Lo 07.7	83	تجريق ورسط مستحمين
Unton, NJ	ው ሮገ	83	5100 1 10	-23.2 20 48.4	÷	ŝ	10.8	-18.7 co 72.6	(*) 17)	14	ê. 6	-19.7 to 64.6	7 ° 01	
OVERALL All Order #2 Basic Comparison	#2 42 42	74 83	13.0	All Order #2 74 13.0 Basic Comparison 83 23.9	1 - 5 - 7 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9 - 9		9.9 21.0	a de la mandre de la construcción de la construcción de la mandre de la man	an a fair ann ann ann ann ann	10	τ	y mang panghan ang ang mang panghan na ang mang mang mang mang mang mang	10.0 21.0	

The total number of routes includes comparisons between each inside plant and each outside plant for all sales aveas. Since there are 7 outside plants and 7 sales areas, the maximum number of comparisons is 49. Any total less than 49 is due to the alimination of infeasible routes (i.e., routes requiring more than 15 hours per day). ي جست

2. Ranges represent the largest dissovantage(-) to the largest advantage.

3. The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size. Percent of Noures Ou Which Inside Handler Has A Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of Advantage or Disadvantage, by Distance From the Outside Flant to the Sales Area, and Load Size, If All Plants Pooled in Order No. 2, 1982. Table 13.

ł

rom	C UU J	A Distance and the second s	(1,1,2,2,2) is a subscription of the state of the stat	and the manual structure of the second structure of the se	1016		ta ana ana indonésia ang kana ang kanang na ang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang Pangang kanang		BAD	HAD 24+01 Cares	a and a subsection of a subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of t	Wrd Avo	14
	000 ch	4-444 Van Bes/deliv	ery)	r 1. 18 0 y 1-14-14	(150 c	150 cases/delivery)			(133 ct	ses/dellve	TY)	[Dis.(-) or	
Flant vo Total Sales No. of Area* Routes	Pct. of Advs.	Aværage Dis (-) or Adv.	Per. Average Range In of Dis (-) or Disadv.(-) Advs. Adv. Fo Adv.	Total Pct. No. cf of Rouces Advs.	Pct. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Kange In Disedv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Koutes	Pet. of Advs.	Pct. Average R. of Dis.(-) of D. Advs. Adv.	Average Range In Dis.(-) or Disadv.(~) Adv. To Adv.	Adv. for all Results load sizes Basic All Order 2 Compariso	Results Basic Comparison
(ntles)	and the second		WT.			A CWL	and the second se	and and a lot of the second	an and a state of the state of	¢/car	JMC	+/cwc	¢/cwr
)5 or ĭewer 16	Ŷ	7、21	-14.4 -32.4 to 3.7	,0 T	0	0°11-	-31.4 to -1.8	ŢĘ	0	-18.6	-31.4 LO -3.8	-16.7	13.7
76 - 107 20	<u>ي</u> ب	4.3	- 4.3 -23.7 to 12.6	20	15	-10.8	-26.7 to 4.1	50	10	-12.8	-27.2 to 2.1	с° Ф	د) بر ا
108 - 150 76	86	5.6	15.6 ~18.4 to 47.1	68	60	5.0	-22.9 to 32.1	79	55	2.7	~23.9 to 28.1	8.2	20.5
more than 150 44	95	26.2	- 1.4 to 55.0	40	95	39, 5	- 7.8 to 77.2	32	44	5.96	- 7.8 ro 67.7	34.3	37.5
										and the second			

Ranges given represent the largest disadvantage(~) to the largest advantage. , 1 NOTES: The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of toutes. 5°.

Table 14. Percent of Routes On Which Indide Handler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving large Supermarker Accounts, Amonut of Advantage or Disadvantage, My Geographic Location of Sales Area, and Load Size, If All Flants Pooled in Order No. 2, 1982.

	e renner om versnigende forde første sjor. Op e spesjor	- Second Second Second	arman and a second s	anna a an an an Andrea ann a' an ann an an ann an Annaichte Annaicht tha a chuir an An Annaichte ann an Annaic	And a state of the state of t	A best to see the states stresses	Load Sire	And the second						
	a share	600 (100 (100 24-01. Cases (100 cases/delivery)	222 2222)	-1//~Servers	730 (130 c	24-00. C ases/del	ases iverv)		. 111 .	100 24-01. Cases	1	Wid. Avg.	
Location of Inside Flant	Toral No. of Routes	Pct. Af	kverage Dis (-) or Adv.	hange Io or Diado.(~) To Adv.	Total No. e₹ Routes	For. of Advs.	FcL. Average] of Dis.(-) or] Advs. Adv.	e Range in or Disadu. (-) To Adv.	'foral No. of Kouces		Average Nis.(-) or Adv.	Range in Range in V Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Adv. for all Adv. for all load sizes All Order 2	Results Baelt Comparison
			÷	4/cwr		-WINOS	CENTRAL	SOUTS-CENTRAL REW JERSEY	a marrialis e (rey Gapesche	Annual of the second	¢/ α₩Ε	1941) 	4/ CME	4/cat Per.
Yookers, NY	10	30] 4 . 4	-32.4.20 28.4	20	10	6. 5. 5.	-31.4 to 50.1	0	20	- 0'17	-31,4 10 49.6	(۲۹) ۱۹ ۱۹ ۱	1991-1992-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
Wallington, NJ	01 I)	20	- 5,2	-25.9 ru 39.4	10	20	- 2.0	-26.4 to 63.6	10	07		-26.9 to 54.1	- 4.0	internet and the first of the
Fleuingron, NJ	4J 10	70	10.4	-10.3 to \$5.0	01	30	6 T	-12.3 10 77.2	10	Q.	9. ¢	-13.3 to 67.7		era n e-varma kons
Union, NJ	10	60	9°9	-12.4 20 48.4	10	52	ет. Р~	-14,9 to 72.6	0	Ĉ.	1.8	-18.4 ro 64.6	6.4	
OVERALL SOUTH-CENTRAL NEW JESSEY	1-CENTRAL	ar nan .	712242			lnsid	e Ådvant.	nside Advantage on 28% of all	L routes		ninge men selen na Billio van men son de sel v sejende v	refering state and state via state and state and	. 0.8	12.1
								Sound and the first state of the state of th			metropological data fina hita pantanan mata di Amurja da	re en la segur alma de la compañía d	a na ann an an ann an ann an Ann an Anna Anna Anna Anna An Anna Anna	
Yookers, NY	لوب ديا وب	76	2 7 7	~23.7 Te 37.1	17	NORTH- 59	CENTRAL 1 8.2	NORTH-CENTRAL NEW JERSEY 59 8.2 ~26.7 to 55.6	5	ال ار م	6.7	-27.2 to 69.6		
Wallingron, N	NJ I7	7 R	18.2	~ 9.7 to 46.6	£1	76	13.7	-15.7 to 55.6	uti v-t	41	ار مرجع بسر	-17.7 to 49.1	14.5	
Flewington, N	NJ 17	76	€. €	-12.1 to 31.2		65	11.0	-16.6 to 57.7	27	60	9.7	-17.6 to 51.7	5°71	
Union, NJ	21	82	15.6	-13.2 to 37.6	23	65	11.5	-18.7 TO 56.6	มา ศ	2	े। २	-19.7 to 49.6	17 17 17	- 1
OVERALL NORTH-CENTRAL NEW JERSEY	-CENTRAL	NEW JE	KSEY						An the first first last was the second made when	and the second barrier of the second second	NAME AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS	a na manana manana na manana manana manana na manana manana manana manana manana manana manana manana manana ma	a sub and a sub the set of the se	ng pang kan lan ing dise ang dise ang ting
nyan ban antara yang manang manang sa kabata sa	ar na sa an	annan a tatina ta baajan yaya da maga	and a second			Lnsid	Inside Advantage	age on 69% of all	1 routes				5.17	23.0 71
								والمسترير ويرد المرابع والمستريب المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	the second second second second	The second s				

Table 14. Continued

a second and the second se

600 2^{-} Or 5^{-} Or $5^$	i na stanovno stanovno stanovno za naslavatno stanovno stanovno stanovno stanovno stanovno stanovno stanovno st						1	Load Size			6 008	Vie Dr. Cae	100 C	Wrd. Ave.	
aTotalPer.AverageRange in No. ofTotalPer.AverageRange in No. ofTotalPer.AverageRange in Adv.TotalPer.AverageRange in Adv.TotalPer.AverageRange in Adv.TotalPer.AverageRange in Adv.To Adv.deNo. ofofDis.(-)No. ofofDis.(-)No. ofDis.(-)orDisadv.(-)No. ofAdv.To Adv.To Adv.YouNut1210032.815.3Lo48.6910025.64.8K outK outK outNo.1.11.31.354.6kon, NJ1210028.78.3Lo52.64.8K o 62.18815.0- 2.7K o 43.1con, NJ1210028.78.3Lo20.0- 0.7K out8815.0- 2.7K o 43.1con, NJ1210028.78.3Lo25.64.8815.0- 2.7K o 43.1con, NJ1210028.78.3Lo23.11.3- 13.1K ot4.7NJ1210016.92.310025.1C 46.18503.1-13.63.1NJ1210016.92.32.32.32.32.3- 2.7K o 46.18507.8- 8.2K o 3.1NJ12100 </th <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>600 . (100 cs</th> <th>24-Qt. Case</th> <th>s ry)</th> <th></th> <th>150 c</th> <th>24-Qt. Cas. ases/delive</th> <th></th> <th>والمعادلة المحادثة المالية المحادثة المحادثة والمحادثة</th> <th>(133 ca</th> <th>sa ver ces ses/deliv</th> <th>ery)</th> <th></th> <th></th>	-		600 . (100 cs	24-Qt. Case	s ry)		150 c	24-Qt. Cas. ases/delive		والمعادلة المحادثة المالية المحادثة المحادثة والمحادثة	(133 ca	sa ver ces ses/deliv	ery)		
4/cwr $4/cwr$ $4/cwr$ $4/cwr$ $4/cwr$ $4/cwr$ $4/cwr$ 12 100 32.8 15.3 48.6 9 100 25.6 4.8 8 6.5 100 21.1 1.3 1.3 54.6 12 100 28.7 8.3 to 52.6 4.8 8 88 15.0 -2.7 to 43.1 12 100 28.7 8.3 -7.1 to 25.6 6.5 -12.1 to 41.2 8 8 15.0 -2.7 to 43.1 12 100 16.9 2.3 to 35.6 6.5 -12.1 to 46.1 8 50 3.1 -13.6 $63.4.7$ 12 100 16.9 2.3 to 36.1 8 50 7.8 -8.2 to 39.1 12 100 2.3 to 40.4 12.1 -5.7 to 46.1 8 50 7.8	,	fotal Vo. of Soutes	Pct. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range 10 • Disadv.(~) To Adv.	Yotal No. of Routes	Fct. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) o Adv.	ge in adv.(~) o Adv.	Total No. of Routes		Average Dis.(-) o Adv.	Kange in r Disadv.(~) To Adv.		Resurts Basic Comparison
12 100 32.8 15.3 to 48.6 9 100 25.6 4.8 to 62.1 8 100 21.1 1.3 to 54.6 12 100 28.7 8.3 to 52.6 9 89 20.0 - 0.7 to 49.6 8 88 15.0 - 2.7 to 43.1 12 67 8.3 -7.1 to 26.2 9 56 6.5 -12.1 to 41.2 8 50 3.1 -13.6 to 34.7 12 100 16.9 2.3 to 35.6 9 67 12.1 - 5.7 to 46.1 8 50 7.8 - 8.2 to 39.1 12 NEW YORK Instant of the largest advantage on 82% of all routes	and the second		and a strain . Man a group of the horizon of the	+/c	J.M.		sur	JURDAN NEW	cut YORK			1	ewt	\$/cut	4/cvt Pct.
12 100 28.7 8.3 to 52.6 9 89 20.0 - 0.7 to 49.6 8 88 15.0 - 2.7 to 43.1 12 67 8.3 -7.1 to 26.2 9 56 6.5 -12.1 to 41.2 8 50 3.1 -13.6 to 34.7 12 100 16.9 2.3 to 35.6 9 67 12.1 - 5.7 to 46.1 8 50 7.8 - 8.2 to 39.1 NEW YORK Instruction Inside Advantage on 82% of all routes Inside Advantage on 82% of all routes	rkers, NY	12	100	32+8	15.3 to 48.6	5	100	25.6	4.8 ro 62.1	ක	100	1.12	1.3 to 54.6		34449-348, 1870-944
12 67 8.3 -7.1 to 26.2 9 56 6.5 -12.1 to 41.2 8 50 3.1 -13.6 to 34.7 12 100 16.9 2.3 to 35.6 9 67 12.1 - 5.7 to 46.1 8 50 7.8 - 8.2 to 39.1 1 NEW YORK Inside Advantage on 82% of all routes Inside Advantage on 82% of all routes	llington, MJ	12	100	28.7	8.3 to 52.6	6	86	20.0	- 0.7 to 49.6	3 2	88	15.0	- 2.7 to 43.1		
12 100 16.9 2.3 to 35.6 9 67 12.1 - 5.7 to 46.1 8 50 7.8 - 8.2 to 39.1 BURBAN NEW YORK Triste Advantage on 82% of all routes 1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage.	emington, NJ	12	67	8.3	-7.1 EG 26.2		. 56	6,5	-12.1 to 41.2	æ	50	3.1	-13.6 to 34.7		
BURBAN NEW YORK 1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage.	lon, NJ	12	100	16-9	2.3 to 35.6	6	67	12.1	- 5.7 to 46.1	ŝ	50	7.8	- 8.2 to 39.1		
1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the	ERALL SUBURB	AN NEW	YORK		n mang mang juan tang mang mang mang mang mang mang mang m	- Artani aranga san da da	Insi	de Advantag	e on 82% of al	l routes				17 • 2	26.7 82
	NOTES: 1. 1	Ranges	represe	nt the larg	gest disadvanta	age(-) ti	o the L	argest adva	ntage.						

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load wize weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size. Š

South Central New Jersey includes Freehold and New Brunswick, New Jersey; North Central New Jersey includes Dover, Paterson and Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey; and Suburban New York includes New City and Yonkers, New York. For the detailed results sales area by sales area, see Table A1 in the Appendix. 'n

Table 15. Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advant-age or Disadvantage, By Location Dutside Plant, and Load Size, If All Plants Fooled in Order No. 2, 1982.

*****	and the second						Losd Size						~~~~	~ ~~
		€00 < (100 <	600 24-QL. Cases (100 cases/delivery	ea ky)	for entrance of the second	750 (150 c	750 24-Qt. Cases 50 cases/dellvery	ises Very)		800 (133 c	000 24-00. Cases	ан так		
Locarion 7 of Inside N Plant	Total No. of Koutes	Pcr. Of Advs.	Average Dis.(~) or Adv.	Kange In r Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Koutes	PCC. Of Adve.	Average Dis.(~) or Adv.	- Range in or Disadv.() To Adv.	Total No. of Rouces	Pet. of Advs.	Pet. Average R of Dis.(-) of D Advs. Adv.	Range 1n : Disadv. (~) To Adv.		l Fercent of All Routes
			3M2/\$	345				¢/cwe		- Professional Contraction of the second second	¢/cwc	WC		
					244	PORT UMS	HINCTON,	WASHINCTON, PENNS YLVANI A		0	WERALL ALL Basi	OVERALL All Order No. 2 Basic Comparison	6.4 36.8	\$ \$ \$
Yonkers, WY	7	57	5 ° 1 T	-32.4 to 43.6	r~	57	Ч, С	-31.4 to 29.1	Q.	50	- 2.6	-31.4 to 24.6	4,4	
Wallington, NJ	ş	71		-23.9 to 47.6	Pn.	71	6,2	-26,4 to 32.1	ې	67	- 0.7 -	-26.9 to 24.1	3° ¢	
Flemington, NJ	٢	17	2 . 21	-10.3 ro 25.7	4	71	1,5	-12.3 to 16.2	Q.	67	2.0	-13.3 co 13.7	6.7	
Union, NJ	Ł	7.5	14.2	-12.4 ro 32.6	6	11	5.6	-14.9 Ko 20.6	ų	20	0.3	-18.4 to 16.6	7.0	
						LANT	SDALE, PF	LANDSDALE, PENNSYLVANIA		•	VERALL AIL Bast	OVERALL All Order No. 2 Basic Comparison	10.5 40.9	100
Yonkers, NY	7	٦ľ	17.4	-27.4 to 48.6	9	67	3,8	-27.9 60 32.1	9	67	1.7	-27.9 to 28.1	,- ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	
Wallington, NJ	~	17	21.0	-20.9 to 52.6	\$	67	5° °	-22.9 to 31.6	9	67	, , , ,	-23.4 10 27.1	وسم ۲ ۲ ۱۹۹۹ و	
Flemingron, NJ	4	86	18.1	- 5.3 ro 31.2	\$	67	8.6	- 8.8 to 19.7	Q	67	6.4 -	· 9.8 co 17.2	. 11.4	
Union, NJ	1	71	10.1	- 7.4 to 37.6	\$	67	÷. ¢	-11.4 to 24.1	vî.	67	4.6 -	-14.4 to 19.6	11.4	

* waybes represent the largest uissuvantage() to the largest advantage.

The weighted averages given are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes. с4 .

Plant-Specific Labor Costs

The basic stem-haul costs were calculated using an average labor cost of \$14.90 per hour, including all fringe benefits, and \$16.38 per overtime hour. However, the actual plant-specific labor costs ranged from approximately \$11.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour, including fringes, for <u>outside handlers</u>; and from \$14.50 to \$16.50 an hour including fringes, for <u>inside handlers</u>. The average of actual labor costs for the inside and outside handlers differed only by 35 cents per hour.

Plant-specific labor costs were used in stem-haul calculations to determine whether or not specific differences in labor costs would change the competitive situation. In this scenario, inside handlers would have an advantage for only 68%, rather than 72%, of their routes. (See Table 16.) The weighted-average advantages were still significant for the inside handlers, but fell an average of 4.0 cents per hundredweight. The plant located in Flemington, New Jersey had the least favorable labor costs compared to outsiders (see Table 16). There was little variation in the results by distance of the sales area from outside plant except for those areas more than 150 miles from the outside plant.

The results5/ of the plant-specific labor cost analysis by location of outside plant indicate that the plant located in Schuylkill Haven gained considerably, from 4.0 to 6.0 cents per hundredweight. The same is true for the Scranton plant although its gain was smaller, from 1.5 to 3.5 cents per hundredweight. On the other hand, increases in the weighted-average advantages occurred for the inside plants over plants located in Fort Washington and Lansdale.

Inside Routes Using Straight-Chassis Vehicles and Commission Routemen

This phase of the sensitivity analysis assumes that outside plants use tractor-trailers for their routes and that inside handlers use straight-chassis commission routes.

Commission routemen's labor costs for a 600-case route were calculated for each inside handler using the base salary plus fringes as stated in each labor contract plus the commissions paid the regular routeman for that route. The cost of the route was then divided by the number of days the regular routeman worked to establish a daily cost for each route. The average cost of all inside handlers' commission routes (\$186,64 per day or \$23.33 per hour) was used for the stem-haul cost calculations for the inside handlers. The average hourly rate of \$14.76 including fringes was used for outside handlers, Labor costs were allocated to the stem-haul as they had been for the basic analysis (see Exhibit 3).

Annual interest and depreciation costs for straight-chassis trucks were compared to that of tractors and trailers. The <u>difference</u> was allocated to the stem-haul of the tractor-trailer route based on stem-haul miles as illustrated

 $[\]frac{5}{Results}$ for plant-specific labor costs analyses by location of outside plant are not reported herein due to confidentiality considerations.

Table 16. Fercent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Ras a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Lerge Superwarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage or Disadvantage, By Load Size, Using Plant-Specific Labor Costs, 1982

						2	0740 0470						jwtd. Av⊵.	
		600 (100 cu	600 24-Qt. Cases 00 cases/deliver	12 17		750	750 24-00. Cases 150 reserved	1 A.A.	An exercise manufacture and other	000	000 24-01. Cases	18 18	Dis.(~) or	
Location of Inside Fiant	Total No. of Routes	Pct. of Advs.	Avarage Dis.(-) or Adv.	Pct. Average Range In of Dis.(-) or Disedv.(-) Advs. Adv. To Adv.	Total No. of Koutes	Pcr. of Advæ.	Average Dis.(~) or Adv.	ange in Isndv.(+) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Mur. Of Advs.	Ver. Average R. of Dis.(-) or D ådvs. ådv.	Range in Range in To Adv.	load sires Plant-Sper. Labor	Results Basic Comparison
- A man	nagi da ang mini liku (nya dipang) ang m	and a second	\$/ OUT	WE			\$/ cur	10				- / 2.67E	3.43/4	1/cwr Pcr.
Yonkers, WY	35 77	79	21.8	21.8 ar 1.42 ar 35.5	Ф т	66	jevej P M Jevej	-27.2 to 66.5	رمیا تیریا	05	**** 4~*1 2445	-27.7 00 62.5	. ' . '	19.3
Wallington, NJ* 39	6E *ľb	62	せいがい	-18.9 to 89.0	¢	69	** Z T	-23.9 to 66.5	10 10	2	1	-25.4 to 62.5	ъ щ	1. 1. 2.4
Flemington, NJ 39	9E J.V	69%	18.9	-15.6 to 65.1	36	56	ко Ч	~18.6 to 53.6	e n	55	1.00	-19.6 to N.1	16.1	20.8
Union, NJ	Э.С	54	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22.5 -15.7 to 73.5	ф М	58	16.6	-20.7 to 58.0	یں رید	58	12.2	-22.7 To 55.0	\$ \$ \$	21.6
OVERALL	and the plan water and	allen auf ver men fra state for the	موت روي المراجع	nder fan der war wer wer ver felnig vann fan ger yn yn nen a	dar dar tan	and and and and and and and	ter tak me tida me unitation tem an an at	in the second way are not an an are set of the second second second second second second second second second s	Advan	1983 - 1984 - 19	reserves and the second s	an and a second of the second seco	7 × 1	21.0

The total mumber of routes includes comparisons between each inside plant and each outside plant for all sales areas. Since there are 7 outside plants and 7 sales areas, the maximum number of comparisons is 49. Any total less than 49 is due to the elimination of infeasible routes (1.e., routes requiring more than 15 hours per day). و. پیستو NOTES:

2. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(") to the largest advantage.

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load gize weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size. ŝ

Table 17. Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Has A Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Superwarket Accounts, Amount of Advantage or Disadvantage, by Distance From the Outside Plant to the Sales Area, and Load Size, Using Plant-Specific Labor Costs, 1982.

						-1	1716 NPAT	4				A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	0	
One-way from		600	600 24-Qt. Cases	ES.		750	750 24-Qt. Cases	69 7 Y V		800 (133 cv	800 24-Qt. Cases (133 cases/delivery)	s rv)	Disadv.(+) or Adv. for all	
		1 100 0	(TOU Cases/ det T.Vet)		1 · · · 2	0.1		Rance in	Teral	p, r	ÅVETAGE	Range in	load sizes	Results
Flant to Tu Colee W	Total No. of	Pot.	AVerage hts.(~) or	Average Kange II Dis.(~) or Disadv.(~)	No. of		Dis.(-) 0		No. of	04 1	Dis.(-) or	Ā	Plant~Spec.	Basic
	Routes	Advs.	Adv.	To Adv.	Routes	Advs.	Âďv.	To Adv.	Routes	Advs.	Adv.	To Adv.	Labor	Comparison
(miles)			/*	¢/cvt		And a local design of the second design of the seco	4	¢/cwt			¢/cwt	wt	¢/cwt	¢/cwt
less than 75	16	100	18.2	4.0 to 34.1	16	100	15.3	3.0 to 28.6	16	100	13.5	2.5 to 28.6	15.7	tn, 1971
76 - 107	20	30	0.3	-24.7 to 48.5	20	20	۱ 5 8	~27.2 to 38.0	20	20	- 8.2	-27.7 to 35.0	4.6	, , ,
108 - 150	76	76	26.3	-21.9 to 82.5	68	50	16.8	-25.9 to 66.5	64	52	15.4	-26.9 to 62.5	19.8	20.5
wore than 150	44	61	25.6	-12.9 to 89.0	40	93	26.3	-14.3 to 66.5	32	16	19,9	-13.8 to 42.7	24.3	37.5

Ranges given represent the largest disadvantage(\Rightarrow) to the largest advantage. ľ, NOTES:

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of routes. ~

in Exhibit 3. Differences in fixed fees such as registration, insurance and federal highway use taxes were also examined and allocated to the stem-haul for the route which had the higher cost.

Straight-chassis routes for 600-case loads were developed for inside handlers and the stem-haul costs for these routes to each sales area were compared to the stem-haul costs of 600-, 750-, and 800-case tractor-trailer routes. If inside handlers used smaller trucks and commission routemen, they would have an advantage for 76% of the routes compared to 72% if they used tractor-trailers. The weighted-average advantage increased for all load sizes and the overall average increased from 21.0 cents to 25.1 cents per hundredweight. The larger advantages are due to delivery-vehicle cost differences. Tractor trailers are more expensive to operate than straight-chassis trucks. (See Table 18.)

Differences in the Cost of Capital

Using 14% as the cost of capital, rather than 9%, did not alter the results of the stem-haul cost comparisons. The proportion of routes for which inside handlers had a transportation-cost advantage remained 72%. On the average, the differential cost per mile was only one-tenth of one cent higher per mile with a 14% cost of capital, indicating results are not sensitive within the selected cost-of-capital range.

Double-Bottom Tractor-Trailers

Sensitivity analysis was performed by assuming that double-bottom tractortrailers were used on routes originating in Fultonville. It was assumed that a double-bottom tractor-trailer was used from Fultonville to Suffern, New York, and back on the New York State Thruway.

It was assumed that using double-bottoms results in a cost savings because one tractor and one driver could be saved, and some of the cost savings of double-bottoms should be allocated to the stem-haul cost for the milk trailer. Therefore, one-half of the basic stem-haul costs related to the tractor and the round-trip mileage from Fultonville to Suffern were deducted from the original stem-haul costs for Fultonville routes. For example, the fuel cost per mile for a single-bottom route was 22 cents; so 11 cents a mile was used for the doublebottom stem mileages. Similarly, half the cost of a single-bottom tractortrailer driver (not a delivery routeman) was used for the double-bottom costs.

The calculated savings were 17.0, 14.0 and 13.0 cents per hundredweight for 600-, 750-, and 800-case double-bottom loads, respectively. However, this phase of the analysis was only a limited attempt to examine the double-bottom issue. The approach used here was not as rigorous as those used for the other analyses. Subtracting half of the relevant mileage costs was a generous assumption because the cost of operating a double-bottom is actually higher than the single-bottom costs used here. Therefore, the cost savings from using a double-bottom would be less than 50% of single-bottom costs as used here.

The second s

Table 18. Percent of Roures On Which Inside Handler Ras a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage or Disadvantage, By Load Sire, Insiders Use Straight Chassis' and Commissions, 1932

	6(0) 24	01: 03484 (2484 - 03484 (Comina Comina	and the test of te	100 73 100 73	<u>qt Ces</u> 50 2 2		100 75-00 - Cose Commission Routes Creve 600 24-00. Case Commission Routes Creve 104.(*) or 100 75-00. Case Commission Routes Creve 600 24-00. Case Commission Routes Adv. for All perced to 750 24-00. Case Routly Soutes Fared to 800 24-00. Case Routly Soutes Adv. for All	600 24-0	24. Case 2800 24	Commissio Or Case Average	a Rantas Cas Boarly Soutes Range Au	Wrd.Avg. Dis.(~) or Adv. for eAl Luad sizes	Results - Basic	
			Koofaige Ne	Porter North Renter Renter La		13 9 1 14 1	5.4. 5.4. 2.4.	Dis.(-) or Diradv.(-) No. of 14. (-) or Thradv.(-) Loutes	No. of Loutes	of Adve.	117.6 - C - 2 - 12 207.4	n at water in a	512	Compartsen	0 0 0 0 0
	Routes	Åd VS -	S R	THE RAVE RUNGES BAY		Runtes Adra .	 Y 1451 Y 1451 	1		Martin, Der Lichtensterner Sterner	1/2	\$ / C WE	21002	t/cae	P.c.C.
1. Compared and a second se Second second se Second second sec		 A second research the second seco	-321=-	11 12 14	ها المراجعة والمراجعة ويعام			् २२ २२ 	رم. م	19	Ф. Д.	17.4 -24.7 to 64.5	23.6	5-67	
Yarkers, WY	(3° 7°)	51. 60	÷ č	3(.() -13.7 to 93.5	8	5 - - 12	рел, ж. 2 ⁻¹		-من مردن م	e r	20.7	-18.2 to 50.0	1 ° 4 7	्र ट्र ट्र	
and the second	36	06	ц 1 .	- 7,7 to 94.0	3	5. **	ಲ್ (ಎಗ್ರ ತಿಗಿತಿ	-ISA CO ICLO			0 5	-18.8 ro 70.7	ېن د ا	20.8	
	0 2	e c	ۍ - ر - ر	0 - 7.6 24 74 6	2 	17) 202	다. (**) (**)	-16.1 20 79.2	** (*)	2 6			- 4 - 4 - 4	21.6	
/jesingtor, NJ	è.	-			ي ج د	с Ч		-17.7 EO 74.1	্য না	19	8 2 2			, ,	
Union, NJ	95	39 97	30.9	30,9 - 9.2 10 ///2	ا تحسرہ ب			and the state of t	iz of all	i routes	1		25.1	21.0	22
and and an an array of the second		Ight Chas	bus si	Straight Chasis and Commission			105148 /					ی میں اور			1
OVERALL.	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		a na sa			The second second	side plant and	each out	tside p	Lant for a	1 seles steer	seles areas. Since there are / Duistur 	e are / e route:	outsta s (1.e.
NOTES 1.		ntal numbe s and 7 ss s requirto	ar of vo ales sre ng more	The forst number of voures includes comparisons between any interfaced less than 49 is due to the communication plants and 7 sales steas, the maximum number of comparisons is 49. Any total less than 49 is due to the communic routes requiring more than 15 hours per day).	comparis m number per day)	1000 100 1000 100 1000 100	ertsons is	49. Any total	1 2 2 2 2 1 1	ា សូម ដេខ្	s due to r				
£4	ម្មិនបានខ	s represer	nt the	Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(~) to the	intage(~)	to the	Largeet advantage	antage.		کم ۲۰ ۱۹ ۱۹	1111 - 1111 - 1111 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111	l number of fi	sestble routes	for	

The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load alze weighted by the total number of feasible routes for each load size.

. (۳)

47

daa taana taata taata taata

The resulting cost comparisons differed only slightly from the results of the basic analyses. Inside handlers still have a transportation cost advantage analysis (Table 1) decreased by 2.4 or 5.0 cents per muniredweight depending on the location of the inside plant.

Regression Analysis

The differential stem-haul costs for outside handlers were used to estimate the total variable cost par mile of moving packaged milk into the 1-70 mile sone. Since the stem-haul costs generated were not the total costs incurred by handlers, a total cost function, including fixed and variable costs, could not be estimated. However, the differential stem-haul costs did include all costs which vary with distance traveled; therefore, variable costs as related to distance can be estimated.

Ordinary least-squares regression analysis was used to estimate the variable costs. The dependent variable in the analysis was the differential stemhaul cost while the sole independent variable, excluding the intercept, was one-way mileage from an cutside plant to a sales area. Costs per one-way distance traveled is also used in Federal Order transportation differential

Two regression equations were estimated for each of the three load sizes. One equation used low vehicle-cost data and the other used high vehicle-cost data. As shown in Table 19, estimates of total variable costs ranged from 3.6 cents per hundredweight to 5.6 cents par hundredweight per ten-mile increment of one-way distance traveled.

	Variable Cost Per 10-Mile Increment		
Load Size	of Distance	t-statistic	R ²
	(cents/cwt.)		
600 cases low vehicle costs (309.6 cwt.)	4.9	18.86	.90
600 cases high vehicle costs (309.6 cwt.)	5.6	20.78	.92
600 cases - average	5.3	22.27	.87
750 cases low vehicle costs (387 cwt.)	4.1	21.83	.93
750 cases high vehicle costs (387 cwt.)	4,6	23.07	.94
750 cases - average	4.4	25.43	.90
800 cases low vehicle cost (412.8 cwt.)	3.6	22.56	.94
800 cases high vehicle cost (412.8 cwt.)	4.1	25.84	.95
800 cases - average	3.9	25.85	.91
600-, 750-, 800-case loads low vehicle costs average	4,2	17.81	.75
600-, 750-, 800-case loads high vehicle costs average	4.6	18,17	.75

Table 19. Summary of Regression Analysis to Estimate the Variable Cost of Hauling Packaged Milk Into the 1-70 Mile Zone

APPENDIX TABLES

and the second of the second second

50

;

Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Has a Transportation-Cost Advantage in Serving Large Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage or Disadvantage, By Location of Sales Area and Load Size, 1982

Table 20.

ntages for each load size weighted by the total mumber of feasible routes for 1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage. 1.63 4 ROTES:

		و ور ورو هم المحمد و بور المحمد ا	والمتعاولة والمساورة	and the second		Inad Size	ağın nanon anınının anının sonra		No. 1111	14 Cabau		
-			and a second	an bara bara (an ann - a' ta bara maraonn	750	750 24-9t. Cases	- G3 - G3 - G3 - G3 - G3 - G3 - G3 - G3			ceses/delivery	0	Weighted Average
	600 (100 c Pct.	12 周	angé 10 1 sadv.(-)	Total No. of	(150 c Pct	cases/delivery) Average R Dis.(-) or D	anze in iesov.(~)	Total No. of	Pcr. of Adva.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Range 1n Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Disacv.(~) u. Adv. for all luad gizes
JO . ON J	0.f	DIR.(-) UL	To Adv.	Rontes	Adva.	Adv.	. ADA UL	The to the to the total of tot	ALL INCOME. SPACE AND ALL AND	1 1	ويستغيب والمعالية المحالية المحالية المحاركة والمحالية المحالية والمحالية والمح	è/cut
Į		4 100E	The second s				t/cwr	چې وړ کې وړ ور وړ ور وړ ور وړ ور وړ ور ور ور وړ ور ور ور ور ور ور ور ور و		¢/ CUL		
		- 	, ,			DOVER, NEW JEEST	JRESEY					
			11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>م</u>	20	6.2	-26.7 to 34.6	80	60	2-1	-27.2 to 30.5	Sector and the liter
Yonkers, WY 5	60				7 52	بر م بر م	-15,2 to 46.1	5	60	1-11	-16.7 to 41.0	
Wallington, NJ 5	08	21.6	a L	C	. r 2 4	بر بر د	-16.6 to 44.7	Ŵ	60	16.8	-17.6 to 40.1	17.1
Flewington, NJ 5	60	18.2	5	¢ 4	ç ç	2°77	-18.7 to 42.6	س	60	14.7	-19.7 to 38.0	15.3
Union, NJ 5	60	17.1	-13.2 to 30-0		1		NEW JERSEY			and a start of the		
and a second						н	1.07 0 10.16.1	ν η	40	ي. ج	-23.9 to 49.6	6 - 0.5
Ynnkers, NY 4	25	- 7.6	-13.4 to 3.0	<u>س</u>	40	ń.	2		60	6.0		1 4.7
	95	24 1 1	-11.9 to 9.5	s r5	60	8,2	-17.9 to 01.4		2		¢ s	19.1
Wallington, NJ 4	2		1.20 01 C C	ν∩	80	22.3	- 3.8 to 75.2	- ` ~	60	19.6	0	
Flemington, NJ 4	100	14°21 70°22	1.6 to 23.0		60	19.7	- 6.4 to 72.6		60	16.5	- 8.9 to 64.6	6 10.4
Union, NJ 4	100	کت الا یکویل <u>مدیر میروند و می</u> روند و میروند و			NEW	I BRUNSWICK,	K, NEW JERSEY					
	····· [-18.7 10 78.4	رہ 12	60	3.2	-23.4 to 54.5	ۍ 	60	0.9	-24.4 to 45.6	and the second
	<u>1</u> 9		4.05 1.7 1.0 39.4		60	12.2	-14.4 to 63-6	ۍ جو	60	6°8	to	9
-	5		6.7	0	60	25-8	- 0.8 to 77.2	2	60	22.0	to	66.7 Z3.4
Flemington, NJ 6			to 48	,4 	60	19.2	- 7.4 to 70.6	5	60	11.4	~13.9 to 5t	26.1 1/10

	and the second second second second second	ومعمول المحافظ				I REAL DEAD	an a		derer nicht alle der nichtigten under nicht	ى دەسەرە تەرىغان بىلىغىنىغان بىلىغىنىغۇرىيىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغان بىلىغ
5 5 90 4 10 5 Kr. 40	100	600 (100 c	શ્ર હિ	for Lindon structure and an United Structure.	(150 c/	750 24-01. Cases		rational data and an appropriate factor states	800	800 24-91. Cases
of Inside Flant	Ro. of Ro. of Routes	rotal Pct. No. of of Routes Advs.	Average Range In Dis.(+) ur Disadv.(+) Adv. To Adv.	Total No. of Koutes	Pct. of Adva.	Pct. Average Range in of Dis.(-) or Disadv.(-) Advs. Adv. To Adv.	وملما حلوي معا عن زياد خاند وي يويون او بعوده	Total F No. of Koutes A	(123 ce Pec. of Adve.	(133 cases/dellvery) Fet. Average R of Dis.(-) or D Advs. Adv.
			4/cwr		a frant deservations a server a frank server	the cut				1/1/
Yonkers, NY	ú,	. SR	27.0 - 4.7 to 60.5	גיז	PATER 60	PATERSON, NEW JERSEY 0 26.3		5	1	
Wallington, NJ	NJ 6	63	22.0 - 9.7 to 55.5	ŝ	60			a 1	60	5
Flemington, NJ	NJ 6	89 89	28.1 - 3.6 to 61.6	۲.	60			Λ.	00 ,	19-0 -17
Union, NJ	Ş	6 8	28.0 - 3.7 to 61.5	ۍ 	60			n -	n o	25.6 -11

		600	600 24-01 Cases	a se de la compansa de la seconda en contra configura han mengemente menana la menana de la consecuencia de la El federa		Land and the second sec	NUGU DESE						والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة المحافظة المحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة
Location	Total	(100 c	cases/deliver hverses			150 c	/30 24-01. Cases (150 ceses/delivery	8 7 Y)	No. of South States	800 2	800 24-90. Cases	1.2.15. 	
of Inside Flant	No. of Routes	1	D10.(-) OT Adv.		rotal No. o <i>ľ</i> Koutes	Pct. of Ådva.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	Kange in Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Koutes	Pet. Of Adve.	Average Dis.(-) or Adv.	rery) Range in Diesdv.(-)	
			₩4 8 4×	3.4.2/\$		a na an ann an an an an an an an an an a	102 J 2 3	10. The second se	and the second	and of the second second second		1	LO&G SIZES
											ng pur	1.40 × 1.4	第1の第1
Yonkers, NY	á	583	27.0	~ 4.7 to 60.5	ŢĻĻ	PATEI 60	PATERSON, NEW JERSEY 0 26.3 -11.2	LERSEY -11.2 to 55.6	دی. م	19	ii F		
Wallington, N	NJ 6	67	22.0	- 9.7 to 55.5	¥.	Ú4	9		١	2	-+ - 1	**.J. * 10 49.6	25.7
Flemington, NJ	ج وہ	۲ ۵	10 1		L	5		1.12 03 /.01-1	ŝ	60	0.91	-17.7 to 45.1	21.0
		5		- 3-6 %0 6] .6	ų ل ا	60		- 9.1 to 57.7	ي ن	60	35,6	ېن د کې د کې د کې د کې	r 2
LN JOIN	6	63	28.0	- 3.7 to 61.5	ŝ	60	27.3	-10.2 to 56.6	د م.	40			17 × 17
Yonkers, NY	ŝ	100	51.0	23.8 to 77.5	Ś	TOO	YOWKERS, NEW Y	YORK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	forgen MARTING IN Vietnam Information and		F.D.4.44
Wallington, NJ	J 5	100	35,5	8.3 to 67.0	u'	40		С. С. С. С	~* /``	100	6°/6	8.8 to 38.5	7.Cf
Flewinston W1	v.	20	4 4 4	2	۲. 	20	73,6	. 0.7 to 50.0	6	80	26.4	- 2.7 to 47.0	30.5
6.04.3		ŝ	23.6	- 3.6 EO 50.1	S	60	5-1 5-1 5-1	9.1 to 41.6	, v.,	0 %	18.0	بر جو بر بر	i i ∙ • ⊄
Union, NJ	5	100	31.0	3.8 rc 57.5	<u>د</u> م	80	26.1	4:2 to 46.5	43	60	1 64	С2 -й -й	20,92
				and and a state of the spin of		v 7 4213	and the second se	non of a contraction processing to present on the matrix interview of the second			the state of the s		26.5
IORKETS, NY	J ~~~	100	37.2	15.3 to 79.0	-3	100 100	CIIY, NEW 27.9	10KK 4.8 to 59.5	i n	00	يو وري مح		a be taman and a grant of particular and a second second a second second and the particular second second second
Wallington, MJ	~	100	41.2	14-3 to 83.0	d.	007	30.9	7. A to 62 5		5	• • • •	ŝ	5-6-
Flemington, NJ	4	57	14.8	· 7.1 to 56.6	-3	50	U 11			0.0T		4.3 10 34.6	32.9
Union, NJ	~	100	24.2	2 3 3 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	•	3 (ŝ	- 1.6	-13.6 to 16.7	10.2
na an a	والمراجع المراجع				4	00	17.4	5.7 to 49.0	en) 171	177 200	3 . 8	~ 8.2 to 22.1	
Yonkers, NY	ę	100	4 4 64 67	2.8 to 67.5	6 F	LASEROUCK 83	RASEROUCK HEIGHTS, N 83 25.5	NEW JERSEY	1			and and a second data of the second	
Wallington, MJ	¢	100	8° 177	12.3 to 77.0	Ţ.	100	, ц с	3		69	26.0	- 7.2 to 50.0	28.0
Flemington, NJ	ф,	83	25.9	0. 0.	- \s			4.6 to b2.0	5	100	2°5	0.3 to 57.5	36.4
Union, NJ	ŝ	1610	0 6 7	4 1 8 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5		- 4.12	9-1 ro 20-1	ν ο ×ο	60	23.1 .	-10.1 to 47.1	23.6
	y	101	51-15	3.3 to 68.0	Ŷ	6	36.0	5 min 1 2 3 3 3					

28.4

- 7.2 to 50.0

26.0

03

5

× 4.7 EG 54.5

26.0

83

\$

3.3 ro 68.0

Percent of Routes On Which Inside Handler Haw a Transportation-Cust Advantage in Serving Larke Supermarket Accounts, Amount of the Advantage or Disadvantage, By Location of Sales Area and Load Size, If All Plants Pooled in Order No. 2, 1982. table 21.

Ì

1. Ranges represent the largest disadvantage(-) to the largest advantage. NOTES:

2. The weighted averages are the average advantages/disadvantages for each load size weighted by the total number of feasible routes for

والمحادوب مسجوحا فالمحافظ فالمناه فتستحمد متهوم بلاوالسام ليسابعه ومعودون	والمسابقة والمراجعة المستقريت المراجع المسابع	production and the second data and the second data is the second		a de la companya de la calega de la compaña de la compaña de la companya de la companya de la companya de la c			Thad Sive						
		600	600 24-Qt. Cases	65 67 Yr (750 (150 ct	750 24-Qt. Cases 50 cases/deliver			800 1 (133 ca	800 24-Qt. Cases (133 cases/delivery)	ry)	Weighted Average Dised. (-) or
Location of Inside view	Total No. of Moutes		LUU CASES/UCTIVELY	r Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. of Routes	Pct. of Ådvs.	Pct. Average of Dis.(-) or 1 Advs. Adv.	Range In Dissdv.(-) To Adv.	Total No. cf Routes	Por. of Advs.	Average Dis.(-) or Ådv.	nange In Disadv.(-) To Adv.	Adv. for all load sizes
1.1.4.1.1.C			1	the Correction of the second se				t/car		A LA STATE	¢/cwt	wt	¢/cut
AW BARATSA	и	07	۱ ک ف	+/cwt +23.7 to 9.1	Q	а С С	DOVER, NEW	JERSEY -26.7 to 34.6	ហ	07	, 0,5 1	-27.2 to 30.1	20 ~3 +
LURNELS, N.F. Marthaetern Mj		08	4 4	- 8.7 to 24.1	ç	67	7.5	-15.2 to 46.1	ъл	60	5,5	-16.7 to 40.6	7.5
		60	6.0	-12.1 to 20.7	¢	67	6.1	-16.6 to 44.7	'n	60	4.6	-17.6 to 39.7	5.6
Unfon, NJ		60	4 - 9	-13.2 to 19.6	ø	50	4.0	-18.7 to 42.6	ŝ	40	2.5	-19.7 to 37.6	3.8
VN Creation W	4	c	-22.9	32.4 to 13.2	5	FR 20	FREEHOLD, NE - 9.0	NEW JERSEY -31.4 to 56.1	¥7)	20	-10.7	~31.4 to 49.6	-13.6
lunkers, w. W.114meren W1			ч С 1 1	-25.9 to 6.7	ب	20	- 4.0	-26.4 to 61.1	'n	20	- 6.2	-26.9 to 54.1	က ဆ ၊
) 05	- 0.7	-10.3 to 8.9	٣	40	10.1	-12.3 to 75.2	ŝ	20	7.4	-13.3 to 67.7	6.0
Flemington, NJ Union, NJ		50	- 2.8	-12.4 to 6.8	ين م	20	7.5	-14.9 to 72.6	5	20	4.3	-16.4 to 64.6	3.4
WW arejucy	÷	33	89 89 1	-27.9 to 28.4	25	NEU N	NEU BRUNSWICK, N 20 - 9.0	NEW JERSEY -28.4 to 54.6	٩٩	20	-11.3	-28.9 to 45.6	9.6
	NJ C	33	2.2	-16.9 to 39.4	<u>د،</u>	20	0.0	-19.4 to 63.6	۲ ۵	20	က် ကို ၂	-20.9 to 53.6	- 0.2
		83	17.8	- 1.3 to 55.0	 ניי	20	13.6	- 5.8 to 77.2	ς.	20	9.8	- 7.8 to 66.7	14-0
Union, NJ	ę	67	11.2	- 7.9 to 48.4		20	7.0	-12.4 to 70.6	20	20	- 0.8	-18.4 to 56.1	6.1
والمراجع							cont inued	eđ					

	مردي فلملك تجامعه المتهج محمد بالألياط	VV 2		i den se de la seconda de s A seconda de la seconda de l	and a second	Lo	Load Size	a na fara an	a mana na mana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang				and and an a second
		(100 c	(100 cases/delivery	ery)		750 2	750 24-0t. Cases 50 ranae/Amit	5 201		800	24-01. Cases	\$65 \$	
Location of Inside	Total No. of		Average N.c. ()	kange in	Total	PC	AVETAGE	kange in	Total	(133 Ce Fet.	cases/delivery Averare	Very) Ranoe in	Weighted Average
Plant	Koutes		Adv.	To Adv.	No. or Routes	of Adve.	Dis.(~) or Adv.	Disadv.(-) To Adv.	No. of Routes	0£ Adv# .	Dis.(~) or Adv.		Adv. for all load sizes
				¢∕cwt		STOLIN Y ST		\$/cwt		A mental of the second s	4	\$/cwt	and a substantian strategies and a substantian strategies and a substantian strategies and a substantian strate
Yonkers, NY	Ŷ	53	16.9	- 4.7 to 30.1	۲u	60	PAJEKSON, NEW JE	JERSEY -11.2 to 55.6	ъ.	60		ن ب ب ب ب ب ب ب	\$ \$ \$
Wallington, NJ	ų V	67	11.9	- 9.7 to 25.1	4 1	ç	ا ج 2		3.a) / / v	ç	161-5
Flemington, NJ	Ģ	e C	с в;	į	<u>1</u>				3	na	Q.	-17.7 to 45.1	9.6
		3	0	7,70 20 0 1	ሳ 	۲) ۵	16.12	- 9.1 to 57.7	¥7.	60	7° EI	~11.1 to 51.7	16.0
Union, NJ	0	83	17.9	- 3.7 to 31.1	5	60	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-10.2 to 56.6	uŋ	60	ст еч	-13.2 to 49.6	15.0
Yonkers, NY	L2	100	38 . 8	23.8 to 48.1	in	100 IOO	YONKERS, NEW Y	YORK 11.8 to 62.1	5	100	25.7	8.8 to 54.6	1 LC
Wallington, NJ	ы,	100	53.3	8.3 to 32.6	υ	80	17.4 ~	. 0.7 to 49.6	ŝ	80	2.41	يد (1 č - 4 7 t
Flemington, NJ	ŝΛ	80	₽ ₽	- 3.6 to 20.7	ŝ	60	0.6	9.1 to 41.2	హ	ęn "	1 24 1 1	3	"1 : 2013 : 1 - 1
Union, NJ	สา	100	18,8	3.8 to 28.1	. 10	60	13.9	4.2 to) <i>w</i> r			0 . 1 . 1 .	
wanted in the second			and the second	and a service of the		A STREET AND A S	and a state of the statement of the state of the state of the state		4	2	F.C.F.	- 0./ TO 39.1	14.3
Yonkers, WY	~	100	28.2	15.3 to 48.6	4	NEW 100	CITY, NEW 20.3	YORK 4.5 to 38.1	(**)	100	13.3	1.3 ro 31.6	22.9
Wallington, NJ	7	100	32.5	19.3 to 52.6	4	100	23.3	7.8 to 41.1	ŝ	100	16.3	4.3 to 34.6	26.4
Flemington, NJ	٢	57	6.1	- 7.1 to 26.2	4	50	3.6	-12.1 to 21.2	ليم	, W	- 1.6	-13.6 to 16.7	\$. F
Union, NJ	L	100	15.5	2.3 to 35.6	4	50	9,8	5.7 to 27.6	ŝ	5	8°. °	2	4
Youkers, NY	ų	100	22.2	2.8 to 37.1	6 HA	HASBROUCK 83	HEIGHTS, WE 15.4	NEW JERSEY - 5.2 to 47.6	l v	60	13 C		
Wallington, NJ	9	100	31.7	12.3 to 46.6	Ŷ	100	23.42	2.8 ro 55.6				2	11.3
Flewington, NJ	9	5 5 5	15.8	- 3.6 to 30.7	<u>~</u> 2	67	:	\$			С + т-у-	t0	25.7
Union, WJ	ø	100	22.7	3.3 to 37.6	ý			5	n i	64	10 ° 9	-10.1 to 38.7	12.8
			an a		0		- 6.61	4.7 CO 48.1	ហ	60	30. PT	- 7.2 to 41.6	27.72

Table 21. Continued