BU-295-14

A GENERALIZED METHOD OF ANALYSIS OF FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT WITH UNEQUAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS\*

> U. B. Paik and W. T. Federer Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

#### Abstract

A calculus of factorials was developed by Kurkjian and Zelen [1962]. A series of papers on the application and extensions were presented by M. Zelen and co-workers [1963-66]. One of the remaining problems associated with the application of the calculus for factorials is to relate standard contrasts in factorials to the calculus for both equal and unequal numbers of observations on each treatment or combination. This problem is resolved in the present paper. A computing procedure using the calculus is presented for any estimable linear contrast or any set of estimable linear contrasts. A Kronecker product representation of the v-l single degree of freedom contrasts is given wherein the linear contrasts of the levels of each effect are utilized. A new operation is introduced which simplifies the method of construction of contrasts and computation thereof. A numerical example is used to illustrate the procedure.

A second unsolved problem in the analysis of factorials pertains to less than full model situations. E.g., consider the situation wherein a set of factorial treatments is designed in a randomized complete block design. Also,

<sup>\*</sup> Paper No. BU-151 in the Bicmetrics Unit series and No P.B.-539 in the Department of Plant Breeding and Biometry series.

suppose that no observations are present for a treatment in some but not all of the blocks. This problem is resolved in the present paper and a numerical example is used to illustrate the computations. Other related results are obtained in connection with the above two main problems.

- ii -

#### A GENERALIZED METHOD OF ANALYSIS OF FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT WITH UNEQUAL NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS\*

U. B. Paik and W. T. Federer Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

#### 1. Introduction

A calculus of factorials was developed by Kurkjian and Zelen [1962]. A series of papers on the application and extensions was presented by M. Zelen and co-workers. The first paper on applications (Kurkjian and Zelen [1963]) was devoted to analyses of a large class of experimental designs with one-way elimination of heterogeneity which included b.i.b. and p.b.i.b. designs and designs obtained as direct products of these designs. The second paper on application (Zelen and Federer [1964]) dealt with the analyses of a large class of experimental designs for two-way elimination where the row-treatment and the column-treatment associations were each of the type discussed by Kurkjian and Zelen [1963]. The third paper (Zelen and Federer [1965]) dealt with the analysis of an n-factor factorial treatment design with unequal numbers (nonzero) of observations. The principal theoretical result of this paper enables the sums of squares for any main effect or interaction to be written as a simplified explicit form. Utilizing this form the necessary calculations for interaction sums of squares in an analysis of variance may be performed by inverting relatively small matrices. The main effect sums of squares are obtained without inverting a matrix. A two-factor interaction sum of squares associated with  $q_1$  levels of one factor and  $q_2$  levels of the second factor for

<sup>\*</sup> Paper No. BU-151 in the Bicmetrics Unit series and No. P.B.-539 in the Department of Plant Breeding and Bicmetry series.

 $q_1 \ge q_2$ , requires the inversion of a  $(q_2-1) \times (q_2-1)$  matrix. A three factor interaction sum of squares with the three factors at levels  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ , and  $q_3$  $(q_1 \ge q_2 \ge q_3)$  requires the inversion of  $q_1 + q_2 (q_3-1) \times (q_3-1)$  matrices and an additional square matrix of side  $(q_2-1)(q_3-1)$ ; etc. In a fourth paper Federer and Zelen [1966] applied the theory of the third paper to the analysis of multifactor (factorial) experiments; a  $4 \times 3 \times 2$  factorial with unequal numbers of observations and a method of procedure were utilized to illustrate the computations.

One of the remaining problems associated with the application of the calculus for factorials is to relate standard contrasts in factorials to the calculus for both equal and unequal numbers of observations on each treatment or combination. This problem is resolved in the present paper. A computing procedure using the calculus is presented for any estimable linear contrast or any set of estimable linear contrasts. (The single degree of freedom contrast procedure is given by Zelen and Federer [1965]). In presenting the procedure, heavy use was made of the results and notations in the third and fourth papers. A Kronecker product representation of the v-1 single degree of freedom contrasts is given wherein the linear contrasts of the levels of each effect are utilized. A new operation is introduced which simplifies the method of construction of contrasts and computation thereof. A numerical example is used to illustrate the procedure.

A second unsolved problem in the analysis of factorials pertains to less than full model situations. E.g., consider the situation wherein a set of factorial treatments is designed in a randomized complete block design. Also, suppose that no observations are present for a treatment in some but not all of the blocks. This problem is resolved in the present paper and a numerical

- 2 -

example is used to illustrate the computations. Other related results are obtained in connection with the above two main problems.

#### 2. Notations and Operations

2.1. Introduction.

Consider a factorial experiment with n factors  $\{A_h\}$  such that the h<sup>th</sup> factor  $A_h$  has  $q_h$  levels. Then the number of treatment combinations is  $v = \prod_{h=1}^{n} q_h$ . Let the i<sup>th</sup> treatment combination be denoted by the n-tuple

$$i = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n)$$

where  $i_h$  denotes a parameter level from factor  $A_h$  and  $i_h=0,1,\cdots,q_h-1$ .

Let  $y_{ji} = y_{j(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}$  be the j<sup>th</sup> observation made on the treatment combination  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots r_i$ ,  $(r_i \ge 1)$  and let N be the total number of observations. Furthermore, we assume that the  $\{y_{j(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}\}$  are to be independently distributed following a normal distribution with

$$E_{j(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)} = \tau(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)$$

$$\operatorname{var} y_{j(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)} = \sigma^2$$

We shall denote the main effect, two factor interaction, ..., n-factor interaction parameter by

$$\alpha_{h}(i_{h}), \alpha_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}), \dots, \alpha_{l,2}, \dots, n(i_{l}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{n})$$

furthermore, because of the factorial structure of the experiment for  $i^{th}$  treatment combination  $i=(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)$ , we have

$$\tau_{(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)} = \mu + \sum_{h=1}^n \alpha_h(i_h) + \sum_{\substack{h \\ l \le h \le k \le n}} \alpha_{hk}(i_h,i_k) + \cdots$$

+ $\alpha_{l,2},\ldots,n(i_l,i_2,\cdots,i_n)$ 

We may assume the following without loss in generality:

•

. •

$$q_{h} - 1 = 0$$

$$\sum_{i_{h}=0}^{q_{h}-1} \alpha_{h}(i_{h}, i_{k}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i_{h}=0}^{q_{h}-1} \alpha_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}) = \sum_{i_{k}=0}^{q_{k}-1} \alpha_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}) = 0$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$q_{1} - 1 = 0$$

$$\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{q_{1}-1} \alpha_{1,2}, \dots, n(i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{n}) = \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{q_{2}-1} \alpha_{1,2}, \dots, n(i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{n}) = \dots$$

 $= \sum_{i_n=0}^{q_n-1} \alpha_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n)} = 0$ 

•

алаан ал Талаан алаан ал

. .

2.2. Vectors, Matrices and Kronecker product.

We shall write the model in matrix notation. For this purpose define

$$\mathbf{Y}' = (\mathbf{y}_{11}, \mathbf{y}_{21}, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{r_1}, 1, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{r_v}, \mathbf{v})$$

$$\underline{\tau}^{*} = (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{v})$$

where  $\tau_i = \tau_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}$ . Then the model may be written as

$$EY = X\underline{T}$$
(2.2)  
var  $Y = I\sigma^2$ 

where X is an N  $\times$  v design matrix and I is an N  $\times$  N identity matrix. Let

$$\underline{\alpha}_{h}^{i} = [\alpha_{h}(0), \alpha_{h}(1), \cdots, \alpha_{h}(q_{h}-1)]$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{hk}^{i} = [\alpha_{hk}(0,0), \alpha_{hk}(0,1), \cdots, \alpha_{hk}(q_{h}-1,q_{k}-1)] \quad (2.3)$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{1,2}^{i}, \cdots, n = [\alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,0)}, \alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,1)}, \cdots, \alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,1)}, \cdots, \alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,1)}]$$

and let  $E_{h}(i_{h})$  be a unit coordinate row vector in a  $q_{h}$ -dimensional vector space such that

$$E_{h}(0) = (1,0,\dots,0)$$

$$E_{h}(1) = (0,1,\dots,0)$$

$$\dots$$

$$E_{h}(q_{h}-1) = (0,0,\dots,1) , \qquad (2.4)$$

then

$$\tau_{(i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n})} = \mu + \sum_{h=1}^{n} E_{h}(i_{h})\underline{d}_{h} + \sum_{\substack{h=1\\ l \le h \le k \le n}} \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ l \le h \le k \le n}} E_{hk}(i_{h},i_{k})\underline{\alpha}_{hk} + \cdots$$
(2.5)
$$+ E_{1,2,\cdots,n}(i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n})\underline{\alpha}_{1,2},\cdots,n$$

. •••. .

where

$$E_{hk}(i_{h},i_{k}) = E_{h}(i_{h}) \otimes E_{k}(i_{k})$$

$$\cdots$$

$$E_{1,2},\cdots,n(i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n}) = \prod_{h=1}^{n} \otimes E_{h}(i_{h})$$

where  $\otimes$  refers to the Kronecker product and define the product order as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{n}{\Pi} \otimes E_{h}(i_{h}) = E_{l}(i_{l}) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \overset{n}{\Pi} \otimes E_{h}(i_{h}) \end{pmatrix} \\ \overset{h=l}{H=2} \end{array} \\ = E_{l}(i_{l}) \otimes \left( E_{2}(i_{2}) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \overset{n}{\Pi} \otimes E_{h}(i_{h}) \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- 6 -

Assumption (2.1) may be represented as

2.3. Orthogonal Contrast Matrix

If we denote the contrast matrix  $K_h$  for the h<sup>th</sup> factor having  $q_h$  levels, the representation of contrast matrix among n factors is:

$$K = \prod_{h=1}^{n} \otimes K_{h}$$
(2.6)

where

$$K_{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Y_{01} & \cdots & Y_{0,q_{h}-1} \\ 1 & Y_{11} & \cdots & Y_{1,q_{h}-1} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 1 & Y_{q_{h}-1,1} & \cdots & Y_{q_{h}-1,q_{h}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.7)

where

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{h}=0}^{\mathbf{q}_{h}-1} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}_{h},\mathbf{j}_{h}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{j}_{h}=1,2,\cdots,\mathbf{q}_{h}-1$$

and

$$\sum_{i_{h}=0}^{q_{h}-1} \gamma_{i_{h},j_{h}}\gamma_{i_{h},k_{h}} = 0 \text{ for } j_{h} \neq k_{h} \text{ and } j_{h},k_{h}=1,2,\cdots,q_{h}-1$$

The treatment combination order corresponding to the row order of K is regarded as an n-tuple  $i=(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)$ , the ordering is to fix all levels at the first level  $(i_h=0, h=1,2,\cdots,n)$  and run through the  $q_n$  levels  $A_n$ ; then put  $i_{n-1}=1$  and run through the levels of  $A_{n-1}$ ; repeat for  $i_{n-1}=2,3,\cdots,q_n-1$ . After running through these  $q_{n-1}q_n$  combinations, change  $i_{n-2}=1$  and continue as before. After disposing of  $q_{n-2}q_{n-1}q_n$  combinations, change  $i_{n-3}=1$  and this process continues until all combinations have been enumerated.

In (2.7), let

$$K_{h} = (\underline{k}_{h}^{O} \quad \underline{k}_{h}^{1} \quad \cdots \quad \underline{k}_{h}^{q_{h}-1})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \underline{t}_{h}^{0} \\ \underline{t}_{h}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{t}_{h}^{q_{h}-1} \\ \underline{t}_{h}^{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\underline{k}_{h}^{i_{h}}$  and  $\underline{t}_{h}^{i_{h}}$  are  $q_{h} \times 1$  column vector and  $1 \times q_{h}$  row vector respectively. Then the i<sup>th</sup> column vector  $\underline{f}^{i} = \underline{f}^{(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n})}$  and i<sup>th</sup> row vector  $\underline{t}_{i} = \underline{t}(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n})$ in K may be represented respectively as:

$$\underline{f}^{i} = \underline{f}^{(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n})} = \prod_{h=1}^{n} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{i_{h}}$$

$$\underline{t}_{i} = \underline{t}_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n})} = \prod_{h=1}^{n} \otimes \underline{t}_{h}$$

Particularly,

$$\underline{f}_{h}^{i_{h}} = \underline{f}^{(0,0,\cdots,i_{h},\cdots,0)}$$

 $= \mathbf{1}_{t} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{\mathbf{i}_{h}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u}$ 

$$= \mathbf{1}_{t} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{0i_{h}} & \mathbf{1}_{u} \\ \gamma_{1i_{h}} & \mathbf{1}_{u} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{q_{h}-1,i_{h}} & \mathbf{1}_{u} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $1_t$  and  $1_u$  are t x l and u x l column vectors with all elements equal to one and where t =  $\sum_{j=l}^{h-1} q_j$ , u =  $\sum_{j=h+l}^{n} q_j$ .

If we define a product of two matrices  $A_{m \times n} = (a_{ij})$  and  $B_{m \times n} = (b_{ij})$  such as

as

$$A:B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \otimes b_{11} & a_{12} \otimes b_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \otimes b_{1m} \\ a_{21} \otimes b_{21} & a_{22} \otimes b_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \otimes b_{2n} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$$

where unit is  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  then  $\underline{f}_{h,h+k}^{(i_h,i_{h+k})} = \underline{f}^{(0,\cdots,i_h,\cdots,i_{h+k},\cdots,0)}$  may be represented as

$$\underline{f}_{h,h+k}^{(i_h,i_{h+k})} = \underline{f}_{h}^{i_h} : \underline{f}_{h+k}^{i_{h+k}} , \qquad (2.9)$$

where unit is  $j_{i_h j_h}$ . Equation (2.9) follows from the fact that

$$\underline{f}_{h,k+k}^{(i_{h},i_{h+k})} = \mathbf{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{i_{h}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t} \otimes \underline{k}_{h+k}^{i_{h+k}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u}$$

where

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} q_j$$
,  $t = \sum_{j=h+1}^{h+k-1} q_j$  and  $u = \sum_{j=h+k+1}^{n} q_j$ .

While

$$\mathbf{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h}^{\mathbf{i}_{h}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h+k}^{\mathbf{i}_{h+k}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u} = \mathbf{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h}^{\mathbf{i}_{h}} \mathbf{1}_{u}, : \mathbf{1}_{t}, \otimes \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h+k}^{\mathbf{i}_{h+k}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u}$$

where

$$u^{t} = v/sq_{h}$$
 and  $t^{t} = v/uq_{h+k}$ 

In general,

$$\underline{f}^{(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n)} = \underline{f}_1^{i_1} : \underline{f}_2^{i_2} : \cdots : \underline{f}_n^{i_n} , \qquad (2.10)$$

where unit is  $\gamma_{i_h,j_h}$ . The representation in (2.10) is useful for constructing the contrast matrix K.

Using (2.4) and (2.8) defining

$$E_{h}^{t} = [E_{h}(0), E_{h}(1), \cdots, E_{h}(q_{h}-1)]$$

.

and defining

$$Z_{h} = \mathbf{1}_{t} \otimes E_{h} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u} ,$$
$$Z_{hk} = Z_{h} : Z_{k} ,$$

and

$$Z_{1,2}, \dots, n = Z_1 : Z_2 : \dots : Z_n$$
,

where unit is  $E_{h}$ ; then (2.5) may be represented as

$$\underline{\tau} = \mu \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{h=1}^{n} Z_{h-h} + \sum_{\substack{h=k \\ l \le h < k \le n}} Z_{hk-hk} + \cdots + Z_{1,2}, \cdots, \underline{n}_{l,2}, \cdots, \underline{n}_{l,2}$$

Now, define the  $q_h \times (q_h-1)$ ,  $q_h q_k \times (q_h-1)(q_k-1)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $v \times \prod_{h=1}^{n} (q_h-1)$  and

- 12 -

,

.

 $v \times \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \Pi \\ h=1 \end{pmatrix}$  submatrices  $P_h$ ,  $P_{hk}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $P_{1,2}$ ,  $\cdots$ , and C of K,  $K_h \otimes K_k$ ,  $\cdots$ , and K respectively as follows:

$$P_{h} = [\underline{k}_{h}^{1}, \underline{k}_{h}^{2}, \cdots, \underline{k}_{h}^{q_{h}-1}]$$

$$P_{hk} = [\underline{k}_{h}^{1} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{1}, \underline{k}_{h}^{1} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{2}, \cdots, \underline{k}_{h}^{q_{h}-1} \otimes \underline{k}_{h}^{q_{h}-1}]$$

$$P_{1,2}, \cdots, n = [\underline{k}_{1}^{1} \otimes \underline{k}_{2}^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{k}_{n}^{1}, \underline{k}_{1}^{1} \otimes \underline{k}_{2}^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{k}_{n}^{2}, \cdots, \underline{k}_{n}^{q_{h}-1}]$$

$$\underline{k}_{1}^{q_{1}-1} \otimes \underline{k}_{2}^{q_{2}-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{k}_{n}^{q_{n}-1}] \qquad (2.11)$$

c = 
$$[\underline{\ell}_{1}(1), \underline{\ell}_{1}(2), \cdots, \underline{\ell}_{1}(q_{1}-1), \underline{\ell}_{2}(1), \cdots, \underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(q_{1}-1, \cdots, q_{n}-1)}]$$
  
=  $[c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{]}$ 

where

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h}) = \underline{f}_{h,k}^{(i_{h},i_{k})}, i_{h}=1,2,\cdots,q_{h}-1$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h},i_{k}) = \underline{f}_{h,k}^{(i_{h},i_{k})}, h < k; i_{h}=1,2,\cdots,q_{h}-1; i_{k}=1,2,\cdots,q_{k}-1$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{1,2},\cdots,n^{(q_{1}-1,\cdots,q_{n}-1)} = \underline{f}^{(q_{1}-1,q_{2}-1,\cdots,q_{n}-1)}$$

and

$$C_{h} = [\underline{\ell}_{h}(1), \underline{\ell}_{h}(2), \cdots, \underline{\ell}_{h}(q_{h}-1)]$$

$$C_{hk} = [\underline{\ell}_{hk}(1,1), \underline{\ell}_{hk}(1,2), \cdots, \underline{\ell}_{hk}(q_{h}-1,q_{k}-1)]$$

$$\cdots$$

$$C_{1,2}, \cdots, n = [\underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(1,1,\cdots,1)}, \underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(1,1,\cdots,2)}, \cdots$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(q_{1}-1,\cdots,q_{n}-1)}]$$

It is understood that C'C is (v-1)  $\times$  (v-1) diagonal matrix from the definition of the contrast matrix  $K_h$ ; from (2.9) and 2.10) we obtain

$$\underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h},i_{k}) = \underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h}) : \underline{\ell}_{k}(i_{k})$$

$$\dots \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{1,2},\dots,n(i_{1},i_{2},\dots,i_{n}) = \underline{\ell}_{1}(i_{1}) : \underline{\ell}_{2}(i_{n}) : \dots : \underline{\ell}_{n}(i_{n}) ,$$

where unit is  $\gamma_{i_h j_k}$  and  $i_h = 1, 2, \cdots, q_h - 1$ .

# 3. Estimation of Interactions and Variances of Contrasts

. • •

From (2.2) and the least squares method

$$X'X = X'Y$$
.

Since X'X is invertible

$$\hat{\underline{\tau}} = s^{-1} x^{\dagger} Y$$

$$\operatorname{var} \hat{\underline{\tau}} = \mathrm{S}^{-1} \sigma^2$$

where S = X'X.

We know that C' $\underline{\tau}$  is estimable and its unbiased linear estimator is C' $\hat{\underline{\tau}}$  and also

. .

$$\operatorname{var} C^{\dagger} \widehat{\underline{\tau}} = C^{\dagger} S^{-1} C \sigma^{2}$$

Theorem 1. Under assumption (2.1), using notations (2.3) and (2.11), the linear contrast C' $\underline{\tau}$  may be represented as

$$C^{i} \underline{\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1}^{i} \underline{\tau} \\ c_{2}^{i} \underline{\tau} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n}^{i} \underline{\tau} \\ c_{n}^{i} \underline{\tau} \\ \vdots \\ c_{1,2}^{i} \underline{\tau} \\ \vdots \\ c_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (v/q_{1}) P_{1}^{i} \alpha \\ (v/q_{2}) P_{2}^{i} \alpha_{2} \\ \vdots \\ (v/q_{n}) P_{n-n}^{i} \\ (v/q_{1}q_{2}) P_{1,2}^{i} \alpha_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ P_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} ], 2, \dots, n \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof:

.

$$C_{h}^{i} \underline{\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(1) \underline{\tau} \\ \underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(2) \underline{\tau} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(q_{h}-1) \underline{\tau} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{i}_{h})\underline{\tau} = \underline{\ell}_{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{i}_{h})[\mu\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{h=1}^{n} Z_{h-h} + \sum_{\substack{h=1\\ k \leq h \leq k \leq n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{hk-hk} + \cdots$$

$$+ Z_{1,2}, \cdots, n-1, 2, \cdots, n^{2}$$

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}^{t}(i_{h})(\mu i_{v}) = 0$$
 from the definition of  $\underline{C}_{h}^{t}(i_{h})$ .

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{i}_{h})Z_{\mathbf{j}}\underline{\alpha}_{\mathbf{j}} = (\mathbf{1}_{t_{h}} \otimes \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h}^{\mathbf{i}_{h}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u_{h}})^{\prime}(\mathbf{1}_{t_{j}} \otimes \underline{\alpha}_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{u_{j}})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{q}_{h}}\right)\left(\underline{\mathbf{k}}_{h}^{\mathbf{i}_{h}}\right)^{\prime}\underline{\alpha}_{h} & \text{if } \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{p}_{1} \sum_{g=0}^{q_{h}-1} q_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{q}_{h} & (\sum_{s=0}^{q_{j}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(s)) = \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \mathbf{j} < \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{p}_{2} \sum_{s=0}^{q_{j}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(s)\left(\sum_{g=0}^{q_{h}-1} q_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{q}_{h}\right) = \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \mathbf{j} > \mathbf{h} \end{cases}$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are constants.

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{h}^{i}(\mathbf{i}_{h})Z_{gj}\underline{\alpha}_{gj} = \begin{cases} d_{1}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\gamma_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{i}_{h}}\sum_{\mathbf{s}}\alpha_{gj}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}) = 0 & \text{if } h \leq g \\ d_{2}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\sum_{\mathbf{u}}\gamma_{u\mathbf{i}_{h}}\sum_{\mathbf{s}}\alpha_{gj}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}) = 0 & \text{if } g \leq h \leq j \\ d_{3}\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\sum_{\mathbf{s}}\alpha_{gj}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})\sum_{\mathbf{u}}\gamma_{u\mathbf{i}_{h}} = 0 & \text{if } j \leq h \end{cases}$$

where  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and  $d_3$  are constants. Similarly

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{i}_{h})Z_{1,2},\cdots,\underline{n}^{\alpha}_{1,2},\cdots,\underline{n}=0$$

Then

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}^{\prime}(\underline{i}_{h})\underline{\tau} = \left(\frac{\underline{v}}{\underline{q}_{h}}\right)\left(\underline{k}_{h}^{\underline{i}_{h}}\right)^{\prime}\underline{\alpha}_{h} \quad .$$

Hence

$$C_{h-1}^{\dagger \tau} \left( \frac{v}{q_{h}} \right) P_{h-h}^{\dagger \alpha}$$

Other situations are similarly proven.

From this Theorem, we obtain the following:

$$\underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h})\underline{\tau} = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \left(\underline{k}_{h}^{i_{h}}\right)^{\prime}\underline{\alpha}_{h} = 0$$

:

ŧ

$$C_{h-}^{i} = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad P_{h-h}^{i} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.},$$

$$\alpha_{h}(0) = \alpha_{h}(1) = \cdots = \alpha_{h}(q_{h}-1)$$

$$\cdots$$

$$C_{1,2}^{i}, \cdots, n^{\underline{\tau}} = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad P_{1,2}^{i}, \cdots, n \stackrel{\underline{\alpha}}{=} 1, 2, \cdots, n = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.},$$

$$\alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,0)} = \alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(0,0,\cdots,1)} = \cdots$$

$$= \alpha_{1,2}, \cdots, n^{(q_{1}-1,\cdots,q_{n}-1)} \quad .$$

# 4. Sums of Squares for Factorial Effects

We shall present the following well known Lemma without proof.

Lemma 1. If Y is distributed  $N(\mu, I\sigma^2)$ , then Y'A $\sigma^{-2}$ Y is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with k degrees of freedom if and only if  $\mu'A\mu = 0$  and A is an idempotent matrix of rank k.

Theorem 2. The quadratic forms 
$$\tau' \underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h}) [\underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h}) S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h})]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h}) \hat{\underline{\tau}}$$
,  
 $\hat{\underline{\tau}} \underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}) [\underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i}(i_{h}, i_{k}) S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k})]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i}(i_{h}, i_{k}) \hat{\underline{\tau}}$ , ...,  
 $\hat{\underline{\tau}} \underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}) [\underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i}(i_{h}, i_{k}) S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k})]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i}(i_{h}, i_{k}) \hat{\underline{\tau}}$ , ...,  
 $\hat{\underline{\tau}} \underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n})} [\underline{\ell}_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n})} S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n})}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2}$   
 $\underline{\ell}_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n})} \hat{\underline{\tau}}$  subject to restrictions  $\underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h}) \underline{\tau} = 0$ ,  $\underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i} \underline{\tau} = 0$ , ...,  
 $\underline{\ell}^{i}_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n})} \underline{\tau} = 0$  are distributed as  $\times^{2}$  with one degree of freedom  
respectively and quadratic forms  $\hat{\underline{\tau}} \cdot c_{h} [c_{h}^{i} S^{-1} c_{h}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} c_{hk}^{i} \hat{\underline{\tau}}$ ,  $\hat{\underline{\tau}} \cdot c_{hk} [c_{hk}^{i} S^{-1} c_{hk}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} c_{hk}^{i} \hat{\underline{\tau}}$ ,  
 $\cdots, \hat{\underline{\tau}} \cdot c_{1,2}, \dots, n^{[c_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{S^{-1}c_{1,2}, \dots, n^{1}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} c_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{1} \hat{\underline{\tau}}$  subject to restrictions

.

$$C_{h-}^{i\tau} = 0, C_{hk-}^{i\tau} = 0, \dots, C_{1,2}^{i}, \dots, n^{\tau} = 0$$
 are distributed as  $\chi^{2}$  with  $q_{h}^{-1}$ ,  
 $(q_{h}^{-1})(q_{k}^{-1}), \dots, \prod_{h=1}^{n} (q_{h}^{-1})$  degrees of freedom respectively.

Proof: We shall prove only one case, e.g.,  $\underline{\hat{\tau}}^{i}C_{h}[C_{h}^{i}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}\sigma^{-2}C_{h}^{i}\underline{\hat{\tau}}$ . The other cases can be proven similarly.

,- <sup>1</sup>

Since

$$\hat{\underline{\tau}} = s^{-1} X^{*} Y$$

$$\hat{\underline{\tau}}^{*} c_{h} [c_{h}^{*} s^{-1} c_{h}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} c_{h}^{*} \hat{\tau} = Y^{*} X s^{-1} c_{h} [c_{h}^{*} s^{-1} c_{h}]^{-1} c_{h}^{*} s^{-1} X^{*} \sigma^{-2} Y$$

Let

$$A = XS^{-1}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}X^{\dagger}$$

••••

then

$$AA = XS^{-1}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}X^{\dagger}XS^{-1}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}X^{\dagger}$$
$$= XS^{-1}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}][C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{-1}S^{-1}X^{\dagger}$$
$$= XS^{-1}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}X$$
$$= A$$

. . .

and

$$r(A) = q_{h} - 1$$
.

Next, since  $EY = X\underline{\tau}$ 

.

$$(EY)^{i}A(EY) = \underline{\tau}^{i}X^{i}XS^{-1}[C_{h}^{i}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{i}S^{-1}X^{i}X\underline{\tau}$$
$$= \underline{\tau}^{i}C_{h}[C_{h}^{i}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}C_{h}^{i}\underline{\tau}$$
$$= 0$$

because

$$C_{h-}^{i\tau} = 0$$
.

This proves that the quadratic form  $\hat{\tau}^{\dagger}C_{h}[C_{h}^{\dagger}S^{-1}C_{h}]^{-1}\sigma^{-2}C_{h}^{\dagger}\hat{\tau}$  subject to restriction  $C_{h}^{\dagger}\tau = 0$  is distributed as  $\chi^{2}$  with  $(q_{h}-1)$  degrees of freedom.

From this theorem, the sums of squares for each factorial effect may be represented as follows:

$$SS(A_h) = \hat{\underline{\tau}} C_h [C_h^{\dagger} S^{-1} C_h]^{-1} C_h^{\dagger} \hat{\underline{\tau}}$$

$$q_{h-1}$$

$$SS(A_{h}^{i_{h}}) = \underline{\underline{\hat{r}}}_{\underline{h}}^{i} \underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h}) [\underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h})S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h})]^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h}) \underline{\hat{\tau}}$$

$$SS(A_hA_k) = \underline{\hat{\tau}} C_{hk} [C_{hk} S^{-1}C_{hk}]^{-1} C_{hk} \underline{\hat{\tau}}$$

$$(q_h-1)(q_k-1)$$

$$SS(A_{h}^{i_{h}} A_{k}^{i_{k}}) = \underline{\hat{\tau}}^{\dagger} \underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}) [\underline{\ell}_{hk}^{\dagger}(i_{h}, i_{k}) S^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k})]^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{hk}^{\dagger}(i_{h}, i_{k}) \underline{\hat{\tau}} \qquad 1$$

$$ss(A_1 A_2, \dots A_n) = \hat{\underline{\tau}}^{c_1} c_{1,2}, \dots, n^{[c_{1,2}^{c_1}, \dots, n^{s-l_c}]} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 1,2,\dots, \hat{\underline{\tau}}}}^{n} n^{(q_{n-1})}$$

$$SS(A_{1}^{i_{1}} A_{2}^{i_{2}} A_{n}^{i_{n}}) = \underline{\hat{\tau}}' \underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}}, \dots, i_{n}) [\underline{\ell}'_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}}, \dots, i_{n})]^{-1}$$

$$S^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}}, \dots, i_{n})]^{-1}$$

$$\times \underline{\ell}'_{1,2}, \dots, n^{(i_{1}}, \dots, i_{n}) \underline{\hat{\tau}}$$

#### 5. Numerical Example 1

#### Table 1

#### Data for Example

A 4  $\times$  3  $\times$  2 factorial experiment for four levels of lysine, A<sub>1</sub>, three levels of methionine, A<sub>2</sub>, and two levels of protein, A<sub>3</sub>. The data (average daily gains, in pounds, of swine) are selected from Table 1 of Federer and Zelen [1966].

1	Level of Methionine					·
	a <sub>2</sub> (0	),0	a <sub>2</sub> (1),	0.025	a <sub>2</sub> (2),0.050	
	Level of	Protein	Level of	Protein	Level of	Protein
Level of Lysine	a <sub>3</sub> (0),12	a <sub>3</sub> (1),14	a <sub>3</sub> (0),12	a3(1),14	a <sub>3</sub> (0),12	<b>a<sub>3</sub>(1),14</b>
	1.11	1.52	1.09	1.27	-	-
•a <u>i</u> (0),0	0.97	1.45	0.99	1.22	1.21	1.24
a <sub>1</sub> (1),0.05	1.30	1.55	1.03	1.24	1.12	-
	1.00	1.53	1.21	1.34	0.96	1.27
a <sub>1</sub> (2),0.10	1.22	1.38	1.34	· 1.40	1.34	1.46
	1.13	1.08	1.41	1.21	1.19	1.39
(2) 0.15	1.19	-	1.36	1.42	1.46	1.62
a <sub>1</sub> (3),0.15	1.03	1.29	1.16	1.39	1.03	-

In this example

 $S^{-1} = diag (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}$ 

÷

 $\hat{\underline{\tau}}^i = (s^{-1}x^i x)^i = (1.040, 1.485, 1.040, 1.245, 1.210, 1.240, 1.150, 1.540)$ 

.

•

- 1.120, 1.290, 1.040, 1.270, 1.175, 1.230, 1.375, 1.305
- 1.265, 1.425, 1.110, 1.290, 1.260, 1.405, 1.245, 1.620)

Define the following orthogonal contrast matrices (in the sense of  $K_h^t K_h$  = diagonal) for  $A_1^{}$ ,  $A_2^{}$ ,  $A_3^{}$ .

$$K_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain the following orthogonal contrast matrix C:

C <sub>l</sub> (•)	c <sub>2</sub> (•)	c <sub>3</sub> (•)	c <sub>12</sub> (•)	c <sub>13</sub> (•)	c <sub>23</sub> (•)	c <sub>123</sub> (•)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-1 1 -1 1 0 -2 1 1 -1 1 -1 1 0 -2 1 1 -1 1 -2 2 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -2 2 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -2 2 -1 1 -1 -2 2 -1 1 	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 -1 -1 1 0 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 -1 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 -1 2 0 -2 -1 -1 1 1 -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

 $SS(A_1)$ ,  $SS(A' \text{ or } L_{A_1})$ ,  $SS(A_1A_2)$  and  $SS(A_1^2 A_2^2 A_3' \text{ or } Q_{A_1} \times Q_{A_2} \times L_{A_3})$ , for example, are as follows:

 $SS(A_1)$ :

 $\hat{\underline{\tau}}^{\dagger} c_1 = (2.375, 0.005, -0.425)$ 

$$\mathbf{C_{1}^{*}s^{-1}C_{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 78.5 & 0.5 & 4.5 \\ 0.5 & 14.5 & -1.5 \\ 4.5 & -1.5 & 66.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 s^{-1} c_1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0127925 & -0.0005319 & -0.0008777 \\ -0.0005319 & 0.0691489 & 0.0015957 \\ -0.0008777 & 0.0015957 & 0.01513298 \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

Then

$$SS(A_1) = \hat{\underline{\tau}} C_1 [C_1 S^{-1} C_1]^{-1} C_1 \hat{\underline{\tau}}$$
$$= 0.076645 .$$

$$SS(L_{A_{1}}):$$

$$\frac{\hat{\tau}! \ell_{1}(1) = 2.375}{\ell_{1}!(1)s^{-1}\ell_{1}(1) = 78.5}$$

$$[\ell_{1}!(1)s^{-1}\ell_{1}(1)]^{-1} = 0.0127389$$

Then

$$\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{1}}) = \hat{\underline{\tau}}^{\dagger} \underline{\ell}_{1}(1) [\underline{\ell}_{1}(1) \mathrm{S}^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{1}(1)]^{-1} \underline{\ell}_{1}^{\dagger}(1) \hat{\underline{\tau}}$$

= 0.071855 .

 $ss(A_1A_2):$ 

 $\hat{\underline{\tau}}^{i}c_{12} = (2.285, -1.855, 0.485, 0.425, -1.455, 0.865)$ 

	58.5	<b>9•5</b>	0.5	-2.5	4.5	1.5
	9•5	138.5	<b>-</b> 2.5	0.5	1.5	1.5 4.5 -2.5 -1.5 5.5 126.5
c; <sub>12</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> c <sub>12</sub> =	0.5	-2.5	10.5	1.5	<b>-1.</b> 5	-2.5
°12 <sup>°</sup> 12 <sup>–</sup>	-2.5	0.5	1.5	26.5	-2.5	-1.5
	4.5	1.5	-1.5	-2.5	46.5	5.5
··· ·	1.5	4.5	-2.5	-1.5	5•5	126.5

	0.0175000	-0.0012143	-0.0016071
	-0.0012.43	0.0073449	0.0018010
[c:25 <sup>-1</sup> c212] <sup>-1</sup> =	-0.0016071	0.0018010	0.0973023
12 12 12	0.0016071	-0.0003724	-0.0053380
	-0.0016071	-0.0000561	0.0027487
	_0.0001071	-0.0002133	0.0016952
	0.0016071	-0.0016071	-0.0001071
	-0.0003724	-0.0000561	-0.0002132
	-0.0053380	0.0027487	0.0016952
	0.0383737	0.0017156	0.0002691
	0.0017155	0.0219452	-0.0008584
	0.0002691	-0.0008584	0.0079880_

- 23 -

Then

$$SS(A_1A_2) = \hat{\tau}^* c_{12} [c_{12}^* s^{-1} c_{12}]^{-1} c_{12}^* \hat{\tau}$$
$$= 0.212323$$

$$SS(Q_{A_1} \times Q_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}):$$

$$\hat{\tau}^* \underline{\ell}_{123}(2,2,1) = -0.305$$

$$\underline{\ell}^*_{123}(2,2,1)S^{-1}C_{123}(2,2,1) = 26.5$$

$$[\underline{\ell}^*_{123}(2,2,1)S^{-1}\underline{\ell}_{123}(2,2,1)]^{-1} = 0.0377358$$

.

Then

$$ss(Q_{A_{1}} \times Q_{A_{2}} \times L_{A_{3}}) = \underline{\hat{\tau}} \underline{\hat{L}}_{123}(2,2,1) [\underline{\hat{L}}_{123}(2,2,1)s^{-1}\underline{\hat{L}}_{123}(2,2,1)]^{-1}$$
$$\underline{\hat{L}}_{123}(2,2,1)\underline{\hat{\tau}}$$

= 0.003510 .

Thus we obtain the following Table 2.

.

•

# Table 2.

# Analysis of Variance

Source of variation	d.f.	S.5.
Total	43	69.3586
CFM	l	68.115684
Among groups	23	0.936266
Within groups	19	0.306650
Al	3	0.076645
LA	l	0.071855
Q <sub>A1</sub>	l	0.000002
C <sub>A1</sub>	l	0.002716
A <sub>2</sub>	2	0.010012
$^{ m L}A_{ m g}$	l	0.008288
Q <sub>A2</sub>	1	0.002454
A <sub>3</sub>	l	0.369602
A <sub>1</sub> × A <sub>2</sub>	6	0.212323
	l	0.089252
	l	0.024845
$Q_{A_1} \times L_{A_2}$	1	0.022402
	l	0.006816
	1	0.045527
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{A_1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_2}} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{A_1}} \times \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{A_2}} \end{array}$	l	0.005915
A <sub>1</sub> × A <sub>3</sub>	3	0.080971
L <sub>A1</sub> × L <sub>A3</sub>	l	0.004360
$Q_{A_1} \times L_{A_3}$	l	0.013657
$C_{A_1} \times L_{A_3}$	l	0.057474

Source of variation	d.f.	S•S•
A <sub>2</sub> × A <sub>3</sub>	2	0.045573
	l	0.007202
Q <sub>A2</sub> × L <sub>A3</sub>	l	0.035141
A <sub>1</sub> × A <sub>2</sub> × A <sub>3</sub>	6	0.083617
$L_{A_1} \times L_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}$	1	0.075026
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_1}} \times \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{A_2}} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_3}}$	1	0.003290
$Q_{A_1} \times L_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}$	l	0.002593
$Q_{A_1} \times Q_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}$	1	0.003510
$C_{A_1} \times L_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}$	1	0.000736
$C_{A_1} \times Q_{A_2} \times L_{A_3}$	1	0.000005

# 6. Randomized Complete Block Design with Missing Plots

Let  $y_{jgi} = y_{jg(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}$  be the j<sup>th</sup> observation made on the treatment combination  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$  in the g<sup>th</sup> block, where  $j=1,2,\dots,r_{gi}$   $(r_{gi} \neq 0$  for some g); g=1,2,\dots,b; i=1,2,\dots,v and let N be the total number of observations.

# 6.1. Block Effect is Fixed

Assume the  $\{y_{jgi}\}$  are assumed to be independently distributed following a normal distribution with

$$E y_{jg}(i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n}) = \beta_{g} + \tau(i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n})$$
(6.1)

$$\operatorname{var} y_{jgi} = \sigma^2 \quad . \tag{6.2}$$

.

Using the same notation as section 2

$$\tau_{(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)} = \mu + \sum_{h=1}^n \alpha_h(i_h) + \sum_{\substack{h=1\\ l \le h \le k \le n}} \alpha_{hk}(i_h,i_k) + \cdots$$

+
$$\alpha_{1,2},\cdots,n^{(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n)}$$

where

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{h}=0}^{\mathbf{q}_{h}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{i}_{h}) = 0, \sum_{\mathbf{i}_{h}=0}^{\mathbf{q}_{h}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}_{h},\mathbf{i}_{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_{k}=0}^{\mathbf{q}_{k}-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}_{h},\mathbf{i}_{k}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i_1=0}^{q_1-1} \alpha_{1,2,\cdots,n}(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n) = \sum_{i_n=0}^{q_n-1} \alpha_{1,2,\cdots,n}(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n) = 0$$

By matrix notation

$$EY = X\left(\frac{\beta}{\tau}\right)$$
(6.3)

$$var Y = I\sigma^2 \tag{6.4}$$

where X is an N  $\times$  (b+v) matrix and I is an N  $\times$  N unit matrix.

. .

Let  $X = [X_1 X_2]$ , where  $X_1$  is an  $N \times b$  matrix and  $X_2$  is an  $N \times v$  matrix, then by the least squares method

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1}^{i}x_{1} & x_{1}^{i}x_{2} \\ x_{2}^{i}x_{1} & x_{2}^{i}x_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}^{i}y \\ x_{2}^{i}y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(x_{2}^{i}x_{2} - x_{2}^{i}x_{1}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}x_{2})\hat{\tau} = x_{2}^{i}y - x_{2}^{i}x_{1}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}y$$
$$x_{2}^{i}[I - x_{1}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}]x_{2}\hat{\tau} = x_{2}^{i}[I - x_{1}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}]y$$

Let G be a g-inverse of  $X'_{2}[I - X_{1}(X'_{1}X_{1})^{-1}X'_{1}]X_{2}$ , then

$$\hat{\tau} = GX_2^{i}[I - X_1(X_1^{i}X_1)^{-1}X_1^{i}]Y + [H - I]Z$$
,

where  $H = GX_2^{*}[I - X_1(X_1^{*}X_1)^{-1}X_1^{*}]X_2$  and Z is an arbitrary v × 1 column vector of components  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_v$ .

Searle [1965] proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. Each of  $\underline{\ell}_{h}^{i}(i_{h})G\underline{\ell}_{h}(i_{h}), \underline{\ell}_{hk}^{i}(i_{h}, i_{k})G\underline{\ell}_{hk}(i_{h}, i_{k}), \cdots, \underline{\ell}_{1,2}^{i}, \cdots, n^{(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n})}, C_{h}^{i}GC_{h}, C_{hk}^{i}GC_{hk}, \cdots, C_{1,2}^{i}, \cdots, n^{GC}, 2, \cdots, n^{GC}, 2,$ 

Corollary: In Theorem 2 we can replace  $S^{-1}$  by G.

Proof: Since  $C_{h-1}^{\dagger}$  is estimable  $C_{h}^{\dagger}$  =  $C_{h}^{\dagger}$  then

# $x_1(x_1x_1)^{-1}]x_{1\underline{\beta}}$

 $\underline{\beta}^{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathbf{X}}} \underline{\lambda}_{\underline{1}} \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}^{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{\mathbf{Y}}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} (\mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{x}_{\underline{1}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}} ] \mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\underline{2}}^{\mathbf{Y}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} (\mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{x}_{\underline{1}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}} ] \mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\underline{2}}^{\mathbf{Y}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} (\mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{x}_{\underline{1}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}} ] \mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\underline{2}}^{\mathbf{Y}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} (\mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{x}_{\underline{1}})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}} ] \mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\underline{2}}^{\mathbf{Y}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{1}} \mathbf{X}_{\underline{2}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GC}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\underline{2}}^{\mathbf{Y}} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{GX}_{\mathbf{H}}^{$ 

 $= \underline{\beta}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{X}_{1}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{A} \underline{X}_{1} \underline{\beta} + \underline{\beta} \underline{X}_{1}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{A} \underline{X}_{2} \underline{\tau} + \underline{\tau}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{X}_{2}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{A} \underline{X}_{1} \underline{\beta} + \underline{\tau}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{X}_{2}^{\mathsf{'}} \underline{A} \underline{X}_{2} \underline{\tau}$ 

$$(EY)'A(CY) = (\underline{\beta}'X_1' + \underline{\tau}'X_2)A(X_1\underline{\beta} + X_2\underline{\tau})$$

$$x_{1}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}]$$
  
=  $[I - X_{1}^{i}(x_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}]x_{2}GC_{h}(C_{h}^{i}GC_{h})^{-1}C_{h}Gx_{2}[I - X_{1}(X_{1}^{i}x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}^{i}]$ 

$$A = [I - X_{1}'(X_{1}X_{1})^{-1}X_{1}]X_{2}GC_{h}(C_{h}GC_{h})^{-1}C_{h}GX_{2}'[I - X_{1}(X_{1}X_{1})^{-1}X_{1}'],$$

Let

$$\begin{split} \hat{\underline{\tau}}^{i} c_{h} [c_{h}^{i} G c_{h}]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} c_{h}^{i} \hat{\underline{\tau}} \\ &= Y^{i} [I - X_{1}^{i} (X_{1}^{i} X_{1})^{-1} X_{1}] X_{2} G c_{h} (c_{h}^{i} G c_{h})^{-1} c_{h}^{i} G X_{2}^{i} [I - X_{1}^{i} (X_{1}^{i} X_{1})^{-1} X_{1}^{i}] \sigma^{-2} Y \end{split}$$

Hence

$$C_{h-}^{i\tau} = C_{h}^{i}GX_{2}^{i}[I - X_{1}(X_{1}^{i}X_{1})^{-1}X_{1}^{i}]Y$$
.

then

$$C_{h}^{\dagger}(H-I) = 0$$

Assuming 
$$E\beta_g = 0$$
 and var  $\beta_g = \sigma_\beta^2$  for g=1,2,...,b, we obtain

6.2. Block Effect is Random

This proves the corollary.

 $r(A) = q_{h}-1$ .

and

Hence

(EY)'A(EY) = 0

 $\underline{\tau}^{\dagger} X_2^{\dagger} A X_2 \underline{\tau} = 0 .$ 

since  $C_h^{\dagger} \tau = 0$ . Similarly

 $= \underline{\beta}^{\mathbf{i}} X_{1} (\mathbf{I} - X_{1}^{\mathbf{i}} (X_{1}^{\mathbf{i}} X_{1})^{-1} X_{1}] X_{2} GC_{h} (C_{h}^{\mathbf{i}} GC_{h})^{-1} C_{h}^{\mathbf{i}} \tau$ = 0

 $\mathbf{\underline{f}}^{\dagger}X_{2}^{\dagger}AX_{2}\beta = 0$  $\underline{\beta}^{*}X_{1}^{*}AX_{2}\underline{\tau} = \underline{\beta}^{*}X_{1}[I - X_{1}^{*}(X_{1}^{*}X_{1})^{-1}X_{1}]X_{2}GC_{h}(C_{h}^{*}GC_{h})^{-1}C_{h}^{*}H\tau$ 

Similarly

 $= \underline{\beta} X_1^{i} [1 - X_1^{i} (X_1^{i} X_1)^{-1} X_1] X_2 GC_h (C_h^{i} GC_h)^{-1} C_h^{i} GX_2^{i} [X_1 - X_1] \underline{\beta}$ = 0

. .

$$E y_{jgi} = \tau_i$$
  
var y<sub>jgi</sub> =  $\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$ 

$$cov(y_{jgi}, y_{j'gi'}) = \sigma_{\beta}^{2}$$
 for  $j \neq j'$  or  $i \neq i'$ 

and

$$cov(y_{jgi}, y_{j'g'i}) = 0$$
 for  $g \neq g'$ 

By matrix notation

$$EY = X \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \underline{\tau} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6.5)

cov 
$$Y = I\sigma^2 + X_1 X_1^* \sigma_\beta^2$$
 (6.6)

Now we need the following Lemma 3.

Lemma 3: If Y is distributed  $N(\mu, V)$ , then Y'BY is distributed as  $\chi^2$  with k degrees of freedom if and only if  $\mu$ 'B $\mu$  = 0 and BV is an idempotent matrix of rank k.

In our case,

.

$$V = I\sigma^{2} + X_{1}X_{1}\sigma_{\beta}^{2}$$

$$B = A\sigma^{-2}$$
(6.7)

Then, since BV = A, clearly the corollary in 6.1 holds in our case.

# 7. <u>Numerical Example 2</u>

Suppose in the Example 1 the factor  $A_1$  is a block factor, and suppose we missed the observation  $y_{1,4(3,2,1)}$ ; then we shall obtain

$$\mathbf{X}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{X}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{X}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{X}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

	<b>7</b> 8 78	0
x'x <sub>2</sub> =	0	8 7 4

			8.95
	12.07		9.80
$X_1^t Y =$	13.55	X'Y =	9•59
1-	15.55	2-	10.49
	11.33		8.31
			5.36

$$x_{i}^{2}[1 - x^{1}(x_{i}x_{j})_{-1}x_{j}]x^{5}$$

	6.458586	-1.319192	-1.541414	-1.545414	-1.341414	-0.715152
	-1.319192	5.791919	-1.319192	-1.319192	-1.119192	-0.715152
=	-1.541414	-1.319192	6.458586	01.541414	-1.341414	-0.715152
	-1.541414	-1.319192	-1.541414	6.458586	01.341414	-0.715752
	-1.341414	-1.119192	-1.341414	-1.341414	5•758586	-0.615152
	-0.715152	-0.715152	-0.715152	-0.715152	-0.615152	3.475758_

$$X_{2}^{i}[I - X_{1}(X_{1}^{i}X_{1})^{-1}X_{1}^{i}]Y = \begin{bmatrix} -1.037081 \\ 1.071808 \\ -0.397081 \\ 0.502919 \\ -0.470081 \\ 0.329515 \end{bmatrix}$$

•

٠

	Γο	0	0	0	0	o ٦
	0	0,269550	0.125	0.125	0.124394	0.128915
G =	0	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.125	0.125
-	0	0.125	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.125
	0	0.124394	0.125	0.125	0.269387	0.124710
	L0	0.128915	0.125	0.125	0.124710	0 0.128915 0.125 0.125 0.124710 0.387742

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we obtain the following analysis of variance.

- 33. -

# Table 3.

# Analysis of Variance

Source of variation	d.f.	S•S•
Total	42	66.7342
C.F.M.	l	65.625
Block (ignoring treatment effect)	3	0.04805
Among treatment (eliminating block effect)	5	0.415 <b>7</b> 23
Remainder	33	0.645327
Al	2	0.000369
LAI	l	0.000031
Q <sub>A1</sub>	l	0.000306
A <sub>2</sub>	l	0.332748
A <sub>l</sub> ×A <sub>2</sub>	2	0.060747
LA1 × LA2	l	0.023990
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_2}}$ $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{A_1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A_2}}$	l	0.028877

#### References

- Federer, W. T., and Zelen, M. [1966]. "Analysis of multifactor classification with unequal numbers of observations." Biometrics 22:525-552.
- Graybill, F. A. [1961]. <u>An Introduction to Linear Statistical Models</u>. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Kurkjian, B., and Zelen, M. [1962]. "A calculus for factorial arrangements." Annals of Mathematical Statistics 33:609-619.
- Robson, D. S. [1959]. "A simple method for constructing orthogonal polynomials when the independent variable is unequally spaced." <u>Biometrics</u> 15:187-191.
- Searle, S. R. [1965]. "Additional results concerning estimable functions and generalized inverse matrices." <u>The Journal of the Royal Statistical</u> <u>Society, Series B, 27</u>:486-490.
- Zelen, M., and Federer, W. T. [1965]. "Application of the calculus for factorial arrangements. III. Analysis of factorial with unequal numbers of observations." <u>Sankhya</u> 27:383-400.