Buy or Borrow

Proposed Pilot Project 3/15/07-9/15/07 Sponsored by : Get it! Team LTS CDexec

Modeled on Purdue's Pilot (1999) and Implementation (2000+)

Fill requests that might otherwise go unfilled Enhance the collection based on patrons' expressed needs Improve service and patron satisfaction

Bibliographers concluded that the patron-driven...program is a valuable complementary collection development tool...consistently adds a very high percentage of relevant scholarly titles to the collection...and fills in gaps in interdisciplinary areas.

- Purdue's analysis on why books were not already in the collection:
 - Published so recently selectors where not aware of them
 - From a publisher who was not scanned regularly by a selector
 - From an interdisciplinary area where there are limited or no collection funds
 - On subject relevant to two disciplines but predominantly about neither
 - Narrow specialty
 - Insufficient funds to purchase everything of potential interest
- FY 05/06 they bought 1813 books in this manner at a cost of \$65,000.

- Perdue, J. and J. A. Van Fleet. (1999) "Borrow or Buy: Cost-Effective Delivery of Monographs." Journal of Interlibrary Loan, Document Delivery & Information Supply, v. 9, no. 4: 19-28.
- Ward, S. M. (2002) "Books on Demand: Just-in-Time Acquisitions." Acquisitions Librarian, no. 27: 95-107.
- Ward, S. M., T. Wray and K. E. Debus- López. (2003). "Collection Development Based on Patron Requests: Collaboration between Interlibrary Loan and Acquisitions", Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services, v.27, no. 2, 203-213.
- Allen, M., S. M. Ward, T. Wray, and K. E. Debus-López. (2003) "Patron-Focused Services: Cooperative Interlibrary Loan, Collection Development and Acquisitions." Interlending & Document Supply, 30 (2), 138-141.
- Anderson, K. J., R. S. Freeman, J.-P. V. M. Hérubel, L. J. Mykytiuk, J. M. Nixon, and S. M. Ward. (2003) "Buy, Don't Borrow: Bibliographers' Analysis of Academic Library Collection Development through Interlibrary Loan Requests." Collection Management, 27 (3),1-11.

Why Here??

Provide a faster response to users requests for material not available at Cornell

Test the idea that this is a cost effective, user driven complement to development of the collection.

BUY OR BORROW – Selection Criteria

Publication date within last 5 years

English language titles only

Standard monographs only (no conferences,

dissertations, working papers, tech reports, textbooks, a-v materials)

Non-fiction works of a scholarly nature only (no novels,

popular titles, self-help)

Paperback preferred

Max cost = \$150

Expected ship date within 7 days or less

BUY OR BORROW – proposed workflow

1. Patron submits ILL request.

2. ILL determines that it fits parameters.

3. ILL orders from Amazon.

- 4. ILL marks ILLiad record (for future statistical inquiries)
- 5. ILL sends e-mail to LTS with:
 - Title, ISBN, OCLC #, Price, Final library location
- 6. LTS creates a record in Voyager.
- 7. Amazon sends book directly to ILL office.
- 8. ILL staff stamp book with Cornell property stamp.
- 9. ILL staff process as ILL book.
 - 4 weeks use, no renewals
 - bookmark inserted explaining project and that it will be cataloged for CUL upon patron's return
 - patron notified by e-mail to pick up at Circulation Desk
- 10.When patron returns book, ILL staff bring it to LTS with a unique identifying flyer.
- 11. LTS catalogs and sends to appropriate library.

BUY OR BORROW – Test Results

Time Period - October 1, 2006 through October 31, 2006 # of Titles Meeting Criteria - 45 # of University Press Titles- 11 Published Dates: 2001 - 4 (2UP) 2002 - 7 (1UP)

2002 - 7 (101) 2003 - 4 2004 - 11 (3UP) 2005 - 10 (4UP) 2006 - 10 (1UP)

Most Expensive:

Science and engineering of casting solidification Kluwer 2002 Journalism and democracy in Asia Routledge 2005 Average Cost/title \$43.90 Total Cost \$1975.50 #titles total\$ <u>average\$</u> 13 \$32 Humanities \$423 **Social Sciences** \$628 \$39 16 \$924 \$58 Sciences & Engineering 16

BUY OR BORROW – Evaluation

Cdexec will be responsible for evaluating the pilot project, with the help of the Get It! Team and LTS and determine whether it is of sufficient cost/benefit to continue.

The process and its value should be evaluated on a yearly basis for modification and renewal.

Thanks so far to:

- Julie Copenhagen
- Caitlin Finlays
- Jesse T Koennecke
- Anna H. Korhonen
- Olivia Nellums
- Jean Poland
- Scott B Wicks
- Suzanne Ward (Purdue)
- CDexec