NITROGEN RETENTION IN URBAN LAWNS AND FORESTS

A Thesis

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Cornell University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of

Masters of Science

by Steve Michael Raciti August 2007 © 2007 Steve Michael Raciti

ABSTRACT

Home lawns are a dominant cover type in urban and suburban ecosystems and there is concern about their impacts on water quality. However, recent watershedlevel studies suggest that these pervious areas might be net sinks, rather than sources, for nitrogen in the urban environment. A ¹⁵N pulse-labeling experiment was performed on lawn and forest plots in the Baltimore metropolitan area to test the hypothesis that lawns are a net sink for nitrogen and to compare and contrast mechanisms of N retention in these vegetation types. A pulse of 15 N-NO₃, simulating a precipitation event, was followed through soils, roots, Oi-layer/thatch, aboveground biomass, microbial biomass, inorganic nitrogen and evolved N₂ gas over a one-year period. Gross rates of production and consumption of NO_3^- and NH₄⁺ were measured to assess differences in internal nitrogen cycling under the two vegetation types. Rates of nitrogen retention in forests and lawns were similar during the first 5 days of the experiment, with lawns showing higher nitrogen retention than forests after 10, 70, and 365 days. Lawns had larger pools of available NO_3^- and NH_4^+ ; however, gross rates of mineralization and nitrification were also higher, leading to no net differences in NO_3^- and NH_4^+ turnover times between the two systems. Levels of ¹⁵N remained steady in forest soils from days 70 to 365 (at 23% of applied ¹⁵N), but continued to accumulate in lawn soil organic matter (SOM) over this same time period, increasing from 20% to 33% of applied ¹⁵N. The dominant sink for nitrogen in lawn plots changed over time; abiotic immobilization in soils dominated immediately (1 day) after tracer application (42% of recovered ¹⁵N), plant biomass dominated the short (10 days) term (51%), thatch and SOM pools together dominated the medium (70 days) term (28% and 36% respectively), while the SOM pool alone dominated long (1 year)

term retention (70% of recovered ¹⁵N). These findings illustrate the mechanisms whereby urban and suburban lawns under low to moderate management intensities are an important sink for nitrogen.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Before studying at Cornell University, Steve Raciti received his BA from Vassar College with majors in Environmental Studies and Geology and a minor in Chemistry. In the past Steve has worked as a NNEMS (National Network for Environmental Management Studies) Fellow and later an ECO (Environmental Careers Organization) Associate for EPA in Narragansett, RI, where he looked at the effects of nutrient enrichment, shoreline development, dissolved oxygen levels, temperature, and other habitat parameters on the abundance of juvenile winter flounder. He has also worked with scientists at the Institute of Ecosystem Studies as a Forest Ecology Intern, with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation as an Environmental Educator, and with the Education Department at the American Museum of Natural History in Manhattan. Most recently, Steve worked with the Chesapeake Bay Program as an Urban Forestry Intern where he gained valuable experience in environmental policy. He plans to continue his studies at Cornell University in pursuit of a PhD in the field of Natural Resources.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisors, Dr. Timothy Fahey and Dr. Peter Groffman, for their advice and support. Without their assistance and encouragement, this work would not have been possible. Thanks to Dr. David Lee for serving as a member of my special committee. Additional thanks to Dan Dillon and Tara Krebs for their help in the field; Ian D. Yesilonis and Richard V. Pouyat for their advice and logistical support; Christian A. Hauser for his assistance in the laboratory; and Dennis Belloff for his assistance in locating and maintaining field plots on the University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC) campus. I would also like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation funded IGERT in Biogeochemistry and Environmental Biocomplexity at Cornell University and the National Science Foundation funded Baltimore Ecosystem Study Long Term Ecological Research project (DEB-0423476).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Biographical Sketch	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
Introduction	1
Methods	3
Results	13
Discussion	23
Works Cited	30

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.14Results of repeated measures ANOVA (RMANOVA) for the effects of vegetationtype (lawn vs forest), time, and the interaction of vegetation type and time on recoveryof ¹⁵N tracer in five nitrogen pools.

Tables 2a and 2b.19Ecosystem pool sizes of organic matter and nitrogen for soil (0 to 10 cm), fine roots (0

to 10 cm), forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch, above ground biomass, microbial biomass, and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (n = 4).

Table 3.22Soil nitrogen cycling parameters, including exchangeable inorganic nitrogen, grossproduction, gross consumption, and turnover time (0 to 10 cm depth).

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. 15
Mean ¹⁵ N recovery, as a percent of applied tracer, over time for forests and lawns (n
= 4). Full bars represent total plot recovery, which includes recovery in six
ecosystem pools: microbial biomass (MBN), exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (EIN),
forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch (Oi/Th), fine roots (Root), aboveground biomass
(AGB), and soil organic matter (SOM). Error bars are one standard deviation from
the mean.

Figures 2a to 2f.

represent one standard deviation (n = 4).

17

Recoveries of ¹⁵N in forest and lawn nitrogen pools over time for soil organic matter, aboveground biomass, fine roots, microbial biomass, forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch, and exchangeable inorganic nitrogen. Error bars equal one standard deviation from the mean (n = 4).

Figures 3a to 3b.20Nitrogen concentration and C:N ratio of aboveground biomass (AGB), forest Oi-layeror lawn thatch (Oi/Th), fine roots (Root), and soil in lawns and forests. Error bars

INTRODUCTION

Residential land use is expanding rapidly in the United States. For example, in the Chesapeake Bay region the percentage of land used for residential and commercial purposes increased nearly 180% between 1950 and 1980 while population increased by only 50% (US EPA, 2006). If current trends continue, the region will see an estimated 80% increase in developed land area by 2030 (Goetz et al. 2004). This increase is predicted to consume 14% of forest land in the region, primarily through exurban sprawl (Goetz et al. 2004). Given this high rate of expansion, residential areas are likely to be an important contributor to ecosystem dynamics in the region; however, little is known about the basic functional properties of these systems.

Forest conversion brings with it two major changes in landcover, namely increased impervious surface area and the replacement of natural vegetation with lawns. The area that is covered by lawns in the US is estimated at 163,800 km² (Milesi et al. 2005), and it continues to grow rapidly. In the state of Maryland, more than 10% of the terrestrial surface area is covered by turfgrass (based on estimates from Milesi et al. 2005). Clearly, if we are to predict the implications of urbanization it is critical that we understand the role of lawns in ecosystem processes. Unfortunately, most turfgrass studies have been done on controlled research plots and little is known about real residential lawns and how they function in the context of urban and suburban ecosystems.

The increase in the area of lawns has raised concern about water pollution associated with inputs of fertilizer and pesticides for lawn establishment and maintenance (Morton et al. 1988, Gold et al. 1988, 1990, Petrovic 1990, Milesi et al. 2005). However, several recent studies have suggested that urban and suburban watersheds

have a high capacity for nitrogen retention (Baker et al. 2001, Groffman et al. 2004, Wollheim et al. 2005), indicating that there are strong sinks for nitrogen within the pervious surface areas of these watersheds. Other recent research has found that lawns have dynamic soil carbon fluxes, with potential for organic matter accumulation and nitrogen retention (Qian and Follett 2002, Kaye et al. 2005, Golubiewski 2006, Pouyat et al. 2006). Understanding the capacity of lawns to function as nitrogen sinks could be important for predicting and minimizing the impact of residential land use change on water quality.

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that lawns are a significant sink for nitrogen inputs to urban and suburban watersheds by adding a pulse of ¹⁵N and tracing its fate in lawn and forest plots in Baltimore, MD. We hoped to quantify and contrast the movement and conversions of N in urban lawn and forest ecosystems to evaluate the potential impacts of forest conversion on the nitrogen dynamics of developing watersheds. Our approach was to add a small pulse of ¹⁵N-labeled NO₃⁻, comparable to what would be added by atmospheric deposition from a small rain event, and then track tracer movement into surface organic matter, soil, root, aboveground biomass, microbial biomass, inorganic nitrogen and evolved N₂ gas pools over a one-year period. This approach allowed for evaluation of the fate of nitrogen without the dramatic alteration of existing nutrient dynamics that would be caused by a larger addition (fertilizer simulation) and fostered comparison of the inherent nitrogen retention capacities of lawns and forests.

METHODS

Site Description

The lawn and forest plots in this study were located in the Baltimore metropolitan area in association with the Baltimore Ecosystem Study (BES, http://beslter.org), a component of the U.S. National Science Foundation Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) network.

The four lawn plots were located on the grounds of University of Maryland Baltimore County, but were not turfgrass research plots. Campus lawns were chosen because of their uniform management regime, similarities in landuse history (former agriculture) and plant species and their representativeness of typical urban lawns. Each lawn area contained a mixture of tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea spp.L.*), fine fescue (*Festuca spp*), and white clover (*Trifolium repens*). These lawns have been fertilized each spring at a rate of 96 kg N ha⁻¹ applied in two applications approximately two weeks apart and treated with the herbicide 2,4-D once each spring at a rate of 2.4 kg/ha. Mowing has been done at 2-3 week intervals (dependent on rainfall and subsequent growth) during the spring, summer, and fall seasons at a height of approximately 10 cm. The lawns have received no irrigation and clippings have been left in place. These lawns have been managed in this manner for at least the past 15 years.

Forest plots were located in Gwynns Falls/Leakin Park (Baltimore City) and Oregon Ridge Park (Baltimore County). These parks are notable for their size (greater than 400 ha) and large tracts of mixed hardwood forest. Plots were dominated by oak (*Quercus spp*) and yellow poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera L*.) and are described in detail by Groffman et al. (2006a).

Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in the Baltimore metropolitan area is estimated at $11.2 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Groffman et al., 2004). A lawn management survey by Law et al. (2004) found that lawn fertilizer inputs in the area range from zero to more than 300 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ with a mean (of the lawns that were fertilized) of 97.6 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which is close to the fertilization rate used on lawns in this study.

¹⁵N Pulse-labeling Experiment

In July of 2004, labeled nitrate (99% 15 N-NO₃ as KNO₃) was added at a rate of 0.3 kg N ha⁻¹ to a 3 x 3 m section of each experimental plot (4 lawn, 4 forest). A backpack sprayer was used to apply the nitrate in a 0.5 cm simulated rainfall event. Nitrate applications were performed the day after a significant rainfall (when soil moisture would be relatively high) to minimize the quantity of water needed to wet the upper soil horizons and to promote even distribution of the nitrate tracer.

Sample Collection

Samples were taken just prior to labeled nitrate addition to establish baseline isotope ratios and then 1, 5, 10, 70 and 365 days after the addition to evaluate the short, medium and long term fate of the tracer. On each sampling date, two intact cores were taken from the inner 2.5 m of each subplot to measure tracer recovery in non-gaseous nitrogen pools. Cores were collected to a depth of 10 cm using a 5 cm diameter slide-hammer corer (AMS Equipment Corp.). All cores were put into coolers and taken back to the laboratory for immediate processing. Six additional soil cores were taken from each plot (outside of the 3 x 3 m subplots) to quantify bulk density.

In lawn subplots, gaseous losses of ¹⁵N tracer were measured using 29 cm (inner diameter) polyvinyl chloride (PVC) cylinder chambers with gas sampling ports (Bowden et al. 1990, Groffman et al. 200b6). Just before sampling, these chambers were mounted on PVC base rings installed to 5 cm depth and flush with the soil surface. These low-profile base rings allowed mowing to take place as usual between sampling intervals. Gaseous fluxes from the forested subplots were sampled in the same manner, but with a different style of chamber. Forest chambers consisted of 15 cm diameter PVC cylinders standing 15 cm above the soil surface. These cylinders were capped at the top when sampling.

On each sampling date, two 9 mL gas samples were taken from the sealed chambers at zero minutes, and again at 60 minutes, using fine-needle polypropylene syringes. These gas samples were transferred to evacuated vials and stored upside-down and underwater in 50 ml centrifuge tubes to minimize gaseous diffusion between the samples and the atmosphere. Gas sampling was stopped after the first 10 days of the experiment as preliminary analysis suggested that we were not able to detect movement of the tracer into the atmosphere.

Sample Processing

The two intact core samples taken from each subplot were processed for fine roots, Oilayer/thatch, aboveground biomass, soil organic matter (SOM), microbial biomass (MB), and exchangeable NO₃ and NH₄, usually within 24 hours of collection, but occasionally 36 to 48 hours were needed to finish a block of samples. Oi-layer/thatch and aboveground biomass (lawns only) were first removed and set aside. Next, soil cores were broken apart and sieved with all live fine roots (< 2mm) set aside. Rocks,

coarse roots, earthworms, macroscopic arthropods, and large pieces of particulate organic matter were discarded. The remaining soil from the two cores was mixed to homogenize the sample. Finally, great care was taken to separate 125 grams of root-free soil for further analysis (see below).

Aboveground biomass (lawns only) and Oi-layer/thatch were dried at 65 to 70 C for 48 hours and weighed. Living fine roots were vigorously rinsed with DI water over a fine mesh screen to remove adhering soil before drying and weighing. Lastly, the 125 g sample of root-free soil was partitioned into four 30 gram subsamples for analysis of 1) total soil C and N, 2) exchangeable inorganic nitrogen, 3) microbial biomass nitrogen, and 4) gravimetric moisture, by methods described below.

Soil, fine root, Oi-layer/thatch and aboveground biomass samples were analyzed for C and N concentrations and isotope ratios at the Cornell University Stable Isotope Laboratory in Ithaca, NY. Prior to analysis, dried soil and tissue samples were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. After thorough homogenization, a small subsample of each (10 mg for soils, 6 mg for roots and Oi-layer/thatch, and 3 mg for aboveground biomass) was weighed into a 9 x 5 mm tin capsule, placed in a microtiter plate with individually sealable wells, and stored in a desiccator until analysis.

Exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺) was extracted from 30 grams (wet weight) of soil with 120 ml of 0.5 M K₂SO₄. Samples were agitated for 60 minutes at 200 rpm on an orbital shaker table and then left undisturbed for 6 hours. The supernatant liquid from each sample was then collected and filtered through Whatman No. 42 filter paper (pre-rinsed with 0.05 M K₂SO₄ and dried for 24 hours at 100 °C)

into clean Nalgene bottles. Liquid samples were frozen until portions could be analyzed colorimetrically for NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentration or diffused onto acidified filter disks in preparation for ¹⁵N determination (see *below*).

Microbial biomass (MB) nitrogen was determined using a chloroform direct-extraction technique (Brookes et al. 1985, Davidson et al. 1989). The resulting extracts were frozen until they could be digested via the alkaline persulfate oxidation method described by Cabrera and Beare (1993). Following digestion, portions of the sample were analyzed colorimetrically for inorganic nitrogen concentration or diffused onto acidified filter discs for nitrogen isotope ratio determination via mass spectrometry.

Soil moisture was determined gravimetrically by comparing the wet weight of a rootfree soil subsample (approximately 30 g) with its dry weight after 72 hours at 100 C in a drying oven.

Gross Rates of Production and Consumption of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺

Gross rates of nitrate and ammonium production and consumption were measured by 15 N isotope dilution on paired, untreated plots using the procedure described by Hart et al. (1994). Gross production was measured by adding a small amount of 15 N labeled nitrogen to the product pool (NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺) and measuring the isotopic dilution of this pool over the course of a short incubation (30 hours in this experiment). Gross consumption was measured by a decrease in the size of the product pool over the course of the incubation. Overestimation of consumption rates may occur if tracer addition stimulates consumption of the substrate pool - a fertilization effect (Hart et al. 1994). Production rates do not suffer from this same bias since the product of the

process, rather than the substrate, is labeled (Hart et al. 1994).

In early October of 2004, six pairs of intact soil cores were taken from each lawn and forest plot (but not from the pulse-labeling subplots) for determination of gross rates of microbial nitrogen cycling. Intact cores were taken to a depth of 10 cm using a 5 cm diameter slide hammer. Coring took place when soils were relatively moist to avoid soil wetting effects upon addition of ¹⁵N-labeled NO_3^- or NH_4^+ . All cores were immediately put into coolers and transported to the laboratory.

Six cores from each plot (one each from the six pairs) were injected with 10 ml of dilute ¹⁵N-labeled NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺ (30 mg N L⁻¹) with care taken to evenly distribute the tracer throughout each core. After 30 minutes (to account for initial abiotic immobilization of nitrogen), one of each pair of cores was mixed and extracted with 0.5 M K₂SO₄. After 30 hours the second core of each pair was mixed and extracted with 0.5 M K₂SO₄. This yielded three replicate measurements of gross production and consumption of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ from each plot (for calculations, see Hart et al. 1994). A small subsample of extractant from each core was collected and analyzed colorimetrically for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentration. Inorganic nitrogen in the remaining extractant was diffused onto acidified filter discs in preparation for nitrogen isotope analysis (see below).

Analytical Methods

Soil and microbial biomass nitrogen extracts were prepared for ¹⁵N analysis using the 8-day polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tape diffusion method described by Stark and Hart (1996). With this method, aqueous NO_3^- and NH_4^+ were converted to ammonia

gas, which was then diffused onto acidified filter paper discs enclosed in PTFE tape. The PTFE tape prevents the filter paper disks from coming into contact with the solution, but allows ammonia gas to diffuse onto the filters. Aqueous ammonium was converted to ammonia gas by increasing the pH of the solution to 13 or higher. Nitrate was first converted to ammonium and then converted to ammonia gas, a process that was catalyzed by adding Devardas alloy to the diffusion container. Following diffusion, filter samples were dried in a desiccator and wrapped in tin capsules for isotope analysis.

Nitrogen isotope composition and percent element (carbon and nitrogen) were determined by the Cornell University Stable Isotope Laboratory using a Finnegan Delta Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer plumbed to a Carlo Erba NC2500 elemental analyzer. Nitrogen from liquid extractions was diffused onto filter discs, dried, and put into tin capsules. Solid samples (soils, fine roots, Oi-layer/thatch, and aboveground biomass) were dried, ground and weighed into 9 x 5 mm tin capsules. All tin-wrapped samples were put into microtiter plates with individually sealable wells and stored in a desiccator until analysis. The samples were then combusted and analyzed for isotopic composition and percent element of nitrogen and carbon. The isotopic composition of N_2 , from field collected gas samples, was analyzed on a Europa Geo 20-20 dual-inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometer retrofitted with a heliumpurged autosampling chamber to minimize sample contamination during injection.

Nitrogen concentrations (¹⁴N and ¹⁵N) in liquid extracts were determined independently of isotope ratios to ensure accurate measurement of nitrogen pool sizes in case of incomplete recovery of nitrogen from diffused samples. While incomplete recovery has the potential to alter the isotopic composition of a sample (via physical fractionation processes), these changes are predicted to be small relative to the highly

enriched samples analyzed in this study. Incomplete recoveries, however, would have a large impact on nitrogen concentration measurements. To more accurately measure nitrogen concentrations, a small subsample of each extract was collected and run on an OI Analytical FS 3000 continuous flow analyzer. Ammonium was analyzed by reaction with phenol and hypochlorite. Nitrate was analyzed by reduction to nitrite and subsequent reaction with sulfanilamide. Concentrations were determined by continuous flow spectrophotometric detection of the derivatized analyte as compared to a calibration curve of known concentrations.

Calculations

The percent recovery of nitrogen tracer in each pool was measured by multiplying the atom fraction excess of ¹⁵N in samples by the size of the nitrogen pool on the subplot and dividing by the total mass of ¹⁵N tracer added to the subplot. Average pool sizes from each subplot (across all time intervals) were used for calculating recovery in soil and plant pools as there were no systematic variations in pool sizes over time. For microbial biomass (MB) and exchangeable inorganic nitrogen, pool sizes were calculated using the mean measured pool size on a given subplot and day (g N/g dry soil) and the mass of 0-10 cm soil on each subplot as calculated from bulk density cores. Soil organic matter (SOM) nitrogen was calculated as total soil nitrogen minus microbial biomass nitrogen.

Recovery rates for a given pool, measured as a percentage of total ¹⁵N tracer added to each subplot, were calculated as follows (where AF is the atom fraction of ¹⁵N over total N in each sample):

Percent 15N Recovery = Pool Size * (Sample AF - Background AF)*100 Total 15N added to subplot

Turnover of exchangeable NO_3^- and NH_4^+ was calculated using mean pool sizes for a given subplot and mean rates of production as measured by ¹⁵N pool dilution. Gross rates of production (rather than consumption) were used for calculating turnover rates because the pool dilution method has the potential to overestimate consumption (Hart et al. 1994).

	Gross Nitrification
Exchangeable NH4 + Pool Turnover =	Gross Mineralization Exchangeable NH4 + Pool Size
Exchangeable NO3 - Pool Turnover =	Exchangeable NO3 - Pool Size

The 3 x 3 m subplot size used in this study (chosen for reasons of logistics and cost), precluded accurate determination of aboveground uptake in the forested plots. We therefore estimated this sink for added ¹⁵N for the 70 day and 1 year time points based on published results from a larger-scale ¹⁵N addition (30 x 30 m plots) done at the Harvard Forest, MA LTER site (Nadlehoffer et al., 2004). That study found recoveries of 4.69% in foliage, 2.12% in bark, and 0.46% in the most recent two years of wood (i.e. total = 7.27%) on an oak-dominated hardwood plot, which received trace amounts of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in multiple additions (Nadelhoffer et al., 2004). A study by Providoli et al. (2006), which more closely resembled the format of the present experiment in that ¹⁵N was added as a single addition rather than as multiple additions, found approximately 3% to 7% tracer recovery in aboveground tree biomass after 8 months. That study was done in a 15-year-old plantation of *Picea abies* with dense understory vegetation, but supports the assumption that the fraction of tracer accumulation in aboveground tree biomass is less than 10% over these time-scales.

Statistical Analysis

Variables measured at a single time point (gross production, gross consumption, and inorganic N pool turnover time) were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using appropriate transformations to meet assumptions of normality. In cases where there were unequal sample sizes (due to loss of samples), a General Linear Model (GLM) was used. Time series data were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA to test for the effects of plot type (lawn or forest), time, and the interaction of plot type and time on whole-plot ¹⁵N recovery. Repeated measures ANOVA was also used to test for these effects on the recoveries within each nitrogen pool, and to test for changes in the size of nitrogen pools (¹⁴N plus ¹⁵N) over time. Significant differences in recovery rates for nitrogen pools between plot types and days were analyzed using Tukey's honestly significant difference post-hoc tests. All statistical analyses were performed using MiniTab for Windows version 14.1 (MiniTab Inc. 2003).

RESULTS

Whole plot nitrogen retention

Whole-plot recovery of ¹⁵N tracer declined over time in lawns and forests (Figure 1). Recovery in lawns declined from a high of 99% \pm 9 (mean \pm SD) one day following tracer addition to a low of 47% (\pm 5) after one year. Recovery in forested plots declined from 83% (\pm 5) to 38% (\pm 5) over this same time period. During the first 5 days of the experiment there were no statistically significant differences in total plot recovery between lawns and forests (p = 0.18 and p = 0.40 for days 1 and 5). After 10 days, lawns showed higher recoveries than forests (p = 0.01); however, with no estimate of aboveground biomass ¹⁵N in forests for this time period it is likely that forest recovery was underestimated by several percent. The higher N retention in lawns was particularly pronounced after 70 days, even when estimated forest aboveground biomass was included (p = 0.01), and this pattern continued through day 365 (p = 0.02). Hence, even though sample sizes were necessarily small in the present study, consistent patterns and significant main effects on ¹⁵N recovery were observed.

Nitrogen recovery in ecosystem pools

Significant interactions were observed between plot type and time for ¹⁵N recovery in fine roots, Oi-layer/thatch, and soil organic matter, indicating that nitrogen recovery differed significantly between lawns and forests over time (Table 1). Plot type alone was a significant predictor for these same pools. In contrast, no significant effects of plot type, or the interaction of plot type and time, were observed for the exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (EIN) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) pools. Not

surprisingly, time was a significant factor in ¹⁵N recovery rates for all nitrogen pools, with most pools declining over time.

Table 1. Results of repeated measures ANOVA (RMANOVA) for the effects of vegetation type (lawn vs forest), time, and the interaction of vegetation type and time on recovery of ¹⁵N tracer in five nitrogen pools. * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001.

	p-value	
Vegetation Type	Time	Plot Type*Time
0.046*	<0.001***	<0.001***
0.269	<0.001***	0.686
0.497	0.002**	0.635
<0.001***	<0.001***	<0.001***
<0.001***	<0.001***	<0.001***
<0.001***	<0.001***	0.069
	Vegetation Type 0.046* 0.269 0.497 <0.001*** <0.001*** <0.001***	vegetation Type Time 0.046* <0.001***

Soil organic matter-- Lawns and forests showed high retention of ¹⁵N in SOM just one day after tracer addition with 42% (\pm 7) and 47% (\pm 4) recoveries, respectively (Figure 2a). Following this high initial (presumably abiotic) immobilization, there were sharp drops in SOM recovery by day five in both lawns and forests. These drops in SOM recovery were coincident with increases in fine root and microbial biomass recoveries over the same time period. In forests, levels of ¹⁵N recovery in SOM declined to 23% by day 70 and remained at that level after one year. In lawns, levels of recovery in SOM continued to rise after the initial drop, up to 16% (\pm 4), 20% (\pm 3), and then 33% (\pm 4) after 10, 70, and 365 days.



Figure 1. Mean ¹⁵N recovery, as a percent of applied tracer, over time for forests and lawns (n = 4). Full bars represent total plot recovery, which includes recovery in six ecosystem pools: microbial biomass (MBN), exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (EIN), forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch (Oi/Th), fine roots (Root), aboveground biomass (AGB), and soil organic matter (SOM). Error bars are one standard deviation from the mean.

Fine roots-- Lawns and forests showed similar temporal patterns of ¹⁵N recovery in fine roots, but with generally higher values for the lawns (Figure 2b). Significant amounts of ¹⁵N were recovered in fine roots just 1 day after tracer application, with 8% (\pm 2.5) and 4% (\pm 1.0) of applied ¹⁵N recovered in lawn and forest roots, respectively. Both plot types showed increases in fine-root recoveries between days 1 and 5, with 15% (\pm 3) of applied ¹⁵N recovered in lawns and 7% (\pm 2.4) recovered in forests on day 5. After day 5, recoveries of ¹⁵N in fine roots declined over time for both lawns and forests with a mean fine root recovery of 2% after one year.

Oi-layer/thatch-- The pattern of ¹⁵N recovery in the Oi-layer of forests and the thatch layer of lawns differed markedly (Figure 2c). In forest plots ¹⁵N recovery in the Oi-layer declined steadily, from 23% (\pm 1.5) after one day, down to 20% (\pm 1.6), 12% (\pm

2.3), and eventually 1.3% (\pm 0.4) after 10, 70, and 365 days. In lawns, ¹⁵N recovery in thatch increased from 12% (\pm 2) to 26% (\pm 3) over the first 70 days of the experiment, presumably due to influxes of new biomass from plant growth and regular mowing. However, after one year only 4% (\pm 1.6%) of applied ¹⁵N was found in the lawn thatch pool.

Aboveground biomass -- The ¹⁵N-labelled nitrate was rapidly incorporated into aboveground biomass in lawns, accounting for 27% (\pm 3) of applied ¹⁵N after just 24 hours (Figure 2d). Recovery stayed relatively constant for the first 10 days of the experiment with means of 27% (\pm 3) and 33% (\pm 3) for days 5 and 10. Recoveries decreased thereafter, with means of 11% (\pm 2) and 2.5% (\pm 0.4) after 70 and 365 days. As noted earlier, ¹⁵N recovery in aboveground forest biomass was estimated to be about 7% after one year based upon published values from other tracer studies that treated larger plots (see Methods).

Microbial biomass -- There were no significant differences in microbial biomass (MB) recovery between lawn and forest plots over time (Figures 2e). Mean ¹⁵N recoveries in MB fluctuated between 5% and 10% with the highest values occurring 5 days after tracer addition (Figure 1). Aside from that brief spike on day 5, ¹⁵N recoveries remained relatively constant in the microbial pool throughout the experiment.

Exchangeable inorganic nitrogen -- Only small amounts of ¹⁵N were recovered in the exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (EIN) pool, which includes both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ (Figure 2f). Peak recoveries occurred during the first 5 days following tracer application (3% to 4%). The level of ¹⁵N in EIN pools declined thereafter with recoveries of 0.8% (\pm 0.2) and 0.7% (\pm 0.3) for lawns and forests after one year.

Gaseous Losses -- Soil gas samples collected on days 1, 5, and 10 were not significantly enriched in ¹⁵N above background samples. The high temporal heterogeneity of denitrification coupled with the need for rates high enough to enrich a large background N_2 pool likely played a role in this lack of detection.



Figures 2a to 2f. Recoveries of ${}^{15}N$ in forest and lawn nitrogen pools over time for soil organic matter, aboveground biomass, fine roots, microbial biomass, forest Oilayer or lawn thatch, and exchangeable inorganic nitrogen. Error bars equal one standard deviation from the mean (n = 4).

Ecosystem Pool Sizes of Organic Matter and Nitrogen

Soil bulk densities (measured in the top 10 cm) were higher in lawns than forests (p = 0.01). Mean bulk densities were 1.01 (\pm 0.07) and 0.77 (\pm 0.07) for lawns and forests, respectively. SOM pools were 2,339 g/m² (\pm 89) in lawns and 2,786 g/m² (\pm 184) in forests (p = 0.01, Table 2a). Differences in fine root biomass between lawns and forests were large, with lawns having 243 g/m² (\pm 43) and forests 134 g/m² (\pm 21) of fine roots in 0 - 10 cm cores (p = 0.01). While forest Oi-layers were significantly larger than lawn thatch, the differences (380 g/m² versus 312 g/m²) were not as large as expected. This can be attributed to the sampling dates, which fell in the summer or early fall (before tree leaf fall) of each year and to the earthworm activity in forested plots; in all cases the Oi layer was thin and consisted mostly of the previous year's leaf fall. Mean aboveground biomass in lawn plots was 160 g/m² (\pm 55). Time was not a significant predictor of gross pool sizes (based on repeated measures ANOVA) for forests or lawns for the sampling intervals used in this study.

Nitrogen pools in soil organic matter, aboveground biomass, roots, thatch/Oi-layer, microbial biomass, and exchangeable inorganic nitrogen, did not change significantly over time in either lawn or forest plots (based on RMANOVA for the effects of time on pool size, Table 1). Sampling took place in mid-summer and early fall (before leaf fall) of the first year and mid-summer of the following year so some seasonal changes in pool sizes are not represented in this time series data which may account for a lack of significant trends over time. Lawns had significantly larger pools of SOM N (172 v 155 g/m², p = 0.03), fine-root N (2.41 v 1.75 g/m², p = 0.01), and exchangeable inorganic nitrogen (1.07 v 0.48 g/m², p = 0.02) than forest plots (Table 2b). Lawn and forest pools of thatch/Oi-layer N (4.96 v 4.64 g/m², p = 0.49) and microbial-biomass N (3.12 v 2.62 g/m², p = 0.42) were not significantly different.

The nitrogen concentrations in soil, root, and thatch/Oi-layer differed between lawn and forest sites (p < 0.01 for all, Figure 3a). Forest soils had 0.20% (\pm 0.02) nitrogen compared to 0.17% (\pm 0.01) in lawn soils. The nitrogen concentration in fine roots was higher in forest plots (1.33% \pm 0.15) than lawn plots (0.99% \pm 0.09). Oi-layer nitrogen concentration was lower in forests than thatch nitrogen concentration in lawns (1.11% \pm 0.15 v 1.58% \pm 0.16). Lawn aboveground biomass contained 2.23% (\pm 0.23) nitrogen.

Forests and lawns differed in the C:N ratio of the thatch/Oi-layer and soil (p = 0.004 and p = 0.001, Figure 3c). The mean C:N of Oi-layer in forested plots was 34.6 (± 4.8), compared to 21.5 (± 2.7) for thatch in lawns. Soil C:N ratios were also higher in forest plots (16.3 ± 0.8 v 12.4 ± 0.9). Mean C:N in fine roots was 28.9 (± 4.5) in forested plots compared to 33.6 (± 2.7) in lawns, though this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.157). The C:N of aboveground biomass in lawns was 19.07 (± 1.76).

Tables 2a and 2b. Ecosystem pool sizes of organic matter and nitrogen for soil (0 to 10 cm), fine roots (0 to 10 cm), forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch, aboveground biomass, microbial biomass, and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (n = 4). * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001.

Plot Type	Soil Organ Matter	iic Root	Oi/Thatch	Microbial Biomass	Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen	Aboveground Biomass
Forest (SD)	155.2 (10.	3) 1.75 (0.12)) 4.64 (0.10)	2.62 (1.47)	0.48 (0.16)	NA
Lawn (SD)	172.1 (6.5	5) 2.41 (0.28)	4.96 (0.36)	3.12 (0.89)	1.07 (0.2)	3.85 (1.33)
Forest vs Lawn (p-valu	e) 0.032 *	0.014 *	0.489	0.418	0.019 *	NA
	Ecosystem Po	ool Sizes of O	rganic Matter	<u>(g/m²)</u>		
Plot Type	Soil Organic Matter	Root	Oi/Thatch	Aboveground Biomass		
Forest (SD)	2,786 (184)	133.5 (9.2)	380.6 (8.6)	NM		
Lawn (SD)	2,339 (89)	243.1 (28.7)	311.8 (22.8)	160.4 (55.3)		
Forest vs Lawn (p-va	0.014 *	0.012 *	0.036 *			

Nitrogen Pool Sizes (g N/m2)



Figures 3a to 3b. Nitrogen concentration and C:N ratio of aboveground biomass (AGB), forest Oi-layer or lawn thatch (Oi/Th), fine roots (Root), and soil in lawns and forests. Error bars represent one standard deviation (n = 4). ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001;

Internal Nitrogen Cycling and Pool Turnover

Gross N mineralization and nitrification were over twice as high in lawns as forests (p < 0.05, Table 3). Gross nitrification rates were 3.80 (\pm 1.52) ug N g soil⁻¹ d⁻¹ in lawns compared to 1.44 (\pm 0.77) ug N g soil⁻¹ d⁻¹ in forests. N mineralization rates were 7.59 (\pm 2.13) and 2.88 (\pm 0.78) ug N g soil⁻¹ d⁻¹, respectively. Gross consumption of nitrate and ammonium were also much higher in lawns. Nitrate consumption rates were 3.68 (\pm 0.55) and 1.22 (\pm 0.18) ug N g soil⁻¹ d⁻¹ in lawns and forests, respectively. Ammonium consumption was 6.61 (\pm 1.42) and 1.77 (\pm 0.51) ug N g soil⁻¹ d⁻¹.

Despite higher rates of gross mineralization and nitrification in lawns, turnover times for exchangeable NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ pools were not significantly different from forests (p = 0.259 and p = 0.214, respectively, Table 3). Mean NO₃⁻ turnover was 0.16 (\pm 0.04) d for lawns and 0.23 (\pm 0.18) d for forests. Mean turnover of exchangeable NH₄⁺ was 3.74 (\pm 3.59) d for lawns and 5.47 (\pm 3.58) d for forests. The variability of gross rate measurements, combined with the larger NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ pool sizes in the faster cycling lawn plots (Table 2b), played a role in this outcome. Table 3. Soil nitrogen cycling parameters, including exchangeable inorganic nitrogen, gross production, gross consumption, and turnover time (0 to 10 cm depth). * = p < 0.05; $*^* = p < 0.01$;

	<u>K₂SO₁ Exc</u> ł	nangeable	Gross Pro	oduction	<u>Gross Con</u> (Immobil	sumption ization)	K2SO4 Exchar Tumover F	<u>igeable</u> ate
Plot Type	NO ₃ ⁻ (IIA-N/A drv soil)	NH4 ⁺ (Inc-N/n drv soil)	NO ₃ . (10 N n-1 d-1)	NH4+ (100 N 0-1 d-1)	NO ₃ - (110 N n-1 d-1)	NH4+ (110 N 0-1 0-1)	NO ₃ -	NH4+
Forest (SD)	0.54 (0.20)	5.63 (2.05)	1.44 (0.77)	2.88 (0.78)	1.22 (0.18)	1.77 (0.51)	0.23 (0.18)	5.47 (3.58)
Lawn (SD)	1.14 (0.32)	9.44 (1.92)	3.80 (1.52)	7.59 (2.13)	3.68 (0.55)	6.61 (1.42)	0.16 (0.04)	3.74 (3.59)
Forest vs Lawn	0.020 *	0.035 *	0.032*	0.002 **	0.002 **	0.001 **	0.259	0.214

DISCUSSION

The results of this study suggest that lawns have the potential to sequester atmospheric N deposition in vegetation and surface soils at similar or higher rates as nearby forested systems. Lawns and forests showed similarly high rates of nitrogen retention during the first 5 days, but after 70 days to one year lawns retained a significantly higher proportion of a ¹⁵NO₃⁻ pulse than forests. The major short term fates of nitrogen in lawns were initial (presumably abiotic) immobilization in SOM followed by rapid uptake and incorporation into plant and microbial biomass. Over the medium term, lawn thatch became an increasingly important sink for nitrogen due to regular mowing. However, at the end of one year the SOM pool was the dominant sink, accounting for the majority of recovered tracer. These results suggest that the relatively rapid movement of clipping-based nitrogen into SOM may be an important mechanism for long term retention of nitrogen in residential ecosystems. High internal rates of nitrogen cycling coupled with rapid turnover of available nitrate suggest that nitrogen is tightly cycled in lawn and forest systems, which may contribute to high rates of retention.

Retention rates were high in both systems one day after the simulated atmospheric deposition event (83% and 99% for forests and lawns, respectively) with the largest fraction of recovered ¹⁵N in the SOM pool. Several previous studies have shown that some of this high initial immobilization in SOM may be abiotic (Berntsten and Aber 2000, Zogg et al. 2000, Dail et al. 2001, Perakis and Hedin 2001, Providoli et al. 2006). Tracer recovery in SOM declined considerably by the next sampling interval (day 5), indicating the transient nature of this initial retention. After SOM, the Oilayer was the most important initial sink for nitrogen in forests with 27% of recovered ¹⁵N found in this pool after one day. This high initial retention in the Oi-layer may

reflect active uptake (by microbes, fungi, and plant roots) or may be attributable in part to abiotic processes. In lawns, the thatch pool was not as large an initial sink for ¹⁵N (13% recovery) as the forest Oi-layer. Instead, rapid uptake into roots and aboveground biomass accounted for the majority of non-SOM ¹⁵N recovered initially (36% combined). Over the following 10 days in the lawns the N tracer was redistributed among fine roots, microbial biomass and aboveground vegetation, and total N retention was roughly constant (Fig. 2). Although similar tracer behavior was observed in the forest, the quantity of N moving into aboveground biomass likely was much lower. The high N retention in lawn biomass probably reflected in part high grass vegetation growth during this period associated with above normal rainfall (USGS 2007).

After 70 days we saw further redistribution of ¹⁵N in lawns, including significant movement of tracer from aboveground biomass into the thatch pool, reflecting regular mowing. While thatch accounted for more than a third of total recovery after 70 days, by the end of one year only a small fraction (9%) of recovered tracer was held in this pool. The loss of ¹⁵N from the thatch pool can be explained by the rapid decomposition of lawn biomass (Shi et al. 2006, Kopp and Guillard 2004). In contrast, The decline in ¹⁵N recovered from the forest Oi-layer over 70 days cannot be attributed primarily to decomposition or earthworm activity because there was no significant change in the mass of the Oi-layer over this time period. The results of our experiment suggest that thatch may serve as a medium-term sink for atmospheric N deposition before it is decomposed and incorporated into SOM or lost from the system. This notion is supported by past N mass-balance studies in turfgrass systems (Engelsjord et al. 2004, Horgan et al. 2002, Miltner et al. 1996), which have shown thatch to be a significant sink for fertilizer N.

In both lawn and forest systems, the SOM pool was the dominant long term sink for added nitrogen, accounting for 58% of recovered tracer in forests and 70% of recovered tracer in lawns after one year. Interestingly, while the mass of tracer held in forest SOM was unchanged between 70 days and one year (averaging 23% and 22% of applied ¹⁵N respectively) the mass of ¹⁵N in lawn SOM continued to increase through the experiment. There are several possible reasons for this difference between lawns and forests: 1) The lawn soils were more recently disturbed and may be aggrading nitrogen and carbon; 2) The high rates of microbial immobilization and turnover of nitrogen in lawns may support more rapid incorporation of nitrogen into SOM; 3) The greater lability (Figure 3b) of plant tissue in lawns, combined with the regular addition of clippings, may promote the rapid movement of plant biomass into SOM; 4) Relatively high rates of nitrogen fertilization combined with labile atmospheric inputs may decrease the need for plants and microbes to mine nitrogen from the more recalcitrant SOM pool. Each of these possibilities is further explored below.

A number of studies have shown that nitrogen and carbon concentrations in soils tend to recover following losses from soil disturbance, such as agricultural activity (see for example Knops and Tilman 2000, Golubieski 2005); a similar legacy of disturbance may be contributing to the accumulation of nitrogen tracer in SOM under lawns. While it is impossible to know the exact disturbance history of these sites, they were in agriculture before the 1960's and thereafter became lawns on the University of Maryland Baltimore County campus. For at least the past 15 years these lawns have received management similar to the present (see Site Description). These two factors are probably of greatest importance with respect to nitrogen cycling and suggest that these lawn soils may still be aggrading N and C in response to past disturbance.

High rates of microbial immobilization and transformation of nitrogen may also play a role in the accumulation of SOM nitrogen in lawns. Gross rates of production and consumption of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ were more than double the rates seen in forest sites. High rates of microbial uptake, followed by normal cell death, may cause less labile cellular constituents to accumulate in the soil. Alternatively, a portion of the N mineralized by microbial activity may be bound to SOM via abiotic processes similar to those seen upon initial application of the ¹⁵N tracer.

Recent experiments lend some support to the third possibility, as well, that frequent addition of lawn clippings to the soil surface may promote incorporation of N into SOM. A litter bag experiment by Kopp and Guillard (2004) showed that clippings lost 86% to 94% of their N after 16 weeks. Other studies have also shown clippings to be a major source of nitrogen in lawns (see for example Heckman et al. 2000). A clipping addition experiment by Shi and colleagues (2006) suggests that the flush of mineralized N that follows clipping addition is derived predominantly from the clippings, rather than from stimulated decomposition of SOM. Biotic and abiotic consumption of this clippings-based N may drive the observed ¹⁵N accumulation in SOM, contribute to the high gross rates of nitrification and mineralization, and explain the observed redistribution of ¹⁵N tracer from predominantly plant-biomass pools into SOM in lawns. This is in contrast to forest leaf litter, which decomposes more slowly than lawn clippings and tends to increase in N over time before nutrients are eventually released during later stages of decomposition (see for example Gosz et al. 1973, Aber and Melillo 1982).

While SOM was the dominant long term sink, a small fraction of ¹⁵N was recovered in leaf litter, plant biomass, and microbial biomass after one year, which suggests that some nitrogen continues to be cycled between the soil and plant pools. It is possible

that the slow turnover of the relatively large SOM nitrogen pool limits the amount of ¹⁵N cycled back into the labile pools. It is also possible that relatively large inputs of labile N to these lawns (96 kg N ha⁻¹ as fertilizer, 11.2 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ as atmospheric deposition, plus N released from lawn clippings) may decrease the need for plants and microbes to access more recalcitrant forms of N from SOM.

Nitrate and ammonium availability, turnover, and leaching potential

Nitrogen appears to be tightly cycled in lawns and forests, with small pools of available NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ and rapid turnover times, which suggests low leaching potential in both systems. Though the pools of available NO₃⁻ (the form of nitrogen with the greatest potential for leaching) were larger in lawns than forests (Table 3), rapid turnover of this small pool suggests that nitrogen is tightly held by the system. The average turnover time for the NO₃⁻ pool in lawns was less than 4 hours and not significantly different from the approximately 5.5 hour turnover time in forests (Table 3). These results, paired with the high retention of ¹⁵N tracer when compared to forests, suggest that fertilized lawns can be as retentive of nitrogen deposition as forested systems. A major question is how long lawns can continue to sequester high inputs of N. Previous research suggests that lawns may decrease in their capacity to retain N as they age thereby increasing the potential for over-fertilization (see for example Porter et al. 1980, Frank et al. 2006).

Accounting for nitrogen losses

Both lawns and forests saw declines in ¹⁵N recovery over the course of one year (Figure 1), but the precise mechanism for these losses was not clear. Gaseous losses (via denitrification) are likely; however, our attempts to quantify the magnitude of denitrification losses were unsuccessful. Horgan et al. (2002a and 2002b) were able to measure direct gaseous losses of N (as N2 and N2O) from turfgrass using much larger amendments of ¹⁵N-NO₃ nitrate (49 kg N ha⁻¹ compared to the 0.3 kg N ha⁻¹ used in this study). They found temporally variable fluxes of N₂ and N₂O, with an exceptionally large pulse of denitrification after a major rainfall event, suggesting the possibility for large gaseous losses. It is also possible that a significant portion of the labeled N was incorporated into soil pools below 10 cm depth however, the results of other forest and lawn tracer studies suggest that recovery in soil and roots below 10 cm may be small (Horgan et al. 2002a, Engelsjord et al. 2004, Nadelhoffer et al. 2004). Soil leaching is another potential avenue for N loss, though numerous studies of N leaching in turfgrass systems suggest that these losses are usually small, though they can be significant in some cases (Petrovic 1990, Engelsjord et al. 2004). Other Baltimore LTER research is addressing this flux.

The results of this study suggest potentially high N retention in residential lawns, which are a dominant cover type in residential areas. They lend insight into recent watershed-level research that reveals unexplained and unexpectedly high N retention in urban and suburban catchments (for example Groffman et al. 2004, Wollheim et al. 2005). The principal mechanisms responsible for N retention in lawns appear to change over time, from (largely transient) initial adsorption onto SOM, to short-term biotic uptake by plants and microbes, to eventual incorporation into soil organic matter pools. However, much remains unknown about N cycling in urban and suburban watersheds, including the long term (decadal-scale) fate of N that is currently being retained and the capacity for continued N retention in the future. The influence on N retention of factors such as lawn age, lawn management practices, soil disturbance history, soil compaction, soil type, and seasonal timing of deposition, also require further exploration.

WORKS CITED

Aber, J. D. and J. M. Melillo. 1982. Nitrogen immobilization in decaying hardwood leaf litter as a function of initial nitrogen and lignin content. Canadian Journal of Botany 60:2263-2269.

Baker, L. A., D. Hope, Y. Xu, J. Edmonds, and L. Lauver. 2001. Nitrogen balance for the Central Arizona_Phoenix ecosystem. Ecosystems 4:582-602.

Berntson G. M. and J. D. Aber. 2000. Fast nitrate immobilization in N saturated temperate forest soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 32:151–156.

Bowden R. D., J. M. Melillo, P. A. Steudler, and J. D. Aber. 1991. Effects of nitrogen additions on annual nitrous oxide fluxes from temperate forest soils in the northeastern United States. Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres 96:9321–9328.

Brookes, P. C., A. Landman, G. Pruden, and D. S. Jenkinson. 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 17:837–842

Cabrera, M. L., and M. H. Beare. 1993. Alkaline persulfate oxidation for determining total nitrogen in microbial biomass extracts. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57:1007–1012.

Dail D. B., Davidson E. A., and J. Chorover. 2001. Rapid abiotic transformation of nitrate in an acid forest soil. Biogeochemistry 54:131–146.

Davidson, E. A., R. W. Eckert, S. C. Hart, and M. K. Firestone. 1989. Direct extraction of microbial biomass nitrogen from forest and grassland soils of California. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 21:773–778.

Goetz S. J., Jantz C. A., Prince S. D., Smith A. J., Wright R. and Varlyguin D.
2004. Integrated analysis of ecosystem interactions with land use change: the
Chesapeake Bay watershed. In Ecosystems and Land Use Change, pp. 263-275.
Eds. R. S. DeFries, G. P. Asner & R. A. Houghton. Geophysical Monograph
Series, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC.

Gold, A. J., T. G. Morton, W. M. Sullivan and J. McClory. 1988. Leaching of 2,4-D and dicamba from home lawns. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 37:121-129.

Gold, A. J., W. R. DeRagon, W. M. Sullivan and J. L. LeMunyon. 1990.Nitrate-nitrogen losses to groundwater from rural and suburban land uses.J. Soil and Water Conservation. 45(2):305-310.

Golubieski N. E. 2006. Urbanization increases grassland carbon pools: Effects of landscaping in Colorado's Front Range. Ecological Applications 16(2):555-571

Gosz, J. R., G. E. Likens and H. F. Bormann. 1973. Nutrient Release From Decomposing Leaf and Branch Litter in the Hubbard Brook Forest, New Hampshire. Ecological Monographs 43(2):173-191.

Groffman, P. M., J. P Hardy, C. T. Drisoll and T. J. Fahey. 2006b. Snow depth, soil freezing, and fluxes of carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane in a northern hardwood forest. Global Change Biology 12(9):1748-1760.

Groffman, P. M., R. V. Pouyat, M. L. Cadenasso, W. C. Zipperer, K. Szlavecz, I.C. Yesilonis, L. E. Band and G. S. Brush. 2006a. Land use context and natural soil controls on plant community composition and soil nitrogen and carbon dynamics in urban and rural forests. Forest Ecology and Management. 236(2-3):177-192.

Hart, S., J. Stark, E. Davidson, M. Firestone. 1994. Nitrogen Mineralization,Immobilization, and Nitrification in R.W. Weaver, J.S. Angle, and P.S Bottomlyeds. Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2 -Microbiological and BiochemicalProperties. Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Wisconsin.

Heckman, J. R., H. Liu, W. Hill, M. DeMilia, and W. L. Anastasia. 2000. Kentucky bluegrass responses to mowing practice and nitrogen fertility management. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 15:25–33.

Horgan, B. P., B. E. Branham, and R. L. Mulvaney. 2002a. Mass balance of 15N applied to Kentucky bluegrass including direct measurement of denitrification. Crop Sci. 42:1595–1601.

Horgan, B. P., B. E. Branham, and R. L. Mulvaney. 2002b. Direct Measurement of Denitrification using 15N-Labeled Fertilizer Applied to Turfgrass. Crop Science. 42:1602-1610.

Engelsjord, M. E., B. E. Branham, and B. P. Horgan. 2004. The Fate of Nitrogen-15 Ammonium Sulfate Applied to Kentucky Bluegrass and Perennial Ryegrass Turfs. Crop Science. 44:1341–1347.

Frank K. W., K. M. O'Reilly, J. R. Crum, and R. N. Calhoun. 2006. The fate of nitrogen applied to a mature Kentucky bluegrass turf. Crop Science 46:209-215

Kaye J. P., R. L. McCulley, and I. C.Burke 2005. Carbon fluxes, nitrogen cycling, and soil microbial communities in adjacent urban, native and agricultural ecosystems. GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY 11(4):575-587

Knops, J., K. Bradley, and D. Wedin. 2002. Mechanisms of plant species impacts on ecosystem nitrogen cycling. Ecology Letters 5:454-466.

Kopp, K. L., and K. Guillard. 2004. "Decomposition rates and nitrogen release of turf grass clippings" in <u>New directions for a diverse planet: Proceedings for the 4th</u> <u>International Crop Science Congress, Brisbane, Australia, 26 September – 1</u> <u>October 2004.</u>

Kopp, K. L., and K. Guillard. 2002. Clipping management and nitrogen fertilization of turfgrass: growth, nitrogen utilization, and quality. Crop Science 42:1225–1231.

Law, N. L., L. E. Band, and J. M. Grove. 2004. Nitrogen input from residential lawn care practices in suburban watersheds in Baltimore County, MD. Journal of Environmental Management, 47(5):737–755.

Milesi C., S. W. Running, C. D. Elvidge, J. B. Dietz, B. T. Tuttle, and R. R. Nemani. 2005. Mapping and modeling the biogeochemical cycling of turf grasses in the United States. Environmental Management 36(3):426-438

Miltner, E. D., B. E. Branham, E. A. Paul, and P. E. Rieke. 1996. Leaching and mass balance of ¹⁵N-labeled urea applied to a Kentucky bluegrass turf. Crop Science. 36:1427–1433.

Minitab Inc. 2003. MINITAB Statistical Software, Release 14 for Windows, State College, Pennsylvania. MINITAB® is a registered trademark of Minitab Inc.

Morton, T. G., A. J. Gold and W. M. Sullivan. 1988. Influence of overwatering and fertilization on nitrogen losses from home lawns. J. Environ. Qual. 17:124-140.

Nadelhoffer, K. J., B. P. Colman, W. S. Currie, A. H. Magill and J. D. Aber. 2004. Decadal scale fates of 15N tracers added to oak and pine stands under ambient and elevated N inputs at the Harvard Forest (USA). Forest Ecology and Management 196:89-108 Perakis, S. S., and L. O. Hedin. (2001). Fluxes and Fates of Nitrogen in Soil of an Unpolluted Old-Growth Temperate Forest, southern Chile. Ecology 82:2245-2260.

Petrovic, A. M. 1990. The fate of nitrogenous fertilizers applied to turfgrass. Journal of Environmental Quality. 19(1):1-14.

Porter, K. S., D. R. Bouldin, S. Pacenka, R. S. Kossack, C. A. Shoemaker, and A.A. Pucci, Jr. 1980. Studies to assess the fate of nitrogen applied to turf: Part I.Research project technical complete report. OWRT Project A-086-NY. CornellUniversity, Ithaca, NY.

Pouyat R. V., I. D. Yesilonis, and D. J. Nowak. 2006. Carbon storage by urban soils in the United States. Journal of Environmental Quality 35(4): 1566-1575

Providoli, I., H. Bugmann, R. Siegwolf, N. Buchmann, and P. Schleppi, 2006: Pathways and dynamics of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ applied in a mountain *Picea abies* forest and in a nearby meadow in central Switzerland. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 38:1645-1657.

Qian Y. L. and R. F. Follett. 2002. Assessing soil carbon sequestration in turfgrass systems using long-term soil testing data. Agronomy Journal 94(4):930-935.

Shi, W., M. Subathra, and D. Bowman. 2006. Soil microbial biomass and nitrogen dynamics in a turfgrass chronosequence: A short-term response to turfgrass clipping addition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38:2032–2042.

Stark, J. M., and S. C. Hart. 1996. Diffusion technique for preparing salt solutions, Kjeldahl digests and persulfate digests for nitrogen-15 analysis. Soil Science Society of America Journal 60:1846-1855.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Updated: March 3, 2006. Mid-Atlantic Integrated Assessment: Urban Sprawl. http://www.epa.gov/maia/html/sprawl.html. Accessed January 15, 2007.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2007. National Water Information System (NWISWeb). Accessed March 10, 2007. http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis

Wollheim, W. M., B. A. Pellerin, C. J. Vorosmarty, and C. S. Hopkinson. 2005. N retention in urbanizing headwater catchments. Ecosystems 8:871-884.

Zak, D. R., P. M. Groffman, K. S. Pregitzer, S. Christensen, and J. M. Tiedje. 1990. The vernal dam-plant microbe competition for nitrogen in northern hardwood forests. Ecology 71:651-656.

Zogg G. P., D. R. Zak, A. J. Burton, and K. S. Pregitzer. 2000. Microbial immobilization and the retention of anthropogenic nitrate in a northern hardwood forest, Ecology 81:1858-1866.