The platforms most often used for systematic review searching are not always optimized for the needs of searchers.

### Pain Points
- Low default export limits for Web of Science, Ovid & Google Scholar
- Complete citation export from Scopus and Ovid limited to 2000
- Inability to bulk export from Google Scholar
- Export from trials registries (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov) is cumbersome
- Ovid’s export maximum is 2000 but may be set lower by your institutional administrator.

### Desirable Features
- Higher export limits; we suggest 5000 at minimum
- Variety of export formats - at minimum, reference manager (e.g., RIS/NBIB, CSV)
- Ability to export all available fields and to cherry-pick specific fields to export
- Availability of API

### Supplementary Data
Data extracted for each database include:
- export limit
- export format
- #of fields available for export
- ease of selection of specific citations, and,
- notes on pain points

### Further Reading

About the UX Caucus: https://www.mlanet.org/page/user-experience-caucus