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Medieval Icelandic writers seem at times to have been fully aware that the boundaries of their texts were not firmly fixed within a rigid historical or generic frame, but could and indeed should be varied according to subject matter. The lives of their chosen saints were not recorded strictly according to the saints’ worldly experiences; rather, particularly praiseworthy events were selected and recorded to provide audiences with examples of holy living. A saint’s vita is, of course, classified as a biography, but its inclusion of miracles that occurred during or after the saint’s lifetime also tests the limits of textual boundaries.

I reiterate this common knowledge because there seems to be some confusion among Old Norse-Icelandic scholars and critics regarding the classification of Old Norse-Icelandic hagiographical literature. Some scholars consider, for example, the corpus of sagas of Icelandic bishops to belong to a genre commonly called biskupa sögur, a term inherited from Jón Sigurðsson and Guðbrandur Vígiðsson, who first edited in two volumes the biographies of Icelandic bishops and vitae of Icelandic episcopal saints who lived prior to the Reformation.¹ Jón Sigurðsson and Guðbrandur Vígiðsson did not classify these narratives according to literary principles; to them these sagas were of the

same breed, and in their view it was not necessary to determine the pedigree of these stories more specifically.\(^2\)

More than half a century ago, the British scholar Gabriel Turville-Petre maintained that the oldest translations of Latin legends into Old Norse-Icelandic had greatly influenced the vernacular literature, and that these translations taught the Icelandic/Norwegian authors to express themselves in their own language.\(^3\) In many ways, Turville-Petre’s opinions shaped the ideas of other scholars about the earliest attempts to write indigenous biographies, and many scholars took for granted that the native legends were mainly imitations of Latin works. While it is indisputable that the earliest translations could have easily provided Old Norse-Icelandic writers with examples of narrative composition and style, it must be borne in mind that Old Norse-Icelandic authors and translators were educated in ways similar to their colleagues elsewhere in Europe, and that their training at native educational centers, mainly in the private and cathedral schools at Hólar, Skálholt, Haukadalur, and Oddi, helped them compose both in Latin and in the vernacular. Skill in the oral retelling of native tales must also have been a significant asset. Without such a background culture, the Old Norse-Icelandic translations of Latin *vitae* would have been impossible.

The Four Grammatical Treatises, especially the First, display a remarkable tendency to use indigenous terms when discussing grammar and rhetoric.\(^4\) Other literary terms are defined to a lesser degree. Some Old Norse-Icelandic terms demonstrate, however, that the writers were conscious of different types of saints’ lives and would have classified the narratives accordingly.

The manuscript AM 624 4to is a priest’s manual compiled around 1500. Some parts of it are written by Jón Þorvaldsson, sometime chancellor at the nunnery at Reynistaður in Skagafjörður. The manuscript contains three Icelandic homilies written by him. One of them discusses Saints Mary Magdalen and Martha and is an interpretation


of Luke 10:38–42, the account of Jesus visiting the sisters at their home. The homilist takes pains to explain the difference between Mary’s and Martha’s work:

På gekk Jesus inn i kastala, andlega at skilja, er hann vitradiz mönnunum sýnilgr ok lét beraz í heím frá meyju; ok tók kona nokkur hann í hús sitt sú er Martha hét, en hun átti systur en Mari hét. Tvar systr trúfastar, þær er við drottni tóku, merkja tvenn líf kristins lýðs; þat er sysslulif, ok upplitningarlif. Sysslulif er at fæða hungraðan, ok klæða nóktan, þjóna sjúkum, ok grafa dauðan ... Upplitningarlif er at skiljaz við öll fjölskyldu<verk> heims, ok hafna öllum veraldaráhyggjum fyrir ást guðs.5

(Then Jesus entered the castle, understood in a spiritual sense, when he appeared to human beings and condescended to be born in this world by the Virgin; he was invited to the home of a certain woman named Martha, who had a sister named Mary. These two faithful sisters, who received Christ, signify the two types of Christian living; that is, sysslulif, the active life, and upplitningarlif, the contemplative life. The active life, sysslulif, is feeding the hungry, clothing the naked, serving the infirm, and burying the dead ... The contemplative life, upplitningarlif, is divorcing oneself from all everyday concerns and rejecting all worldly woes for the love of God.)

Anyone reading these lines knows that behind the words sysslulif and upplitningarlif stand the terms vita activa and vita contemplativa, which in the later Middle Ages became closely connected with the discussion of the symbolic role of these two sisters. My reason for quoting the passage is that nowhere else in Old Icelandic hagiographic literature—at least to my knowledge—does such an explicit expression of the symbolic significance of the saintly life occur.

The source from which the preacher drew his subject matter remains unknown. The sermon can hardly be a native composition; the Icelandic clergy depended a great deal on foreign, mainly Latin interpretations, as evident from most of the medieval Icelandic

ecclesiastical writings. Porvaldur Bjarnarson, the editor of this sermon, believed that it was influenced by Bernard of Clairvaux and Gregory the Great, but the discussion of the sisters’ role was widespread in the mendicant orders, who saw it as reflecting their own activity, a sort of _vita mixta_, a representation of their double lives as preachers and ascetics.

At the end of the thirteenth century and especially at the beginning of the fourteenth century, Icelandic hagiographers began to change their methods; they incorporated into their _passiones_ and _vitae_ all sorts of historical details, and their hagiographical narratives took on the character of universal history, with exact chronology and geography alongside traditional interpretations of theological questions. At times, the saint almost vanishes from the scene because of the compiler’s historical interests and pedantic vocabulary. No doubt, the compilers believed that this type of writing would further strengthen their readers’ faith. The best example of such compositional practice is the twin legend of the apostles John and James (_Tveggja postola saga Jóns ok Jakobs_). As the missions and martyrdoms of the apostles are narrated, historical facts about the reigns of certain pagan tyrants are provided, along with exegetical commentary on fundamental religious elements. When describing how Saint John survives all sorts of torment, the compiler comments:

_Nú er álitanda hvart þessar greinir samanlesnar í þvingan ok meint-lætum Johannis megi _martirium_ heita viðkvæmiliga, meðr því at hinn sæli Gregorius segir at í bindandisdygð ok einni saman pínu holdsins geriz maðr piðlarvátrr í guðs augliti án allri ofsókn._

(Now it must be considered whether these collected accounts concerning John’s sufferings and pain might not appropriately be called _martirium_, since the blessed Gregorius maintains that in the

---

virtue of abstinence and the torments of the flesh a man becomes a martyr in the sight of God, even without undergoing persecution.)

_Tveggja postola saga Jóns ok Jakobs_ is one of the lives in _Codex Scardensis_, a manuscript produced in the scriptorium at the Helgafell monastery in the latter half of the fourteenth century and most likely commissioned by Ormr Snorrason, a wealthy knight, at Skarð on Skarðsströnd. Another manuscript that was probably commissioned by him, the so-called _Ormsbók_, is a compilation of prose romances. This manuscript now survives only in later paper copies and has been for many years a jigsaw puzzle for Old Norse-Icelandic philologists as they have tried to reconstruct its size and structure from diverse quotations in Swedish lexicographical works dating from the seventeenth century. Desmond Slay has probably come closest to establishing its structure, the order of its sagas, and its original foliation. He proposes that the manuscript was originally about 90 leaves, containing 15 sagas and shorter narratives: _Trójumanna saga_, _Breta sögur_, _Mágus saga_, _Laes pattr_, _Vilhjálm pattr_ Laessonar, _Geirárðs pattr_, _Fló vents saga_, _Barring saga_, _Rémundar saga_, _Erex saga_, _Bevers saga_, _Ivens saga_, _Mirmanns saga_, _Partalópa saga_, and finally _Enoks saga_, provided the manuscript did not conclude with _Parceval saga_, an adaption of _Le conte du graal_ by Chrétien of Troyes.

It is no coincidence that _Ormsbók_ begins with _Trójumanna saga_. The saga was placed there on ideological grounds; Ormr Snorrason and other Icelandic noblemen most likely believed that they were descended from the Trojans. According to the medieval understanding of history, the refugees from Troy migrated to Western Europe, and one of their descendants, Brutus, was thought to have settled in Britain. His story usually followed the history of the Trojans, as is the case in _Ormsbók_. One would have expected the next items in _Ormsbók_ to

---


11. Slay, “Ivens saga, Mirmanns saga and Ormr Snorrason’s Book,” says in this connection: “Although the seventeenth-century list of contents of OS [i.e. Ormsbók] has ‘Parcevals’ as its concluding item, no quotation from Parceval’s saga has been found in the lexicographical works, and there is no known copy” (p. 954).
be the pseudohistorical narratives of King Arthur and his knights, but the pseudohistorical sequence in the Icelandic manuscript is broken: after Breta sögur comes Mágus saga, the contents of which are related to Renaud de Montauban, a French chanson de geste, but the two adaptations of Arthurian romances, Ereks saga and Ívens saga, are far behind in the sequence of stories. The order of the narratives in the manuscript is an indication that they were not merely included for the purpose of tracing the history of the noble origins of Ormr Snorrason and his family, the Skardverjar. Trójumanna saga’s pseudohistory is, for example, combined with chivalrous ideals. Some of the sagas in the manuscript must also be labelled as romances with Christian themes, such as Bevers saga, Bærings saga, Flóvents saga, Rémundar saga and even Partalópa saga, which is probably a translation of the French Partenopeus de Blois. The last preserved item, Enoks saga, is clearly an exemplum. But how should Mírmanns saga be classified?

Mírmanns saga is extant in six versions. They have all been edited diplomatically by Desmond Slay, and in his introduction to the edition he discusses all of the known manuscripts of the saga. Slay calls the version referred to here the D-version. It is preserved in Stock. Papp fol. no. 47, written in the latter half of the seventeenth century by Jón Vigfússon (d. 1692), who copied it from from Stock. Perg 4to no. 6 (dating from the fifteenth century), Stock. Papp fol. no. 17 (dating from the seventeenth century), and Ormsbók, which was then the oldest known manuscript of Mírmanns saga. Slay considers it very likely that Jón Vigfússon had only two leaves of the saga from Ormsbók when he started copying it, and that these leaves contained only the last part of the narrative, about one-fifth or one-sixth. Slay is of the opinion that Jón Vigfússon had inserted some modern words into his copy; this can easily be seen in many places, but on the other hand this version has passages that are lacking in the others, and on the whole Jón Vigfússon does not seem to be as careless a copyist as scholars have maintained.

In his introduction, Slay discusses neither the dating of Mírmanns saga nor its literary qualities. Only few scholars have paid any atten-
tion to Mírmanns saga’s merits. Finnur Jónsson, who acknowledged that the saga was well composed (“gotd fortalt”), but expressed reservations about its religious overtones,14 believed that the saga was an Icelandic work, not older than 1325, and based on outmoded Old French motifs (“afblegede oldfranske minder”), along with some Old Norse-Icelandic ones. In his introduction to the first edition of Mírmanns saga, Eugen Kolbing commented on the saga’s religious tendency, which he regarded as an attempt to describe the two chivalric worlds, the religious and the secular.15 Jan de Vries agreed with Kolbing and described it as demonstrating “geistliche Ritterschaft.”16 Jürg Glauser, the last to comment on the saga, argues that it is influenced by the literature of the crusades.17

Mírmanns saga is structured like a romance. The story begins by introducing Mírmann’s parents, Duchess Brigida and Duke Hermann, who live in Saxland (Saxony), which was then pagan. Mírmann receives his early education in his native country, but is soon sent to France, where he is fostered by King Hlöðver and further educated. In France, Mírmann is baptized, and King Hlöðver commissions him to christianize his countrymen in Saxland, especially his parents. Mírmann’s father is unwilling to accept his son’s message. He fights with him in a rage, and the fight ends with Mírmann killing his own father. Mírmann then returns to France and stays with King Hlöðver for some time. Hlöðver’s second wife, Queen Katrína, falls in love with Mírmann. Brigida, Mírmann’s mother, sends him a letter promising reconciliation, but this turns out to be treacherous, because she gives him a magic drink that causes leprosy. This is the turning point of the saga. Mírmann’s role at the court in France is completed, and he undertakes a journey to Italy after hearing of good doctors there.

On this journey, he changes his name to Justinus. The first doctor he seeks is Martin, who cannot cure him, but advises him to go to Sicily to meet a woman named Cecelia, who is famous for her medical skills

---

and able to help him. She diagnoses his illness, telling him that it has been caused by magic, and that a snake has grown in his stomach. She then gives him a magic drink which causes the snake to move into his throat. She calls upon it in the name of Jesus to come out further, and when she and Mírmann place their mouths together, the snake enters her mouth. Mírmann seizes the snake’s tail with his teeth and she cuts it in two with a knife and throws the parts into a fire.

Eventually, Mírmann is cured of leprosy and marries Cecelia, though before their marriage she discovers his true identity. After the wedding, Mírmann wants to go back to France, and although Cecelia is hesitant, she eventually grants him permission to visit his friend King Hlódver. While Mírmann is in France, King Hlódver dies, and Queen Katrín gives Mírmann a magic drink, causing him to forget Cecelia and marry Katrín. Later Mírmann is defeated by the knight Hiringr, alias Cecelia. She brings him back to Sicily, where he lives with her for the rest of his life.18

As evident from this synopsis, Mírmanns saga does not seem very different from ordinary romances. One may divide the story into four main sections: 1) Mírmann at his parents’ house, his studies there, and his education at the court of King Hlódver in France; 2) his disease, his cure, and marriage to Cecelia in Sicily; 3) his later stay in France, his marriage to Katrín, King Hlódver’s widow, and his subsequent defeat by his former wife, Cecelia, who battles dressed like a man and calls herself Hiringr; 4) Mírmann’s reunion with Cecelia in Sicily, where he ruled with her for twelve years, after which the married couple entered a monastery and served God. The bipartite structure is, however, obvious: 1) Mírmann’s sinful youth and his suffering from the disease, and 2) his life after he has been cured. It may be argued that Mírmann’s life is determined by three women: Brigida, Katrín and Cecelia.

I have not found anyone in the Middle Ages bearing the name Mírmann (or Mirmannt as it is written in some manuscripts).19 It is possible that the name refers to the first part of the Latin word miracula, so as to stress that the person is saved by God’s mercy, such as those men who are blessed by the intercession of saints. On
the other hand, the women’s names are all well known saints’ names, although Brigida is not well attested in Iceland.20 Her description in the saga coincides remarkably well with that of Saint Catherine of Alexandria, except that the saint does not kill her clients when they do not behave as she wants. Brigida’s virtues are described as follows:

Kunnosta hennar var með því móti at þat þótti allt sem barnavípr er dýrkr konur gjörðu hjá því sem <hun> gjörði. En spektarmál ok veraldarvízka sú er hun hafði numit af heidnum bókum stóðuz henni eigi hinir beztu klerkar, ok sigraði hun með sníldarordum kóna ok klerka ef ordaskipti áttu við hana.21

(Her knowledge was such that everything that other women did seemed to be children’s trifles compared with what she did. The best clerics could not match her in learning and worldly wisdom, which she had studied in pagan books, and she defeated kings and clerics with her rhetorical skill if they disputed with her.)

Saint Catherine, on the other hand, had learned:

allar þær ífróttir á bókum er liberalis heita. Hún kunni og margar tungur að mæla og spakliga að leyxa allar spurningar þær er fyrir hana voru bornar ... “Til bókar var eg sett. Nam eg yfrið mikið af bókligum ífróttum og veraldriga speki ...”22

(all of the arts that are named liberalis. She had learned to speak many languages and could answer wisely all of the questions she was asked ... “I was sent for education. I studied the literary arts and worldly wisdom to a great extent.”)

It cannot be mere coincidence that the word barnavípr is used when describing Brigida’s merits: it clearly refers to Guðrún Ósvifrsdóttir in Laxdæla saga.

At first Queen Katrín is given a favorable description, but later on the image of her changes:

Svá er sagt fra kongsdottur at hun var hverri konu fríðari, mjúk í orðum en mild af fé svá at hun gaf á tvær hendi gull ok sílf, ok varð hun af því þokkasæl við landslyðinn. En í annan stað vánu bráðara tók hun at hyggja at vænleik Mírmanns jarlssons ok atgjörvi er hann hafði, sem fyrr var sagt, um fram áðra menn.23

(It is said that the princess was more beautiful than other women, softspoken and openhanded, giving away gold and silver with both hands, and because of that she became very popular among the common people. On the other hand she soon noticed Mírmann’s fairness and the virtues that he had beyond other men, as told previously.)

After Mírmann falls ill, Katrín is portrayed less favorably: she speaks of Mírmann being too sick to be at court, and it is remarkable how King Hlöðver rebukes her:

Katrín drottning kemr at máli viðr kóníinn Hlöðver ok sagði honum at hann hefði undarlígan síð at hafa þann mann í hírð ok samneytri meðr sér sem at svá væri aumlíga yfirkominn í þeim háskasamli-gasta ok versta stjókómi, ok kynni hann sjáiðr þar af hína verstu ok óbeðanlígastu vanyeislu sér sjálfrum at brugga. “Pú veizt at ek mæli af rétré elsku ok kærlileik viðr þik. Skil þik frá þessum manni at þú hljótr ekki neitt íllt af honum.” Hlöðver kóng svarar henni ok ségir: “Nú þykki mér øðruvisí háttat í orðum ok atviku þínnum hjá því sem at þá var er hann var heilbrigðir. Pá sýndiz mér svá at þér þótti hann aldregi of nærrί þér vera, ...”24

(Queen Katrín starts speaking to King Hlöðver and tells him that he had a peculiar custom keeping at court and in his presence a man who so badly overran by a most dangerous and foul disease, and he himself could be infected by this worst, incurable illness. “You

# Footnotes

know,” she said, “that I speak out of true love and charity toward you. Stay away from this man so that you do not catch anything bad from him.” King Hlòðver answers her and says: “Now I find you different in your words and actions from the time when he was healthy. It seemed to me then that you felt as if he were never too close to you …”

Later on we are told that Katrin is a *trollkona* and a giantess as well as a very skilful sorceress. Her actions are quite similar to those of Brigida previously. She gives Mìrmann and his men a magic potion, causing him to forget the oaths that he had sworn his queen, Cecelia, in Sicily.

The description of Cecelia is in quite a different tone. Although she is not as accomplished as her namesake, Saint Cecelia, she possesses the same healing power as the saint. In one way she is equal to the best of knights. She has trained herself

við burtreíð sem karlar. En þó vissu þat fáir menn því at hun lêk í skögi í karlmannsklaðum at fárra manna vitordi, en því gjórdi hun svá at Guð er alla hlut þeir fyrir, vissi at hun mundi þess þurfa áðr lyki …

(in tilting, like the men. This, however, was known only to few people, because she practiced it secretly in a forest, dressed in a man’s clothes. She did this because God, who foresees everything, knew that she would need this training before everything was over.)

The D-text first describes her countenance as being more beautiful than other ladies in Sicily, and then adds:

[þ]ar eptir hafi ok farit aðrar hennar listir, því at hun hafi lært allar riddaraligar íþróttir, ok kunnat úr at ríða sem hinn besti riddari.

(her other skills were also like this, because she had learned all of the chivalric arts and could ride into combat like the best of knights.)

---

It appears at first glance that Brigida punished her son for killing his father and her husband. One might, however, suspect that this is not the main reason for her actions. In the second chapter of the saga, when Brigida tells her husband that she is expecting a child, he tells her of his dream in which he thought that she had “a snake in [her] chemise; it was surprisingly big and savage, and when [he] wanted to pull it away it bit [him] and [he] had no strength against it.”

The words indicate that something else happened between mother and son, and her remark when she leaves him in her brewery after she has poisoned him supports this notion:

“...ok þat hlægir <mík> ef hun Kåtrinn kóngsdóttir hefr <ekki síka> list af ykkar laugagróðsléikum# hér eptir sem hingat til.”

(it makes me laugh to think that Princess Kåtrinn will no longer enjoy your games in the herbarium as before.)

A person infected by leprosy was in the Middle Ages by some authors considered to have committed a mortal sin; the disease was thought be God’s punishment for lust and arrogance. Also, one cannot help but regard the snake as a phallic symbol, and the creature is painted on Cecelia’s standard when she conquers Mirmann’s army:

27. “‘Mik dreymdi,’ sagði hann, ‘at þú hafði órmi einn í serk þér ok þötti mér vera undarligh mikill ok ólmi, en þa er er ek vilda hann taka ok kippa honum frá þér, beit hann mik víu at ek hafða ekki afl við honum’” (Mirmanns saga, ed. Slay, A-text, p. 2).
29. The other manuscripts have the variant augnahamr (eyes’ delight) instead of laugagróðsléikr which surely refers to the words Earl Bæringr uses of the relationship between Queen Kåtrinn and Mirmann: “þer verði hér annat fyrir grónum en kysa Kåtrinn drottungu í eplagarði sínum” (Mirmanns saga, ed. Slay, A and B-text, p. 35; “something else will be closer to your beard than kissing Queen Kåtrinn in her apple garden”).
Merki hans [that is, Hirings] var skinandi sem önnur sólarbirti, ok á dregrit með rauðu gulli, cinn jungkarð ok jungfrú, hafandi cinn orm hvárt þeirra sér í munni í millum sín sundr deildan með knifí þeim sem at jungfrúin helt á.33

(His standard was shining like the other brightness of the sun; it was drawn with reddish gold, a prince and princess, holding in their mouths parts of a snake that had been cut in two with the knife that the princess held in her hand.)

In the battle with Mírmann, the gemstones on Híringr’s armor signify his virtues; his saddle is inlaid with an emerald (smaragdus), which, according to a translated lapidary, probably Marod’s, is “saintly in its nature but more saintly as a token of God’s chosen people.”34 On Mírmann’s lorica there is a beryl (berillus) which is “good for the love of a married couple.”35 The symbolic meaning of those stones must have been known to most of the audience.

As scholars have pointed out, the author of Mírmanns saga devotes a great deal of his narrative to God’s mercy and kindness; the saga’s religious overtones are very prominent. Its historical scenes vary from pagan Saxland to France and south to Apulia in Italy and Sicily. The French are Christianized when Mírmann studies with King Hlásvé, and then the saga’s time frame is given:

I þenna tíma kom hinn helgi Dionisius í Frakkland með umráðum Klementis papa. Ok með því at Hlásvé kógr var sjálfr góðviljaðr ok sá ok heyrði sannar jarteinir almáttigis guðs ok hans heilagra manna ok þess hins blessaða byskups er þar var kominn, þá tók hann skirn ok réttu trú, ok allir aðrir þeir sem í váru hans landi, þáð ríkir ok fátækir, en Mírmann jarlsson tók trú ok skirn af fortóllum Hlásvérs kóngs fóstra síns. En þeir er eigi vildu undir ganga trúna

34. “… smaragdus, sá er dýrligri í sín eðli en dýrligri í jartegn valdra manna guðs” (Kr. Kálund and N. Beckman, ed. Álfræði íslensk: Islandsk encyclopædií litteratur, 3 vols., Samfund til udgivelse af gammel nordisk litteratur 37, 41, 45 [Copenhagen: Møller, 1908–18], vol. 1, p. 41).
35. “… er góðr hjonum til ástar” (Kálund and Beckman, ed. Álfræði íslensk, vol. 1, p. 79, cf. pp. xxvi, xxix.)
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stukku ór landi, sumir vestri til Spaníalands. Þar réð fyrir jarl sá er Bæringr hét ... en sumir á hendr Hermanni í Saxland.36

(At that time Saint Dionisius came to France at the counsel of Pope Clement. And because King Hlöðver was himself benevolent and saw and heard the truthful miracles of almighty God and his saints and of the blessed bishop who had come there, he was baptized and took the true faith, as did everyone else who stayed in his country, both rich and poor. But Mírmann, the earl’s son, took the faith and was baptized at the urging of his foster father, King Hlöðver. Those who did not want to accept the true faith fled the country, some west to Spain, where an earl named Bæringr held power ... and some went to Hermann in Saxony.)

It is not quite clear if by Saint Dionisius the narrator means Dionysius Aereopagate, who in the first century A.D. was sent by Pope Clement to France and martyred there. It is also possible that the person in question was Saint Denis, whom Pope Fabianus sent to France in the third century A.D., where he suffered martyrdom. The sources often confuse the two martyrs. The external time frame of the story could therefore either be in the first or third century. The internal time frame spans only two generations, the lifetime of Mírmann himself and his parents.

No tournament or battle is fought in the saga without prayers for God’s assistance; this is a very common topos in the romances37 and cannot be counted among the peculiarities of Mírmanns saga. Neither can the account of Mírmann’s Christian mission. Mírmann does not Christianize pagans, but his speeches are representative of the type that were people’s daily bread at that time. The sermon that he preaches to his father is a good example. In this sermon, he traces almost the entire history of the Jews, from their earliest existence in Paradise until the start of the apostles’ missionary work:

Síðan gaf hann postulum sínum vald ok styrk til jartegna slíkra, sem urðu í Rómaborg, þá er Simon hinn illi gekk í móti Petri postula ok gjörði þá sjónhverfing at hann fló í lopti með fjandans krapfr. Þá bað

36. Mírmans saga, ed. Slay, A-text, p. 15; the C-version gives a more exact chronology and relates that these persons lived during the same age in which Christ himself was born: “... í þeim heimsaldn Kristr var hingar borinn” (p. 15).
37. van Nahl, Originale Riddarasögur, p. 156.
Petrus at guð skyldi læggja villú hans ok þá fell Simon ofan ok brast í fjóra hluti ok lauk svá hans ævi.38

(Then he gave his apostles the strength and power to perform such miracles, such as those that occurred in Rome when Simon the Evil met Peter the Apostle and made it appear, through the power of the devil, as if he flew in the air. Peter then prayed to God that he should defeat his heresy. Simon then tumbled down and was torn into four pieces and thus ended his life.)

Mírmann’s speech is very similar to the historical summaries found in the younger sagas of the apostles, for instance in Tveggja postola saga Jóns ok Jakobs. It is also obvious that the author of Mírmanns saga knew the older saga of the apostle Peter, since the subject-matter in the above passage is related to that version.39

The Christian mission among the pagans is not the main theme of Mírmanns saga, and it is difficult to detect in it any relationship to the literature of the crusades. Mírmann is, however, always fighting against pagan knights and Cecelia’s suitors. The core of the work is an account of how an earl’s son gained worldly power and the nature of his reign. He could only gain worldly power, gloria mundi, when living with the widow, Queen Katrín, but this life could in no way be termed sýslulif, for he acquired his power because of Katrín’s magic and treachery. When he lost the battle against Híringr, he was not capable of fighting (he was lame), and the story illustrates how he could only get peace of mind by having God’s mercy fall upon him. He would then govern in such a way that everyone could see that gloria Dei shone over him. This view is stressed at the end of the saga, when the reader is told that:

[þ]au Mírmann kóngr ok Cecelia drotting áttu einn son, ok þann kölluðu þau Hlóðver eftir Hlóðver kóngr í Frakklandi. Hann tók ríki ok kóndóm eptir þau ok er þeim þótti sitt líf standa meðr hinum beztu blóm, fyrrirlétu þau allt veraldarskart ok hégómligt þessa heims líf, ok fóru í einsetu, ok prýddu þar með mannkostum sínar sólir ok líkami til eilifs fagnaðar hjá heilagri þrenningu.40

39. van Nahl, Originale Riddarasögur, p. 156
40. Mírmanns saga, ed. Slay, D-text, p. 146.
(King Mírmann and Queen Cecelia had one son and they named him Hlóðver after King Hlóðver in France. He took over the kingdom after them, and when they felt that their life was in its highest blossom, they left all the vain finery of this world and became hermits and thus adorned their souls and bodies with virtues for eternal celebration with the Holy Trinity.)

This ending provides clear evidence that this particular saga was meant to be a romance, a hagiographical romance. The Skardverjar family was accustomed to such literature, and part of their education at Skarð would have been to listen to stories of this kind; later they would also compose them, as evident in the works of Björn Porleifsson junior, in hagiographical romances such as the Saga of Saint Christopher and the Saga of Gregorius on the Rock, an Icelandic version of the same material that Hartmann from Aue used in his Gregorius auf dem Stein. In the latter, the authors deal with double incest: first a brother and a sister beget a child, and later this child, Gregorius, marries and copulates with his own mother. His marriage with his own mother leads to his worldly power, gloria mundi, but through penance he gains peace of mind—the latter part of his story shows that he is living a vita spiritualis, and that he is enjoying gloria Dei. The concluding sentiment of both Mírmanns saga and the Saga of Gregorius on the Rock is the same: the vita carnalis should be avoided, and the members of the audience should submit to the spiritual power represented by the Church. Only in doing so will their lives be safe. It is quite another matter if the message of hagiographical romances, such as Mírmanns saga, was meant to convince women that they could only gain the upper hand or power over men if their married lives ended in hermitage, thus fulfilling the ideal of vita contemplativa (upplitningarlif).41

41. The author wishes to thank Philip Roughton and James Payne for scrutinizing his English.
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