

AN EXTENSION OF MACNEISH'S THEOREM
TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF SETS OF
MUTUALLY ORTHOGONAL F-SQUARES
OF COMPOSITE ORDER

BY JOHN P. MANDELI AND WALTER T. FEDERER

Virginia Commonwealth University and Cornell University

The purpose of this paper is to give a method of constructing sets of mutually orthogonal F-squares of composite order. The technique is an extension of MacNeish's theorem for latin squares. If $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_m^{e_m}$ is the prime power decomposition of a composite number n then Macneish (1922) proved the existence of $\min(p_1^{e_1}, p_2^{e_2}, \dots, p_m^{e_m}) - 1$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order n . Our extension of MacNeish's result shows the existence of $\max(p_1^{e_1}, p_2^{e_2}, \dots, p_m^{e_m}) - 1$ mutually orthogonal F-squares of order n . These sets of F-squares contain the sets of mutually orthogonal latin squares constructed by MacNeish and so the results may be looked upon as embedding the mutually orthogonal latin squares of MacNeish into a set of mutually orthogonal F-squares.

AMS 1980 subject classification. 62K05, 05B15

Key words and phrases. Latin squares, mutually orthogonal latin squares, MacNeish's theorem.

1. Introduction and summary.

To conserve space, the reader is referred to Hedayat and Seiden (1970) for details and definitions concerning the theory and applications of F-squares and orthogonality of F-squares. We use the notation $F(n; \lambda^t)$ to denote an F-square of order n with t symbols each replicated λ times in each row and column. Note that $n = \lambda t$. When $\lambda = 1$ and $t = n$ we have a latin square of order n . Hedayat, Raghavarao and Seiden (1975) have shown how to construct sets of mutually orthogonal F-squares when n is a prime or prime power number. Federer (1977) constructs sets of mutually orthogonal F-squares for $n = 4t$, t an integer, i.e. for n equal to a multiple of four. Kirton and Seberry (1978) show how to construct an F-square orthogonal to a latin square of order 10. No general theory however has been found for constructing sets of mutually orthogonal F-squares of order n when n is a composite number, except the case $n = 4t$. In this paper we show how to construct sets of mutually orthogonal F-squares of order equal to a composite number by using an extension of MacNeish's theorem. These sets of F-squares contain the sets of mutually orthogonal latin squares constructed by MacNeish and so the results may be looked upon as embedding the mutually orthogonal latin squares of MacNeish into a set of mutually orthogonal F-squares.

2. Extending MacNeish's theorem to F-square designs.

In 1922, MacNeish proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. If we let the prime decomposition of a number n be
 $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_m^{e_m}$ then there exists a set of $\min(p_1^{e_1}, p_2^{e_2}, \dots, p_m^{e_m}) - 1$
mutually orthogonal latin squares of order n .

We present the following extension to MacNeish's theorem for F-squares:

THEOREM 2.2. If we let the prime decomposition of a number n be $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_m^{e_m}$ and without loss of generality let $p_1^{e_1} < p_2^{e_2} < \dots < p_m^{e_m}$ then there exists a set of $(p_m^{e_m} - 1)$, i.e. $\max(p_1^{e_1}, p_2^{e_2}, \dots, p_m^{e_m}) - 1$ mutually orthogonal F-squares of order n . This set contains $(p_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} - p_i^{e_i})$ mutually orthogonal F-squares of the form $F(n; (p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_i^{e_i}) p_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} p_{i+2}^{e_{i+2}} \dots p_m^{e_m})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, (m-1)$, where $e_0 = 0$ and $p_0 = 1$.

Note that when $i = 0$ we have the result of MacNeish (1922) on mutually orthogonal latin squares. The set of $(p_1^{e_1} - 1)$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order n therefore is a subset of the set of $(p_m^{e_m} - 1)$ mutually orthogonal F-squares of order n of theorem 2.2. Hence theorem 2.2 may be looked upon as showing how to embed the set of $(p_1^{e_1} - 1)$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order n of MacNeish into a set of $(p_m^{e_m} - 1)$ mutually orthogonal F-squares of order n .

PROOF. Let $n_i = p_i^{e_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore $n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_m$ where $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_m$. Let $\{L_{n_i}^1, L_{n_i}^2, \dots, L_{n_i}^{n_i-1}\}$ be the complete set of $(n_i - 1)$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order n_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Define O_1 to be the 1×1 matrix consisting of all zeros. Then trivially O_1 is an $F(1; 1)$ - square, an F-square with one symbol. Also trivially O_1 is orthogonal to any F-square of order 1.

Let A be a $p \times p$ matrix and denote it by $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, p$.
 Let B be a $q \times q$ matrix and denote it by $B = (b_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, q$.
 Form the direct sum of A and B , denoted by $A \oplus B$, by forming the $pq \times pq$ matrix:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 & (a_{11}, b_{11}) & \dots & (a_{11}, b_{1q}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{11}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{1q}) \\
 & (a_{11}, b_{21}) & \dots & (a_{11}, b_{2q}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{21}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{2q}) \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 A \oplus B = & (a_{11}, b_{q1}) & \dots & (a_{11}, b_{qq}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{q1}) & \dots & (a_{1p}, b_{qq}) \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & (a_{p1}, b_{11}) & \dots & (a_{p1}, b_{1q}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{11}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{1q}) \\
 & (a_{p1}, b_{21}) & \dots & (a_{p1}, b_{2q}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{21}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{2q}) \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & (a_{p1}, b_{q1}) & \dots & (a_{p1}, b_{qq}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{q1}) & \dots & (a_{pp}, b_{qq})
 \end{array}$$

Using the direct sum, construct the following matrices:

$$L_{n_1}^j \oplus L_{n_2}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, (n_1 - 1)$$

[total of $(n_1 - 1)$ matrices.]

$$0_{n_1} \oplus L_{n_2}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j \quad \text{for } j = n_1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$$

[total of $(n_2 - n_1)$ matrices.]

$$0_{n_1 n_2} \oplus L_{n_3}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j \quad \text{for } j = n_2, \dots, (n_3 - 1)$$

[total of $(n_3 - n_2)$ matrices.]

⋮
 ⋮
 ⋮
 ⋮

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j \quad \text{for } j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1} - 1)$$

[total of $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ matrices.]

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{m-1}} \oplus L_{n_m}^j \quad \text{for } j = n_{m-1}, \dots, (n_m - 1)$$

[total of $(n_m - n_{m-1})$ matrices.]

By MacNeish (1922) the $(n_1 - 1)$ matrices $L_{n_1}^j \oplus L_{n_2}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, (n_1 - 1)$ are precisely a set of $(n_1 - 1)$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order $n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_m$. In F-square notation, a set of $(n_1 - 1)$ mutually orthogonal $F(n; 1^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m})$ - squares.

We wish now to prove that the $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ matrices $0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j$ for $j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1} - 1)$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, (m - 1)$ are exactly a set of $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ mutually orthogonal $F(n; (n_1 n_2 \dots n_i)^{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m})$ - squares. By MacNeish (1922),

$$L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus L_{n_{i+2}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j = L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j.$$

Therefore,

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus L_{n_{i+2}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j = 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j$$

for $j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1} - 1)$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, (m - 1)$. Now

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j \quad \text{is a } n_1 n_2 \dots n_m \times n_1 n_2 \dots n_m,$$

i.e. a $n \times n$ matrix of elements $(0, 1_{hk})$ where $1_{hk} \in L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j$

for $h, k = 1, 2, \dots, (n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m)$ of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 (0, l_{11}) & \dots & (0, l_{1, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{11}) & \dots & (0, l_{1, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) \\
 (0, l_{21}) & \dots & (0, l_{2, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{21}) & \dots & (0, l_{2, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, 1}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, 1}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 (0, l_{11}) & \dots & (0, l_{1, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{11}) & \dots & (0, l_{1, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) \\
 (0, l_{21}) & \dots & (0, l_{2, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{21}) & \dots & (0, l_{2, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, 1}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, n_{i+1} \dots n_m}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, 1}) & \dots & (0, l_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m, n_{i+1} \dots n_m})
 \end{array}$$

Since $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j$ is a latin square, the above matrix has only $n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m$ symbols. Each symbol can be seen to be replicated $n_1 n_2 \dots n_i$ times in each row and each column. Hence $0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j$ is an $F(n; (n_1 n_2 \dots n_i) n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m)$ - square for $j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1}-1)$. We need now show that these $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ F-squares are mutually orthogonal. Let

$$F_j = 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j \quad \text{and} \quad F_{j'} = 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^{j'}$$

for $j \neq j'$, be two F-squares from this set. By MacNeish (1922) $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j$ and $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^{j'}$ are mutually orthogonal.

Let $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j = \{(0, 1_{hk})\}$ where $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^j = \{1_{hk}\}$
 and let $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^{j'} = \{(0, 1'_{hk})\}$ where $L_{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m}^{j'} = \{1'_{hk}\}$.

Then F_j and $F_{j'}$ have the following forms:

$$F_j = \begin{pmatrix} L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j & & & \\ & L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F_{j'} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'} & & & \\ & L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j$ and $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'}$ are mutually orthogonal so are $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j$ and $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'}$ because of their form. Hence F_j and

$F_{j'}$ are mutually orthogonal by looking at their above forms. Thus the $(n_{i+1} - n_i) F(n; (n_1 n_2 \dots n_i)^{n_{i+1} n_{i+2} \dots n_m})$ - squares constructed are a mutually orthogonal set.

It remains to be shown that the $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ F-squares constructed from

$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j$ for $j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1} - 1)$ are

orthogonal to the $(n_{i'+1} - n_i')$ F-squares constructed from

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1}}^{j'} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^{j'} \text{ for } j' = n_{i'}, \dots, (n_{i'+1} - 1)$$

where $i > i'$. We have that

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j = 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \dots n_m$$

$$\text{and } 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1}}^{j'} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^{j'}$$

$$= 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1}}^{j'} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_i}^{j'} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^{j'} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^{j'}$$

$$= 0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1} \dots n_i}^{j'} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'}$$

By MacNeish (1922), $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j$ is orthogonal to $L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'}$. Also,

trivially $0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i}$ is orthogonal to $0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1} \dots n_i}^{j'}$.

These facts together with looking at the form of the matrix

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^j \text{ and the matrix}$$

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i'}} \oplus L_{n_{i'+1} \dots n_i}^{j'} \oplus L_{n_{i+1} \dots n_m}^{j'} \text{ show that these F-squares}$$

are orthogonal. By a similar argument, we can see that the $(n_1 - 1)$ latin squares formed from $L_{n_1}^j \oplus L_{n_2}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, (n_1 - 1)$

mutually orthogonal to the $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ F-squares formed from

$$0_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_i} \oplus L_{n_{i+1}}^j \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n_m}^j \text{ for } j = n_i, \dots, (n_{i+1} - 1) \text{ and}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, (m - 1)$.

Finally, we establish that the total number of mutually orthogonal

F-squares is $(p_m^{e_m} - 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(p_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} - p_i^{e_i} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^{e_i} - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i^{e_i} \\ &= p_m^{e_m} - p_0^{e_0} \\ &= p_m^{e_m} - 1 . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

In closing, we present the following two examples of the use of theorem 2.2.

EXAMPLE. 2.1. For order $n = 5 \cdot 2 = 10$, there exists a set of $5 - 1 = 4$ mutually orthogonal F-squares. The set contains one $F(10 ; 1^{10})$ -square, that is a latin square of order 10, and three $F(10 ; 2^5)$ -squares.

EXAMPLE. 2.2. For order $n = 25 \cdot 3 = 75$, there exists a set of $25 - 1 = 24$ mutually orthogonal F-squares. The set contains two $F(75 ; 1^{75})$ -squares, that is two latin squares of order 75, and twenty two $F(75 ; 3^{25})$ -squares.

REFERENCES

- [1] FEDERER, W. T. (1977). On the existence and construction of a complete set of orthogonal $F(4t ; 2t, 2t)$ - squares design. *Ann. Statist.* 5, 561-564.
- [2] HEDAYAT, A., RAGHAVARAO, D. and SEIDEN, E. (1975). Further contributions to the theory of F-squares design. *Ann. Statist.* 3, 712-716.
- [3] HEDAYAT, A. and SEIDEN, E. (1970). F-square and orthogonal F-square design: A generalization of Latin square and orthogonal Latin squares design. *Ann. Statist.* 41, 2035-2044.
- [4] KIRTON, H. C. and SEBERRY, J. (1978). Generation of a frequency square orthogonal to a 10×10 Latin square. *Proc. International Conference on Combinatorial Theory, Canberra 1977.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] MacNEISH, H. F. (1922). Eulers squares. *Ann. Math.*, 23, 221-227.