

UNBIASED NONNEGATIVE-DEFINITE QUADRATIC ESTIMATION
OF A SINGLE VARIANCE COMPONENT

BU-650-M

by

June, 1978

F. Pukelsheim*

Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Abstract

Unbiased quadratic estimation of an individual variance component is considered under the additional requirement of nonnegative-definiteness. It is shown that this procedure automatically entails a reduction from the original model with k variance components to a submodel where the variance component that is to be estimated is the only remaining parameter.

1. Introduction

By definition, let a variance component model for a random \mathbb{R}^n -vector y be specified by linear decompositions of both the mean vector and the dispersion matrix:

$$y \sim (X\beta; \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2 V_i), \quad (1.1)$$

where X is a known rectangular matrix, and V_1, \dots, V_k are known MND (symmetric and nonnegative-definite) matrices, while the parameters β , and $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2$ are to be estimated.

Paper No. BU-650-M in the Biometrics Unit Mimeo Series.

* Visiting Research Fellow from Stanford University, Stanford, California, and Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg im Breisgau, Federal Republic of Germany.

For example, a general linear model $y = X\beta + \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i u_i$ with its standard assumptions for the random effects u_i leads to (1.1), with $V_i = Z_i Z_i'$.

We shall be concerned with estimating a single σ_j^2 by a quadratic form $y'Ay$ which is to be unbiased and NND. Negative estimates of variance components form a major problem in linear model theory; see the discussion in Searle (1971, pp. 406-408). Unbiased quadratic estimation under the additional constraint of non-negative-definiteness was first investigated by LaMotte (1973). P. S. R. S. Rao and Chaubey (1977) proved our Theorem 1 for the particular model with heteroscedastic variances. Further results will be found in Pukelsheim (1976, 1977, 1978).

Theorem 1 below shows that unbiasedness and nonnegative-definiteness automatically imply a reduction from the original model (1.1) to the smaller model

$$Q_j y \sim (0; \sigma_j^2 Q_j V_j Q_j), \quad (1.2)$$

where Q_j is an appropriately constructed projector (idempotent and symmetric matrix). In the Q_j -reduced model (1.2), σ_j^2 is the only surviving parameter; if $Q_j V_j Q_j \neq 0$ then

$$\frac{1}{\text{trace } Q_j V_j Q_j} y' Q_j V_j Q_j y \quad (1.3)$$

is an unbiased NND quadratic estimator for σ_j^2 , and otherwise no such estimator exists.

2. Nonnegative Estimation of σ_j^2

An often accepted restriction in variance component estimation is that of considering the class of unbiased quadratic estimates which depend on y only through the residuals My , where

$$M := I_n - XX^+ . \quad (2.1)$$

This is not, however, a genuine restriction in the present context, since it is a consequence of unbiasedness and nonnegative-definiteness holding simultaneously:

Lemma 1. If $y'Ay$ is NND and unbiased for σ_j^2 , then $y'Ay = (My)'A(My)$.

Proof. [2, p. 324], [3, p. 9]. In model (1.1), unbiasedness of $y'Ay$ for σ_j^2 implies $X'AX = 0$. Writing $A = B'B$, one obtains $BX = 0$, and $AX = B'BX = 0$. Hence $MAM = [I_n - X(X'X)^-X']A[I_n - X(X'X)^-X'] = A$. $\quad \checkmark$

Lemma 1 states that for the present estimation procedure it suffices to investigate the M-reduced model

$$My \sim (0; \Sigma \sigma_i^2 MV_i M) , \quad (2.2)$$

rather than the original model (1.1). A similar argument now leads to the Q_j -reduced model (1.2). Define

$$V := \Sigma V_i , \quad (2.3)$$

$$Q_j := M - M(V - V_j)M[M(V - V_j)M]^+ ,$$

i.e., Q_j projects onto the orthogonal complement of the nullspace of $M(V - V_j)M$ in the range of M .

Lemma 2. If $y'Ay$ is NND and unbiased for σ_j^2 , then $y'Ay = (Q_j y)'A(Q_j y)$.

Proof. [4, p. 14]. In model (2.2), unbiasedness of $y'Ay$ for σ_j^2 implies $0 = \text{trace } AMV_i M$ for $i \neq j$, and summation yields $0 = \text{trace } AM(V - V_j)M$. Writing $A = B'B$, and $M(V - V_j)M = \sum_{i \neq j} MV_i M = CC'$, one obtains $0 = \text{trace } B'BCC' = \|BC\|^2$, and $AC = B'BC = 0$. Because of $Q_j = I_n - XX^+ - CC^+$, this entails $Q_j A Q_j = A$. $\quad \checkmark$

The projector Q_j is such that $Q_j X = 0$, and $Q_j M V_i M = 0$ for $i \neq j$. [Sketch of proof: It suffices to show that

$$M \sum_{l \neq j} V_l M [M \sum_{l \neq j} V_l M]^+ M V_i M = M V_i M$$

for $i \neq j$. For a fixed $i \neq j$, this may be identified with the general case

$(DD' + EE')(DD' + EE')^+ D = D$. The latter equation is proved as follows:

- (i) $(DD' + EE')(DD' + EE')^+$ projects onto the range (column space) of $DD' + EE'$.
- (ii) But because of $DD' + EE' = [D : E][D : E]'$, the range of $DD' + EE'$ coincides with that of $[D : E]$, and hence contains the range of D .]

Thus Lemma 2 means a restriction to the Q_j -reduced model (1.2). Up to now we worked under the assumption that an unbiased NND quadratic estimator for σ_j^2 exists, but Q_j also allows us to ascertain such existence.

Lemma 3. There exists an unbiased NND quadratic estimator for σ_j^2 if and only if $Q_j V_j Q_j \neq 0$.

Proof. Direct part. The proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3, p. 11] yields a vector x such that $M(V - V_j)Mx = 0 \neq M V_j Mx$. For this x one obtains $x' Q_j V_j Q_j x = x' M V_j Mx \neq 0$, hence $Q_j V_j Q_j \neq 0$.

Converse part. Since $\text{trace } Q_j V_j Q_j V_j = \|Q_j V_j Q_j\|^2 \neq 0$, the assertion is satisfied by the estimator in (1.3). /

In summary, unbiased NND estimation of a single variance component always reduces to the trivial case (1.2) with one and only one variance component:

Theorem 1. In model (1.1) there exists an unbiased NND quadratic estimator for any individual variance component σ_j^2 if and only if $Q_j V_j Q_j \neq 0$. In this case every such estimator depends on y only through $Q_j y$, i.e., attention may be restricted to the Q_j -reduced model (1.2) with standard estimate (1.3). /

Note that one may reformulate the present setup so that Lemma 2 precedes Lemma 1, with necessary changes. That is, $0 = \text{trace } A(V - V_j)$ implies a reduction to $\bar{Q}Y \sim (\bar{Q}X\beta; \sigma_j^2 \bar{Q}V_j \bar{Q})$, where $\bar{Q} := I_n - (V - V_j)(V - V_j)^+$; and an equivalent of Lemma 1 leads to $\bar{M}\bar{Q}Y \sim (0; \sigma_j^2 \bar{M}\bar{Q}V_j \bar{Q}\bar{M})$, where $\bar{M} = I_n - \bar{Q}X(\bar{Q}X)^+$. This approach was chosen by P. S. R. S. Rao and Chaubey (1977, pp. 7-8).

Acknowledgment

This paper originated with a talk presented at the Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, in June 1978. The author would like to thank Professors S. R. Searle and W. T. Federer for their kind invitation and valuable discussions.

References

- [1] LaMotte, L. R. (1973). On non-negative quadratic unbiased estimation of variance components. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 68:728-730.
- [2] Pukelsheim, F. (1976). On Hsu's model in regression analysis. Math. Operationsforsch. Statist. Ser. Statist. 8:323-331.
- [3] Pukelsheim, F. (1977). Linear models and convex programs: unbiased non-negative estimation in variance component models. Technical Report No. 104, Department of Statistics, Stanford University.
- [4] Pukelsheim, F. (1978). Examples for unbiased nonnegative estimation in variance component models. Technical Report No. 113, Department of Statistics, Stanford University.
- [5] Rao, P. S. R. S. and Y. P. Chaubey (1977). Three modifications of the principle of MINQUE. Typescript.
- [6] Searle, S. R. (1971). Linear Models. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.