ABSTRACT

To save space, the reader is referred to Hedayat and Seiden (1970) and Raghavarao (1971) for details and definitions of terms used here. Familiarity with the algebra of statistical designs is assumed. Our main purpose in this paper is to obtain the best possible bound on the number of orthogonal F-squares with certain parameters and to give a construction method for some families of orthogonal F-squares which achieve this bound. Also, we present a set of four mutually orthogonal F-squares of order 6 based on three symbols. This later design is important because there are no orthogonal Latin squares of order 6 which could be used for this purpose as has been pointed out by Hedayat and Seiden (1970). We indicate a method of composing orthogonal F-squares. Finally, we will indicate under what condition a set of orthogonal F-squares can be transformed into an orthogonal array, a structure which is useful for factorial experimentation.

Subject classification - 62 -

Key words: F-square design; orthogonal arrays; Latin squares.

1/ On leave from Punjab Agricultural University (India).

This work was partially supported under an NIH Research Grant No. 5-RO1-GM-05900.
1. Introduction and summary. To save space, the reader is referred to Hedayat and Seiden (1970) and Raghavarao (1971) for details and definitions of terms used here. Familiarity with the algebra of statistical designs is assumed. Our main purpose in this paper is to obtain the best possible bound on the number of orthogonal F-squares with certain parameters and to give a construction method for some families of orthogonal F-squares which achieve this bound. Also, we present a set of four mutually orthogonal F-squares of order 6 based on three symbols. This later design is important because there is no orthogonal Latin squares of order 6 which could be used for this purpose as has been pointed out by Hedayat and Seiden (1970). We indicate a method of composing orthogonal F-squares. Finally, we will indicate under what condition a set of orthogonal F-squares can be transformed into an orthogonal array, a structure which is useful for factorial experimentation.

2. Maximal number of orthogonal F-squares. Analogous to the result that the maximal number of mutually orthogonal Latin squares of side $n$ is $n-1$, we have the following:

THEOREM 2.1. The maximal number, $t$, of orthogonal F-squares of the type $F(n;\lambda)$, where $n = \lambda m$, satisfies the inequality
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(2.1) \[ t \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{(m-1)} \]

PROOF. Let \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_t \) be a set of \( t \) orthogonal \( F \)-squares of the type \( F(n;\lambda) \). Based on \( F \alpha \) we define an \( n^2 \times m \) matrix \( N = (n_{\alpha,ij,k}) \), where \( n_{\alpha,ij,k} = 1 \), if the \( k \)th symbol occurs in the \((i,j)\)th cell \((i = 1,2,\ldots,n; j = 1,2,\ldots,n)\) of \( F \alpha \) and 0, otherwise. Let

\[
M = (N_1 | N_2 | \cdots | N_t).
\]

Using the property of \( F \)-squares, we can easily see that the number of independent rows in \( M \) are at most \((n-1)^2 + 1\) and

\[
R(M) \leq \min((n-1)^2 + 1, tm),
\]

where \( R(M) \) denotes the rank of the matrix \( M \).

Again,

\[
M'M = \begin{bmatrix}
n^\lambda I_m & \lambda^2 J_m & \cdots & \lambda^2 J_m \\
\lambda^2 J_m & n^\lambda I_m & \cdots & \lambda^2 J_m \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\lambda^2 J_m & \lambda^2 J_m & \cdots & n^\lambda I_m
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( I_m \) is the identity matrix of order \( m \) and \( J_m \) is the \( m \times m \) matrix with the element \( 1 \) everywhere. The eigenvalues of \( M'M \) are \( n^\lambda \lambda, m^\lambda, \) and 0 with respective multiplicities \( 1, t(m-1) \) and \( t-1 \). Thus

\[
 tm - t + 1 = R(M'M) = R(M) \leq \min((n-1)^2 + 1, tm),
\]

from which the required inequality (2.1) follows.
Clearly, when \( \lambda = 1 \), we obtain the following:

**COROLLARY 2.1.** The maximal number of orthogonal \( F(n;1) \) squares, that is, the maximal number of orthogonal Latin squares of order \( n \), is \( n-1 \).

The method of proof of Theorem 2.1 can be applied to prove Theorem 2.2.4 of Raghavarao (1971, p. 16) on the maximal number of constraints in an orthogonal array \((\lambda s^2, k, s, 2)\).

3. Construction of maximal number of orthogonal \( F \)-squares. Let the number of symbols in the \( F \)-squares, \( m \), be a prime or a prime power and let \( \lambda = m^h \). Consider a symmetrical factorial experiment in \( 2h + 2 \) factors, each factor being at \( m \) levels and let the \( m^{2h+2} \) treatment combinations be arranged in an \( m^h + 1 \times m^h + 1 \) square array, \( A \), such that between the rows the effects or interactions corresponding to pencils \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{h+1} \) and their generalized interactions are confounded; and between the columns the effects or interactions corresponding to pencils \( P'_1, P'_2, \ldots, P'_{h+1} \) and their generalized interactions are confounded. The pencils, which are not confounded either between the rows or columns, are \( s = (m^h + 1 - 1)^2/(m - 1) \) in number and let them be \( Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_s \). Each of these determine an \( F \)-square. We construct \( F \) from \( Q \) by mapping the treatment combinations of \( A \) to the number of the \( (m-1) \)-flat of \( Q \) to which that treatment combination belongs. Since the pencils \( Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_s \) belong to orthogonal contrasts, \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_s \) are mutually orthogonal \( F \)-squares and that set has the maximal number of orthogonal \( F \)-squares.

The above construction method will be elucidated with the following example:

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** Let \( m = 2 \) and \( \lambda = 2 \) so that we want to construct 9 mutually orthogonal \( F \)-squares of the type \( F(4;2) \).

Consider a \( 2^4 \) factorial experiment in factors \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) and let the treatment combinations be written in a \( 4 \times 4 \) array \( A \), confounding the interactions \( A, B \) and \( AB \) between rows and \( C, D \) and \( CD \) between columns. Such an \( A \) is exhibited below:
The interactions which are not confounded between rows and columns of $A$ are 9 in number and they are $AC, BC, AD, DD, ABC, ABD, ACD, BCD, ABCD$. Now by mapping the treatment combinations of $A$ into 1 or 0 according as it has a plus sign in the interaction $AC$, or not, we have

\[
F_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Analogously, from the other interactions, we obtain the following $F$-squares

\[
F_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_5 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_6 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_7 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_8 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F_9 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It can be verified that $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_9\}$ forms a maximal set of mutually orthogonal $F$-squares of the type $F(4;2)$. 
4. On mutually orthogonal F-squares for a composite order. Let \( F_1 \) be an \( f(n;\lambda_1',\ldots,\lambda_t') \) and \( F_2 \) be an \( F(m;\xi_1',\ldots,\xi_k) \). Then the following proposition can be easily verified.

PROPOSITION 4.1. \( F_1 \otimes F_2 \) is an \( F(mn;\alpha_{11},\ldots,\alpha_{tk}) \) where \( \alpha_{ij} = \lambda_i \xi_j' \).

PROPOSITION 4.2. If \( F_1 \perp F_2 \) and \( F_3 \perp F_4 \), then \( F_1 \otimes F_3 \perp F_2 \otimes F_4 \).

However the above propositions or the method described in Section 3 will not hold to get the maximal number of mutually orthogonal F-squares. Even for the smallest possible \( n \), i.e., \( n = 6 \), the problem is complicated. However, from the orthogonal array \((36, 13, 3, 2)\) constructed by Seiden (1954) four mutually orthogonal \( F(6;3) \) squares were obtained and are exhibited below.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1  \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0  \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1  \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0  \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1  \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0  \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0  \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0  \\
\end{array}
\]

It is not known at this stage whether the above set of mutually orthogonal \( F(6;3) \) squares can be embedded in a larger set. It may be noted that the above set of F-squares have a special structure and this might be the reason for the difficulty in extending the set.

Closing remarks. It is well known that the existence of a set of \( t \) mutually orthogonal \( F(n;1) \) squares is equivalent to the existence of an orthogonal array \((n^2, t+2, n, 2)\). Therefore, it is useful to find out what relationship, if any,
exists between arbitrary orthogonal F-squares and orthogonal arrays or partially balanced arrays. It may be noted that the existence of a set of $t$ mutually orthogonal $F(n;\lambda)$ squares implies the existence of an orthogonal array $(n^2, t+2, n/\lambda, 2)$.
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