

Tests of Linear Hypotheses Using  
a Generalized Inverse Matrix

S. R. Searle

BU-199-M

June, 1965

Abstract

A generalized inverse of the matrix  $X'X$  can be defined as any matrix  $G$  for which  $X'XGX'X = X'X$ . One such matrix can be developed from reducing  $X'X$  to diagonal form; in so doing,  $G$  is symmetric and satisfies  $GX'XG = G$ .

Solutions to normal equations  $X'Xb = X'y$  derived for the linear model  $E(y) = Xb$  can then be expressed as  $\hat{b} = GX'y$ . If  $H = GX'X$  the hypothesis  $Q'b = m$  can be tested provided  $Q'H = Q'$ . On the basis of normality assumptions the F-value for testing the hypothesis is  $F = (Q'\hat{b} - m)'(Q'GQ)^{-1} (Q'\hat{b} - m)/s\hat{\sigma}^2$ , where  $s$  is the rank and order of  $Q'$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = (y'y - \hat{b}X'y)/(n - r)$ ,  $n$  being the number of observations and  $r$  the rank of  $X$ .

Tests of Linear Hypotheses Using  
a Generalized Inverse Matrix

S. R. Searle

BU-199-M

June, 1965

"Generalized inverse" and allied expressions are defined in various places (e.g. Penrose, 1955, Greville, 1957, Rao, 1962 and Goldman and Zelen, 1964). The definition chosen here is that G is a generalized inverse of A if

$$AGA = A \quad \text{---(1)}$$

Utilizing this definition, the first part of this paper summarizes results given in Rao (1962).

A generalized inverse of a symmetric matrix

If A is symmetric at least one method of obtaining a matrix G that satisfies (1) also leads to having

$$GAG = G \quad \text{---(2)}$$

Such a matrix can be derived from first reducing A to diagonal form. Suppose this reduction is

$$PAP' = \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} D_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{---(3)}$$

where  $D_r$  is a diagonal matrix of r non-zero elements, r being the rank of A (of order k). Then, in defining

$$\Delta^- = \begin{bmatrix} D_r^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{---(4)}$$

and

$$G = P'\Delta^-P, \quad \text{---(5)}$$

it is clear that G is symmetric and has rank r. Because G satisfies (1) it is, in the context of this paper, a generalized inverse of A. It also satisfies (2); and clearly, by its definition, it is not unique.

The product GA is of interest in subsequent developments. Let it be denoted by H:

$$H = GA. \quad \text{-----} \quad (6)$$

Then, because G and A have rank r, so does H, and because of (1)

$$H^2 = H, \quad \text{-----} \quad (7)$$

i.e. H is idempotent with rank r.

Solutions to linear equations

If the equations

$$Ax = u \quad \text{-----} \quad (8)$$

are consistent, then

$$\tilde{x} = Gu + (H - I)z$$

is a solution of (8) for z being any arbitrary vector of order k. In particular, when z is taken as a null vector

$$\tilde{x} = Gu \quad \text{-----} \quad (9)$$

is a solution. Furthermore, if

$$q'H = q' \quad \text{-----} \quad (10)$$

then  $q'\tilde{x}$  is unique, no matter what solution  $\tilde{x}$  given by (9) is used.

The linear model

The general linear model can be written as

$$y = Xb + e \quad \text{-----} \quad (11)$$

where y is a vector of n observations, b is a vector of the k parameters of the model, X is the "design" matrix and e is a vector of random error terms having variance-covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 I$ .<sup>1</sup> The normal equations resulting from

---

<sup>1</sup> Note: b is a vector of parameters, and  $\hat{b}$  an estimate of it.

the least squares procedure are

$$X'X\hat{b} = X'y \quad \text{---(12)}$$

where  $\hat{b}$  is the solution corresponding to the parameter vector  $b$ .

Equations (12) are exactly analogous to (8). Let  $G$  now be a generalized inverse of  $X'X$ , defined in the manner of (5). Then, corresponding to (9), a solution of (12) is

$$\hat{b} = GX'y \quad \text{---(13)}$$

Estimable functions

As in (6), define  $H$  as  $H = GX'X$ . Then if, as in (10),  $q'H = q'$ , the function  $q'\hat{b}$  of the solution (13) is unique. Furthermore, the expected value of this function is

$$\begin{aligned} E(q'\hat{b}) &= q'GX'E(y) \\ &= q'Hb \\ &= q'b \quad \text{---(14)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $q'\hat{b}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $q'b$ : and because  $q'\hat{b}$  is unique it is the unbiased estimator of the estimable function  $q'b$ .

The variance of  $\hat{b}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{b}) &= GX'E(ee')XG \\ &= G\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

and the variance of  $q'\hat{b}$  is

$$\text{var}(q'\hat{b}) = q'Gq\sigma^2. \quad \text{---(15)}$$

As shown by Rao (1962), this variance is less than that of any other linear unbiased estimator of  $q'b$ . Hence  $q'\hat{b}$  is the unique, minimum variance, linear, unbiased estimator of the estimable function  $q'b$ .

The above results are equivalent to those given in Rao (1962). We now turn to additional topics.

What functions are estimable?

Results (14) and (15) are true for any  $q'$  for which (10) is true; i.e. for which  $q'H = q'$ . The question of whether or not a particular function  $q'b$  is estimable can therefore be answered by ascertaining if  $q'$  satisfies  $q'H = q'$ . If it does, the function is estimable, otherwise it is not estimable. By this means the estimability of any linear function of the parameters can be investigated.

There is however, a second question of interest, namely "what functions are estimable?", i.e. what values of  $q'$  do satisfy  $q'H = q'$ ? Utilizing (7) the answer is simple. For any arbitrary vector  $w'$  (of order  $k$ , the number of parameters in  $b$ ) the vector

$$q' = w'H \quad \text{--- (16)}$$

satisfies  $q'H = q'$ . Furthermore, because the rank of  $H$  is the same as the rank of  $X'X$ ,  $r$  say, the number of linearly independent vectors  $q'$  given by (16) is  $r$ ; i.e. there are only  $r$  linearly independent estimable functions.

Use of (16) leads to an explicit expression for the estimable function  $q'b$  in terms of the elements of the arbitrary vector  $w'$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q'b &= (w'H)b \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i h_{i1}\right)b_1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i h_{i2}\right)b_2 + \dots + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i h_{ik}\right)b_k \quad \text{--- (17)} \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of each parameter  $b_i$  in this expression is a linear function of the elements  $w_i$  of  $w'$ , namely the  $i$ 'th element of  $w'H$ .

The estimator of the estimable function (17) is, for  $q'$  satisfying (16),

$$q'\hat{b} = q'GX'y = w'HGX'y.$$

In using a generalized inverse that satisfies (2), which is equivalent to  $HG = G$ , the form of  $q'\hat{b}$  therefore reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} q'\hat{b} &= w'GX'y \\ &= w'\hat{b} \\ &= w_1\hat{b}_1 + w_2\hat{b}_2 + \dots + w_k\hat{b}_k \quad \text{--- (18)} \end{aligned}$$

Equations (17) and (18) now provide opportunity for developing a whole series of estimable functions and the estimator of each. For any arbitrary set of values used for the  $w_i$ 's in (17),  $q'b$  as there defined will be an estimable function, and using the same values of the  $w_i$ 's in (18) gives the estimator of the estimable function. The  $\hat{b}_i$ 's in (18) are, of course, the numerical values obtained in the solution  $\hat{b} = GX'y$  given in (13).

As in (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(q'\hat{b}) &= q'Gq\sigma^2 \\ &= w'HGH'w\sigma^2 \\ &= w'GX'XGX'XG'w\sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

and because of results like (1) and (2) this reduces to

$$\text{var}(q'\hat{b}) = w'Gw\sigma^2. \quad \text{---(19)}$$

Similarly the covariance between two estimators  $q_1'\hat{b}$  and  $q_2'\hat{b}$  for which  $q_1' = w_1'H$  and  $q_2' = w_2'H$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(q_1'\hat{b}, q_2'\hat{b}) &= q_1'Gq_2'\sigma^2 \\ &= w_1'Gw_2'\sigma^2. \quad \text{---(20)} \end{aligned}$$

Residual variance

For the solution  $\hat{b} = GX'y$ , the vector of predicted y-values is

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{b} = XGX'y$$

and hence the residual sum of squares is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= (y - \hat{y})'(y - \hat{y}) \\ &= (y - X\hat{b})'(y - X\hat{b}) \\ &= y'(I - XGX')y \quad \text{---(21)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= y'y - \hat{b}'X'y. \quad \text{---(22)}$$

Since it can be shown that  $XGX'$  is unique no matter what generalized inverse of  $X'X$  is used for  $G$ ,  $\text{SSR}$  is, as one would expect, unique. The form given in (22)

is the most suitable computationally, namely the total uncorrected sum of squares  $y'y$  after subtracting from it the sum of products of the elements in  $\hat{b}$  each multiplied by the corresponding right-hand side of the equation  $X'X\hat{b} = X'y$ . On the other hand, the form given in (21) is suitable for finding the expected value of SSR. Thus, substituting (11) in (21) gives

$$SSR = e'(I - XGX')e .$$

Then, because  $E(e) = 0$ ,  $var(e) = \sigma^2 I$  and  $I - XGX'$  is idempotent with rank  $n - r$ , a theorem from Graybill (1961) may be invoked to give

$$E(SSR) = (n - r)\sigma^2 .$$

Hence, an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSR/(n - r) . \quad \text{--- (23)}$$

Tests of hypotheses

Consider the general linear hypothesis  $Q'b = m$ , where  $Q'b$  consists of  $s$  linearly independent estimable functions  $q_i'b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . The vector  $m$  is a vector of  $s$  arbitrary constants. We consider cases in which  $s \leq k - r$ ,  $k$  being the order of  $b$  and  $r$  the rank of  $X$ .

It has just been shown that after fitting the model (11) the residual sum of squares is as given in (21), and the corresponding estimator of the residual variance is  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  shown in (23). Now consider the residual sum of squares after fitting the reduced model, namely  $y = Xb + e$  restricted by the hypothesis  $Q'b = m$ . Were this model to be written as  $y = X_1b + \epsilon$ , the normal equations would be  $X_1'X_1\tilde{b} = X_1'y$  and, corresponding to (21), the residual sum of squares after fitting the model would be  $SSR_1 = y'(I - X_1G_1X_1')y$ , where  $G_1$  is a generalized inverse of  $X_1'X_1$ . Then, based on normality assumptions, the F-test of the hypothesis would depend on

$$F = (SSR_1 - SSR)/s\hat{\sigma}^2 \quad \text{--- (24)}$$

which has the F-distribution with  $s$  and  $n - r$  degrees of freedom.

To avoid the necessity of deriving the normal equations  $X_1'X_1\tilde{b} = X_1'y$ , their

solution  $G_1 X_1' y$ , and thence  $SSR_1$  for every hypothesis that one wants to test, we develop an expression for  $SSR_1$  in terms of  $X$  and the hypothesis  $Q'b = m$ . It is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem. When fitting the linear model  $y = Xb + e$ , the numerator sum of squares of the F-value used for testing the (testable) general linear hypothesis  $Q'b = m$ , for  $Q'$  consisting of  $s$  linearly independent rows, is  $(Q'\hat{b} - m)'(Q'GQ)^{-1}(Q'\hat{b} - m)$  where  $\hat{b} = GX'y$  is a solution to the normal equations  $X'X\hat{b} = X'y$  and  $G$  is a symmetric generalized inverse of  $X'X$ .

The following lemma is used in proving the theorem.

Lemma.  $Q'GQ$  is non-singular.

Proof of lemma. Because  $Q'b = m$  is a testable hypothesis the rows of  $Q'b$  are estimable functions and therefore  $Q'H = Q'$  where  $H = GX'X$ . Hence

$$Q'GQ = Q'HGQ = Q'GX'XGQ = Q'GX'(Q'GX')' ,$$

so that  $r(Q'GQ) = r(Q'GX')$ . But  $Q' = Q'H = Q'GX'X$ ; therefore, by the rule for the rank of a product,  $r(Q') = s \leq r(Q'GX')$ , and also  $r(Q'GX') \leq r(Q') = s$ . Hence  $r(Q'GX') = s$ , and so therefore does the rank of  $Q'GQ$ . But  $s$  is the order of  $Q'GQ$ . Therefore  $Q'GQ$  is non-singular.

Proof of theorem. Fitting the reduced model is equivalent to fitting the full model  $y = Xb + e$  subject to the condition  $Q'b = m$ . The appropriate normal equations are derived by minimizing  $(y - Xb)'(y - Xb) + 2\lambda'(Q'b - m)$  where  $\lambda'$  is a vector of Lagrange multipliers. The resulting equations are

$$X'X\tilde{b} + Q\lambda = X'y \quad \text{--- (25)}$$

and  $Q'\tilde{b} = m \quad \text{--- (26)}$

Using  $G$  and  $GX'y = \hat{b}$ , equation (25) can be solved as

$$\tilde{b} = \hat{b} - GQ\lambda \quad \text{--- (27)}$$

Pre-multiplying (27) by  $Q'$ , substituting from (26) and using the lemma gives

$$\lambda = (Q'GQ)^{-1}(Q'\hat{b} - m) , \quad \text{--- (28)}$$

and substitution back into (27) yields

$$\tilde{b} = \hat{b} - GQ(Q'GQ)^{-1}(Q'\hat{b} - m) \quad \text{--- (29)}$$



For  $\tilde{y} = X\tilde{b}$  the residual sum of squares after fitting the reduced model is

$$SSR_1 = (y - X\tilde{b})'(y - X\tilde{b}) .$$

Substituting for  $\tilde{b}$  from (27) this leads, after a little reduction to

$$\begin{aligned} SSR_1 &= (y - X\hat{b})'(y - X\hat{b}) + \lambda'Q'GQ\lambda \\ &= SSR + \lambda'Q'GQ\lambda \end{aligned} \quad \text{---(30)}$$

so that expression (24) for F becomes

$$F = \lambda'Q'GQ\lambda / s\hat{\sigma}^2$$

and from (28) this is

$$F = (Q'\hat{b} - m)'(Q'GQ)^{-1}(Q'\hat{b} - m) / s\hat{\sigma}^2 \quad \text{---(31)}$$

Hence the theorem is proved. With  $Q'\hat{b}$  being the estimator of the estimable functions  $Q'b$  in the full model it is apparent that once  $b = GX'y$  has been calculated, F is readily obtainable.

A by-product of the theorem is the solution of the normal equations in the reduced model, given in (30), for which the variance-covariance matrix is

$$\text{var}(\tilde{b}) = [G - GQ(Q'GQ)^{-1}Q'G]\sigma^2 \quad \text{---(32)}$$

In situations where m is a null vector the expressions for F and  $\tilde{b}$  reduce to the simpler forms

$$F = \hat{b}'Q(Q'GQ)^{-1}Q'\hat{b} / s\hat{\sigma}^2 \quad \text{---(33)}$$

and

$$\tilde{b} = \hat{b} - GQ(Q'GQ)^{-1}Q'\hat{b} \quad \text{---(34)}$$

This is the theorem given in Searle (1965a).

Example

The above expressions can be demonstrated by considering the simple, no-interaction, two-way, model

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + e_{ijk} ,$$

for which one might have the following unbalanced data.

A sample of 6 observations

Row	Column		Total
	1	2	
1	4, 7	3	14
2	5	2	7
3	1	no observation	1
Total	17	5	22

The normal equations (12), namely

$$X'X\hat{b} = X'y$$

are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 14 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 17 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{----- (35)}$$

where  $b$  is the vector of parameters  $b' = (\mu \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \beta_1 \beta_2)$  and  $X'y$  is the vector on the right-hand side of equation (35). By following the procedures suggested in (3), (4) and (5) it is found that a generalized inverse of  $X'X$  is

$$G = (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 13 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & -3 & -6 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and corresponding to (13) a solution of the normal equations is

$$\hat{b} = GX'y = (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ -12 \\ 19 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{---(36)}$$

The matrix H is

$$H = GX'X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and, using

$$w' = (w_1 \ w_2 \ w_3 \ w_4 \ w_5 \ w_6) \quad \text{---(37)}$$

as the arbitrary vector in equation (17), the estimable functions are

$$\begin{aligned} q'b &= w'Hb \\ &= (w_2 + w_3 + w_4)\mu + w_2\alpha_1 + w_3\alpha_2 + w_4\alpha_3 + w_5\beta_1 \\ &\quad + (w_2 + w_3 + w_4 - w_5)\beta_2. \quad \text{---(38)} \end{aligned}$$

From (18), (36) and (37) their estimators are

$$q'\hat{b} = w'\hat{b} = (1/7)(20w_2 + 15w_3 - 12w_4 + 19w_5) \quad \text{---(39)}$$

From (38) it is seen at once that  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ , for example, is estimable because, with  $w_2 = 1$ ,  $w_3 = -1$ ,  $w_4 = 0$  and  $w_5 = 0$ ,  $q'b$  reduces to  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ ; and with the same values of the  $w$ 's in (39) the estimate of  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$  is

$$\hat{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} = (1/7)(20 - 15) = 5/7 .$$

Likewise, with  $w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 0$  and  $w_5 = 1$  it is clear from (38) that  $\beta_1 - \beta_2$  is estimable and from (39) its estimate is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 = 19/7 .$$

Equation (19) gives the variance of an estimator as  $w'Gw\sigma^2$  and from (37) and the computed value of G this is

$$w'Gw\sigma^2 = (1/7)(5w_2^2 + 5w_3^2 + 13w_4^2 + 6w_5^2 + 4w_2w_3 + 8w_2w_4 - 8w_2w_5 + 6w_3w_4 - 6w_3w_5 - 12w_4w_5)\sigma^2 .$$

Hence the variances of  $\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$  are

$$\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2) = (1/7)(5 + 5 - 4) = 6\sigma^2/7$$

and

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2) = (1/7)(6)\sigma^2 = 6\sigma^2/7 ,$$

coincidentally the same.

The estimate of  $\sigma^2$  is obtained through using (22) and (23):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= y'y - \hat{b}'X'y \\ &= 104 - (1/7)[20(14) + 15(7) - 12(1) + 19(17)] \\ &= 104 - 696/7 \\ &= 32/7 . \end{aligned}$$

The rank of  $X'X$  is clearly 4 and there are 6 observations, so the estimated variance is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 32/7(6 - 4) = 32/14 . \quad \text{--- (40)}$$

The hypothesis  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  can be written as  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 0$  and we have seen that  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$  is estimable. Therefore the hypothesis can be tested. Writing it in the form  $Q'b = 0$  with

$$Q' = (0 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$$

we have

$$Q'\hat{b} = 5/7$$

and

$$Q'GQ = (1/7)(5 + 5 - 4) = 6/7 .$$

Therefore, from (33) and (40), the F-value for testing the hypothesis is

$$F = (5/7)(7/6)(5/7)/1(32/14) \\ = 25/96 .$$

And from (34) and (36) the solution for b when the hypothesis is true is

$$\tilde{b} = (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ -12 \\ 19 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (7/6)(5/7)$$

which reduces to

$$\tilde{b} = (1/6) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ -11 \\ 17 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

The hypothesis that the rows are all equal can also be tested; it can be written as

$$Q'b = 0$$

with

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

For this

$$Q'\hat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5/7 \\ 32/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q'GQ = (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$(Q'GQ)^{-1} = (1/8) \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Hence the F-value for testing this hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{(5/7 \quad 32/7)(1/8) \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5/7 \\ 32/7 \end{bmatrix}}{2(32/14)} \\ &= \frac{(1/56)(5 \quad 32) \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}}{32/7} \\ &= \frac{411/28}{32/7} \\ &= 411/128 . \end{aligned}$$

The solution for b under the null hypothesis of equality of the rows is, from (34) and (36)

$$\tilde{b} = (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ -12 \\ 19 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - (1/7) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \\ -3 & -1 \\ 1 & -9 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (1/8) \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5/7 \\ 32/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

which reduces to

$$\tilde{b} = (1/4) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

A check can be made on these calculations by noting that the hypothesis of equality of the rows is equivalent to the model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + e_{ijk}.$$

The normal equations for this are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 17 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

for which one solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mu} \\ \tilde{\beta}_1 \\ \tilde{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 17/4 \\ 5/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding residual sum of squares is

$$\begin{aligned} SSR_1 &= 104 - 17^2/4 - 5^2/2 \\ &= (416 - 289 - 50)/4 \\ &= 77/4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the F-value for testing the hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} & (SSR_1 - SSR)/2\hat{\sigma}^2 \\ &= \frac{77/4 - 32/7}{2(32/14)} \\ &= \frac{(539 - 128)/28}{32/7} \\ &= 411/128 \end{aligned}$$

as before. Further examples are to be found in Searle (1965b).

References

- Graybill, Franklin A. (1961). "An Introduction to Linear Statistical Models". McGraw-Hill.
- Greville, T. N. E. (1957). The pseudo inverse of a rectangular or singular matrix and its application to the solution of systems of linear equations. S.I.A.M. Newsletter 5, 3.
- Goldman, A. J. and Zelen, M. (1964). Weak generalized inverse and minimum variance linear unbiased estimation. J. of Res. Nat. Bur. of Standards, 68B, 151, 1964.
- Penrose, R. A. (1955). A generalized inverse for matrices. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 51, 406.
- Rao, C. R. (1962). A note on a generalized inverse of a matrix with applications to problems in mathematical statistics. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. (B), 24, 152-158.
- Searle, S. R. (1965a). Additional results concerning estimable functions and generalized inverse matrices. J. Roy. Stat. Soc. (B), (in press).
- Searle, S. R. (1965b). "Matrix Algebra for the Biological Sciences". John Wiley and Sons (in press).