A homogeneous group of individuals such as a single year class in a fish population is sampled at successive points in time to determine the survival rate (or death rate) during each successive time interval. Survival rate for any time interval is simply defined to be the ratio of final to initial population size for that interval. With no loss of generality, we shall assume the intervals to be of equal length and shall refer to the survival rate \( s_k \) for the \( k^{th} \) interval as an annual survival rate. The problem of estimating population size and survival rate from this type of experiment is covered extensively in the literature (see, for example, Bailey (1951), Hamersley (1953)), but with the assumption of a constant annual survival rate. The present approach to the problem was also taken by Darroch (1958, 1959) who was concerned principally with estimating population size.

**Sampling procedure.** The sampling procedure at each anniversary consists of selecting a random sample from the existing population, tagging all untagged individuals (individuals not previously "captured") and noting the year of tagging on each tagged individual ("recaptured" individual) in the sample. At the start of this procedure the population contains no tagged individuals; the first step then consists of capturing, tagging and releasing, say \( M_1 \) individuals. After one year a sample is taken from the surviving population and contains, in the notation of Ricker (1958), \( R_{12} \) recaptures along with \( M_2 \) untagged individuals. These \( M_2 \) individuals are tagged and released; the \( R_{12} \) recaptures may or may not be released, depending upon the mechanics of the sampling operation -- if, for example, some of the recaptures represent tag returns by sportsmen then these recaptures will not be returned to the population. At the next anniversary the sample may contain tags from both the previous releases, as well as untagged individuals; the number of recoveries from the first year's release will be denoted here by \( R_{13} \) and the number from the second year by \( R_{23} \), with \( M_3 \) new individuals being tagged and released. Continuation of this annual capture-recapture procedure then produces the recovery data shown in Table 1.

* This note is an expansion of an appendix from the paper under this title by Forney, Eipper and Robson.

**Biometrics Unit, Plant Breeding Department, Cornell University**
Table 1. Tag recoveries classified according to year of tagging and year of recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of tagging</th>
<th>Number tagged</th>
<th>Year of recovery</th>
<th>Total recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$M_1$</td>
<td>$R_{12}$</td>
<td>$R_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$M_2$</td>
<td>$R_{23}$</td>
<td>$R_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$M_3$</td>
<td>$R_{34}$</td>
<td>$R_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$M_n$</td>
<td>$R_{n,n+1}$</td>
<td>$R_n$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total recovery: $C_2, C_3, C_4, ..., C_{n+1}$

The parametric model. The survival rate $s_k$ from year $k$ to year $k+1$ is assumed to be the same for all individuals in this homogeneous population; that is, an individual's probability of survival is $s_k$ regardless of his tagging history. In year $k+1$ the expected number of survivors among the $M_1$ individuals tagged in year 1 is therefore $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k M_1$; the expected number of survivors among the $M_2$ individuals tagged in year 2 is $s_2 \cdots s_k M_2$. In general, among the $M_i$ individuals tagged in year $i$, the expected number of survivors in year $k+1$ is $s_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_k M_i$, and the probability of exactly $X_{i,k+1}$ survivors is a binomial probability

$$
\binom{M_i}{X_{i,k+1}} (s_i \cdots s_{k+1})^{X_{i,k+1}} (1-s_i \cdots s_{k+1})^{M_i-X_{i,k+1}}
$$

If $f_{k+1}$ is the catch rate in year $k+1$; that is, $f_{k+1}$ is the probability of capture for each individual in the population in year $k+1$, then the probability of recapture of exactly $R_{i,k+1}$ survivors is also binomial,

$$
P(R_{i,k+1}) = \sum_{X_{i,k+1}} P(X_{i,k+1}) P(R_{i,k+1}|X_{i,k+1})
$$

$$
= \binom{M_i}{R_{i,k+1}} (f_{k+1} \cdots s_k)^{R_{i,k+1}} (1-f_{k+1} \cdots s_k)^{M_i-R_{i,k+1}}
$$
Since catch rate is small, however, we shall, following Chapman and Robson, approximate this binomial function by the Poisson function with parameter $f_{k+1} s_i \cdots s_k M_l$. The $f_k$ parameters may then be eliminated from consideration by employing only the conditional distribution of recoveries for fixed total yearly catches; thus,

$$P(R_{1,k+1} \cdots R_{k,k+1} | C_{k+1}) = \frac{C_{k+1}^R}{\pi^R} \frac{f_{k+1} s_i \cdots s_k M_l}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{k+1} s_i \cdots s_k M_l}$$ (1)

Notice that both $f_{k+1}$ and $s_k$ cancel out of this expression.

The joint conditional distribution for the entire sample of $R_i$'s is a product of distributions of form (1), since catches in successive years are statistically independent, so the log-likelihood function $L$ takes the form

$$L = \text{constant} + \left( R_1 - C_2 \right) \log s_1 + \left( R_1 + R_2 - C_2 - C_3 \right) \log s_2 + \cdots + \left( R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - C_2 - \cdots - C_n \right) \log s_{n-1}$$

$$\cdots - C_2 \log (s_1 M_1 + s_2 M_2) - C_3 \log (s_1 s_2 M_1 + s_2 M_2 M_3) - \cdots - C_n \log (s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} M_l + s_{n-1} M_{l+1} + \cdots + M_n)$$

or, letting

$$T_i = (R_1 + \cdots + R_i) - (C_2 + \cdots + C_i)$$

$$b_k = (s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} M_1 + s_2 \cdots s_{k-1} M_2 + \cdots + M_k) s_k s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n-1}$$

then

$$L = \text{constant} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( T_{k+1} - R_{k+1} \right) \log s_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C_{k+2} \log \frac{b_{k+1}}{s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n-1}}$$

In this notation the maximum likelihood equations become

$$\delta L \over \delta s_k = \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{s_k} - C_{k+2} \delta \log \frac{b_{k+1}}{s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n-1}} - \cdots - C_{n+1} \delta \frac{b_n}{s_k} = 0$$

or, since

$$\delta \log b_{k+j} = \frac{(s_1 \cdots s_{k+j-l} M_1 + \cdots + s_k \cdots s_{k+j-l} M_l) s_{k+j-l} M_k}{s_k b_{k+j}}$$

$$= \frac{s_k b_{k+j}}{s_k b_{k+j}}$$
then
\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial s_k} = \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{s_k} - s_k \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} + \cdots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \right] = 0 \quad \ldots \quad (2) \]

Multiplying both sides of equation (2) by \( s_k \) gives a recurrence relation for \( b_k \), the maximum likelihood estimate of \( b_k \), which may be written in the form

\[
B_k = \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{C_i}{b_i}}
\]

or, since \( C_{k+2} = T_{k+1} - (T_{k+2} - R_{k+2}) \),

\[
B_k = \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{T_{k+1}} B_k
\]

The boundary condition on this system is \( B_0 = \frac{M_T}{R_n} \), or

\[
B_n = \frac{M_T}{R_n}
\]

and, of course, \( B_0 = 0 \). Finally, we observe that

\[
\frac{B_k - B_{k-1}}{M_k} = s_k s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n-1}
\]

so the maximum likelihood estimate \( s_k \) of the survival rate \( s_k \) is

\[
s_k = \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \frac{B_k - B_{k-1}}{B_k - B_{k-1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \frac{B_k}{B_k - B_{k-1}} \left( 1 - \frac{B_{k-1}}{B_k} \right)
\]
which, from (3), reduces to

\[ S_k = \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \cdot \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{R_{k+1}} \cdot \frac{R_k}{T_k} \]

The inverse of the variance-covariance matrix of the asymptotic joint distribution of the statistics \( S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1} \) is then given by the negative expectation of the second partial derivatives of the likelihood \( L \) as

\[ \sigma_{k,k} = -E \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta s_k^2} = E \left( \frac{T_{k+1} - R_{k+1}}{s_k^2} \right) + \frac{b_k}{s_k} \frac{\delta}{\delta s_k} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{b_k}{s_k^2} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \right] - \frac{b_k^2}{s_k^2} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{b_k}{s_k^2} a_k \text{ (say)} \]

and

\[ \sigma_{k-j,k} = -E \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta s_{k-j} \delta s_k} = - \frac{\delta}{\delta s_{k-j}} \frac{b_k}{s_k} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{b_{k-j}}{s_{k-j} s_k} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{b_{k+1}} \left( 1 - \frac{b_k}{b_{k+1}} \right) + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{b_n} \left( 1 - \frac{b_k}{b_n} \right) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{b_{k-j}}{s_{k-j} s_k} a_k \]

\[ \ldots \]
This symmetric matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{b_1 a_1}{s_1^2} & \frac{b_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2} & \frac{b_1 a_3}{s_1 s_3} & \cdots & \frac{b_1 a_{n-1}}{s_1 s_{n-1}} \\
\frac{b_2 a_1}{s_1 s_2} & \frac{b_2 a_2}{s_2^2} & \frac{b_2 a_3}{s_2 s_3} & \cdots & \frac{b_2 a_{n-1}}{s_2 s_{n-1}} \\
\frac{b_3 a_1}{s_1 s_3} & \frac{b_3 a_2}{s_2 s_3} & \frac{b_3 a_3}{s_3^2} & \cdots & \frac{b_3 a_{n-1}}{s_3 s_{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1}^2} & \frac{b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1} s_{n-2}} & \frac{b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-2}^2} & \cdots & \frac{b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-2} s_{n-1}} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\([a_{ij}]\]

can be diagonalized by multiplying row \(k+1\) by \(b_ks_{k+1}b_{k+1}k\) and subtracting from row \(k\), \(k=1, \ldots, n-2\), to give

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{b_1 b_2 a_1 - b_1 a_2}{s_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{b_2 b_2 a_2 - b_2 a_2}{s_2^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{b_3 b_3 a_2 - b_3 a_2}{s_2 s_2} & \frac{b_2 b_3 a_3 - b_2 a_3}{s_2^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{b_{n-1} b_{n-1} a_{n-1} - b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1}^2} & \frac{b_{n-1} b_{n-1} a_{n-1} - b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1} s_{n-2}} & \frac{b_{n-1} b_{n-1} a_{n-1} - b_{n-1} a_{n-1}}{s_{n-2}^2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and then repeating this operation on the columns to give as the determinant of $[a_{ij}]$:

$$D = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{b_1(b_2a_1-b_1a_2)}{s_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{b_2(b_3a_2-b_2a_3)}{s_2^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1}^2}
\end{vmatrix}$$

The variance $\sigma_{kk}$ of $S_k$ is then $D_{kk}/D$, and the cofactor $D_{kk}$ of $\sigma_{kk}$ may, likewise, be evaluated by performing these same operations on the rows and columns of $D_{kk}$; for example,

$$D'_{33} = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{b_1(b_2a_1-b_1a_2)}{s_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{b_2(b_4a_2-b_2a_4)}{s_2^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}{s_{n-1}^2}
\end{vmatrix}$$
Thus,
\[
D_{33} = \frac{b_4a_2^2 - b_2a_4^2}{b_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{b_{i+1}a_i - b_i a_{i+1}}{b_{i+1}a_i - b_i a_i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_{i+1}a_i - b_i a_i}{b_i}}
\]

or, in general,
\[
\sigma_{kk} = \frac{D_{kk}}{D} = s_k^2 \left[ \frac{b_{k+1}a_k - b_k a_{k+1}}{(b_k a_{k-1} - b_{k-1} a_k)(b_{k+1}a_k - b_k a_{k+1})} \right]
\]

In like manner, we find
\[
\sigma_{k+j,k} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } j > 1 \\
\frac{s_{k-1}^2}{b_k a_{k-1} - b_{k-1} a_k} & \text{for } j = 1 \\
-\frac{s_k s_{k+1}^2}{b_{k+1}a_k - b_k a_{k+1}} & \text{for } j = 1 \\
0 & \text{for } j > 1 
\end{cases}
\]

Upon substituting for $s_k$, $b_k$, and $a_k$ their maximum likelihood estimates $S_k$, $B_k$ and $A_k$, we find
\[
B_k A_{k-1} - B_{k-1} A_k = B_k \left[ \frac{C_{k+1}}{B_k} \left( 1 - \frac{B_{k-1}}{B_k} \right) + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{B_n} \left( 1 - \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_n} \right) \right]
\]

\[
- B_{k-1} \left[ \frac{C_{k+2}}{B_{k+1}} \left( 1 - \frac{B_k}{B_{k+1}} \right) + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{B_n} \left( 1 - \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_n} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= B_{k-1} \left[ \frac{C_{k+1}}{B_k} + \ldots + \frac{C_{n+1}}{B_n} \right] \left( \frac{B_k}{B_{k-1}} - 1 \right)
\]
which, from (2) and (3), reduces to

\[ B_k A_{k-1} B_{k-1} A_k = R_k \]

Consequently,

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{k,j,k} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } j > 1 \\
-\frac{S_{k-1}S_k}{R_k} & \text{for } j = 1 \\
-\frac{S_{k-1}S_k}{R_k} & \text{for } j = 1 \\
0 & \text{for } j > 1 
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{k,k} = \frac{T_{k+1}}{R_{k+1}} + \frac{T_k - R_k}{R_k T_k}
\]
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