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ABSTRACT
Careers in the pharmaceutical industry were revealed in modules facilitated by senior scientists from companies that sponsor the Cornell Leadership Program for Veterinary Students. One module was structured as a series of interviews for different positions in industry, the other as a competition between hypothetical companies created by students. The interview-based module stimulated wide-ranging discussion of the activities and responsibilities of veterinarians employed in a discovery-intensive pharmaceutical firm and of the characteristics such companies seek in prospective employees, from both professional and personal perspectives. The second module explored the drug discovery and development process from the perspective of animal-health companies that are competitors in the market for animal health care products. The exercise provided insights into the manner in which companies discover new chemical entities, screen candidate drugs, allocate resources, and pursue the development of products through testing, licensing, and distribution.

BACKGROUND
Cornell University hosts an annual Leadership Program for Veterinary Students. The program targets students who have the ability and commitment to broadly influence their profession. The initiative combines independent, faculty-guided research; vocational counseling; and group learning modules calculated to develop creativity, critical thinking, effective communication, and teamwork skills. All are student-directed exercises that encourage broad participation and enable students to participate actively in their own education.1

Two of the group learning modules are subjects of this report. Both highlight career opportunities for veterinary graduates in the pharmaceutical industry. In the following narrative we comment on the evolution of the modules, their current structure, and their perceived value in informing students about employment opportunities in mature, discovery-based firms whose principal purposes are to develop and market products for the treatment or prevention of animal diseases.

CAREERS IN INDUSTRY
The typical veterinary curriculum leaves little room for students to acquire knowledge about discovery-based careers. Nowhere is that deficiency more conspicuous than in careers in business, and the pharmaceutical industry in particular. An effort to address the problem within the context of the leadership program began in 1990, the first year the program was offered. Scientists from Merck were invited to address the students. These visitors explained the range of employment opportunities for veterinarians in a major research-oriented pharmaceutical firm; they contrasted the activities of industrial scientists with the responsibilities incumbent on academic faculty. In addition to the presentations at Cornell, participating scholars visited the research facilities of Merck in Rahway, New Jersey, and the company’s nearby Branchburg farm.

The presentations were well received, but their passive format limited the depth of understanding that students were able to gain from the experience. Participation was limited to questions and occasional comments from students who had work experience in an industrial setting. The field visit to Rahway and to Merck’s research farm provided greater scope for student involvement; but this significant benefit was offset by a time commitment that could not be readily accommodated within the already busy schedule of the 10-week program.

Beginning in 2004, the “Merck module” was modified with a view to engaging students more actively. The revised event is structured as a series of mock interviews in which students are quizzed about their motivation and aptitude for veterinary staff positions. In advance of the meeting, and as a framework for discussion, students are given three typical and different position descriptions and the dossiers of three hypothetical candidates. Resource materials consisting of scientific articles based on plausible experiences of the prospective applicants and information about the responsibilities and expectations of successful candidates are included in a program workbook. A videodisc recording of events connected with the previous year’s program is provided for the students’ convenience and to illustrate how the module is structured.

The students divide themselves into three groups, each representing a candidate for one of the three positions. The individual groups are obliged to submit a letter of application for the position they select. The letters are reviewed by three staff scientists or service specialists at Merck. On the day of the meeting, the facilitators interview the groups sequentially. Students not involved in this phase of the proceedings observe the performance of their fellow students and reflect on the nature of the facilitators’ questions until they in turn assume the role of “applicant.”

The module has achieved its intended purpose. Much of the information that emerged in the passive presentations...
of earlier years is now revealed in more active exchanges between students and facilitators. But the interviews have additional advantages over the original model. First, they are riveting experiences, in the sense that students cannot anticipate what questions they will be asked or when their comments will be solicited. Second, the new format ensures universal participation, since each student is obliged to respond to facilitator questions and to raise questions of his or her own. Third, and arguably most importantly, the revised structure provides students with practical experience of the interview processes—experience that will serve them well later in their careers.

**DISCUSSION**

Industry offers a wide range of employment opportunities for veterinarians, but remarkably few veterinary graduates choose that career option. By virtue of their training in comparative biology and animal diseases, veterinarians are uniquely qualified to manage large colonies of experimental animals required for basic biomedical research and the testing and licensing of pharmaceuticals. Moreover, veterinarians with advanced training in pathology or clinical studies are well prepared to function as specialists in comparative pathology, toxicology, and drug evaluation. These and other positions in the pharmaceutical industry offer attractive financial benefits and sensitivity to the work–life balance needs of employees and their families. Yet remarkably few veterinary graduates follow these career paths. Data published by the American Veterinary Medical Association indicate that less than 2.4% of US veterinary graduates realize careers in commercial enterprises. However, those who do choose this option readily find employment in major pharmaceutical firms, service companies, and discovery-based organizations whose origins can be traced to the remarkable advances made in biotechnology during the past several decades.

The foregoing considerations raise the question of why veterinarians are not attracted in larger numbers to these diverse and professionally rewarding occupations. One possibility is that the opportunities are not often portrayed to veterinary students in a realistic and compelling manner. A notable exception is the curriculum at Virginia–Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine (VMRC), which has adopted a flexible course that enables students to tailor their education to their vocational interests and career aspirations. The Public/Corporate Veterinary Medicine track at VMRC focuses on aspects of veterinary science that are especially relevant to individuals aspiring to a career in industry. Other colleges may provide similar information through course electives and vocational options. These experiences emphasize skills that are important for industrial scientists and veterinary service specialists to acquire. Nevertheless, it could be argued that exercises presented from an academic perspective may not capture the “corporate culture” as effectively as facilitator-aided modules conducted by scientists who have industrial experience and presently occupy positions well suited to veterinary graduates.

However that may be, the desire to portray industrial careers for veterinarians in a more informative manner provided the impetus for developing the two modules reported here. Both were organized by senior scientists at pharmaceutical companies who had personal experience and supervisory responsibilities for individuals employed in the discovery-based vocations and service positions to which veterinary graduates are naturally attracted.
The facilitators also could speak with conviction to the advantages and limitations of careers pursued in an industry setting.

The module designed by scientists at Merck compares discovery-based careers in a major pharmaceutical firm with the activities pursued by academic faculty. Initially, the subject was addressed passively, in a lecture format followed by an informal period of questions and discussion. The presentations were informative, but the discussion was uneven and usually dominated by a few members of the audience. In an effort to involve students more actively, the authors restructured the module as a series of interviews. Students within individual groups are now asked questions regarding their motivation and qualifications for the positions for which they have applied. The facilitators not only answer the questions but explain how the discovery process is managed in a commercial enterprise. In the course of the exchanges, the facilitators also emphasize the characteristics that a corporation seeks in potential employees. These include, but are not limited to, knowledge, creativity, a good work ethic, interpersonal skills, and the capacity of employees to work effectively as members of a team.

Halfway through the individual interviews, the roles of interrogator and responder are reversed, thereby enabling students to explore different aspects of industrial careers. Students are eager to learn about job security, the compensation they can expect, and the capacity of employees to remain purposefully involved in projects as products move through development, through the approval process, and into the marketplace.

The interview model has several advantages over the original presentation format. First, students have the opportunity to consider relevant materials prior to the meeting and to organize their thoughts on the topics to be discussed. The interviews are also successful in engaging the entire student group. Finally, students gain practical experience in preparing themselves for an interview. Notable in this connection is the advice facilitators offer for constructing an application letter. Emphasis is placed on how individuals should illustrate their qualifications for employment and their knowledge of the company concerned.

The second module was modeled on a program developed for Pfizer employees. The exercise encourages creativity and effective communication while simultaneously promoting the development of teamwork skills. The Pfizer module reveals practical aspects of drug development that may be infrequently addressed in the conventional veterinary curriculum. Topics include resource allocation within a pharmaceutical company; considerations that bear upon the selection of candidate drugs; the influence of competitive pressures; and outcomes that lead to the advancement or termination of a project. The module illustrates the importance of teamwork and flexibility on the part of employees; requirements that must be satisfied in licensing drugs and biologicals; and strategies for the management of products in the marketplace. It also inspires students to explore existing knowledge about a major veterinary disease problem and to face the challenge of designing an effective therapeutic agent.

SUMMARY

The Cornell Leadership Program for Veterinary Students gives prominence to two student-directed modules that highlight careers in the pharmaceutical industry. The modules were developed jointly by the program organizers and senior scientists from two corporate sponsors of the program, Merck and Pfizer. The exercises use different formats, but both provide insights into the operations of discovery-based companies while highlighting the skills and personal characteristics that such companies seek in prospective employees. Students find the modules thought-provoking and welcome the opportunity they afford to establish useful contacts with scientists in the participating companies.
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