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Central pattern generator (CPG) is a specialized neuronal circuit that can produce rhythmic motor pattern in the absence of sensory or descending inputs that carry specific timing information. CPGs control basic rhythmic motor functions, such as locomotion, respiration, mastication, peristalsis and others. The lobster pyloric circuit is a classical CPG, driven by a pacemaker neuron called the anterior burster (AB). In a synaptically isolated AB neuron, dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5HT) can each evoke rhythmic bursting, but appear to use different sets of currents to drive the burst (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). DA-induced bursting in the AB neuron is critically dependent on external calcium, minimally affected by external sodium concentration and insensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX). In contrast, 5HT-induced bursting is critically dependent on the concentration of external sodium: low (50%) external sodium prevents bursting, and TTX abolishes bursting, while low external calcium only slows down the 5HT bursting without completely blocking it.

I have tested the hypothesis that DA evokes oscillations by enhancing the calcium-activated non-selective current ($I_{CAN}$), while 5HT induces oscillations by enhancing the persistent sodium current ($I_{Na(P)}$). First, I have studied the properties of these slow inward currents in three different pyloric neurons: the
anterior burster (AB) and two motor neurons: the pyloric dilator (PD) and the lateral pyloric (LP). Both currents exhibit cell-type specificity in their properties and modulation. The results of this project are presented in Chapter 2. In Chapters 3 and 4, I look at the functional significance of $I_{CAN}$ and $I_{Na(P)}$ in the pacemaker neuron AB in more detail. I have established that DA triggers the release of calcium ions from intracellular stores, which results in an activation of the calcium-activated non-selective current and rhythmic oscillations. It is particularly interesting that DA evokes AB bursting in part by modulating a conductance that has no intrinsic voltage dependence. Serotonin, on the other hand, inhibits two potassium outward currents, thereby uncovering the depolarizing effect of the persistent sodium current and generating bursting. Most likely, these two mechanisms are complementary in vivo and constitute a fail-proof system in regulating a vitally important physiological function. This system redundancy may represent a common design principle in biology.
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Animals survive and adapt their physiology and behavior to various external and internal factors with the help of their nervous system. The neural processes span time scales from less than a millisecond to the lifetime of the organism. Examples encompass the fastest processes such as channel gating and action potentials to the slowest processes such as seasonal and developmental transformations and long-term memory. Control of rhythmic behaviors occurs at all levels of the nervous system, from the neocortex to peripheral ganglia. In the vertebrate brain, practically all known microcircuits demonstrate oscillatory behavior, each at different preferred frequencies (Yuste et al., 2005; Grillner et al., 2005). For example, rhythmic oscillations in neocortical and cerebellar cortical microcircuits are involved in vastly different tasks related to perception, cognition, memory and control of movement (Clemens et al.; 2005; Rizzuto, 2003; Steriade, 2001; Maex et al., 2005). At another level, in the hippocampus, γ-frequency oscillations are implicated in encoding and retrieval of memory, while the θ- frequency has been associated with place representation and memory functions (Bragin et al., 1995; Buszaki et al., 2002). Finally, brainstem and spinal cord microcircuits that generate rhythmic respiratory and locomotor activity have been analyzed in considerable detail in different animal models, from the lamprey to mammals (reviewed in Grillner, 2003; Smith et al., 1991; Feldman, 2003; Kiehn, 2006). The respiratory, locomotor and other similar neural circuits governing basic rhythmic motor functions are collectively termed “central pattern generators”, or CPGs. CPGs are specialized neuronal circuits that can produce rhythmic motor patterns in the absence of sensory or descending inputs that carry specific timing
information (Marder and Bucker, 2001). Each CPG are constructed from three fundamental building blocks: specific cellular properties (endogenous bursting, plateau potentials, postinhibitory rebound, and spike-frequency adaptation), the pattern of synaptic connectivity and neuromodulation (Marder and Bucher, 2001). Traditionally, CPGs are divided into the network-driven and the pacemaker-driven, depending on the predominant mechanism for generation of rhythmic output. Most likely, both mechanisms of rhythmogenesis normally work together in vivo.

Pacemaker-based rhythmogenesis

This mechanism relies on the intrinsic properties of a single cell, called the “pacemaker” that, under the appropriate modulatory conditions, endogenously generates rhythmic output and drives the whole network. The best-known known example of a pacemaker-driven CPG is the pyloric network in the crustacean stomatogastric ganglion (STG). Much insight has been gained from studying this CPG for feeding motor behavior in crabs and lobsters (Harris-Warrick and Marder, 1991; Katz and Harris-Warrick, 1999; Harris-Warrick, 2002; Selverston, 1999; Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Katz, 1998; Marder and Bucker, 2001, 2007; Marder et al., 2005, Nusbaum and Beenhakker, 2002, Hooper and DiCaprio, 2004).

The Crustacean Stomatogastric Ganglion

The crustacean stomatogastric ganglion is one of the best experimental models to understand the mechanisms of pacemaker activity because it contains only 30 neurons and each neuron and synapse are reliably identifiable from animal to animal. Moreover, its structural organization, function and neuromodulation are well-described (Harris-Warrick and Marder, 1992, Marder and Bucher, 2007, 2001; Nusbaum and Beenhakker, 2002; Selverston et al., 1998). The STG
contains two distinct, but interconnected CPGs: a slower gastric mill circuit and a faster pyloric circuit. The pyloric rhythm is a triphasic motor pattern with a period of 1–2 s. The pyloric subcircuit consists of 14 neurons, including one interneuron (the anterior burster, or AB) and 13 motoneurons that fall into 5 types: pyloric dilator (PD), lateral pyloric (LP), pyloric constrictor (PY), ventricular dilator (VD) and inferior cardiac (IC). Each pyloric neuron type has a unique electrophysiological profile and the cells form identified electrical and inhibitory chemical synapses which define the network (Harris-Warrick et al., 1992; Selverston and Moulins, 1987). In addition to sensory input and history of activity, the final motor output is modulated by multiple compounds coming from the anterior (commissural and esophageal) ganglia and the brain or as neurohormones in the hemolymph: monoamines, peptides, hormones, growth factors (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986; Hooper and Marder, 1987; Skiebe et al., 1994; Nusbaum and Marder 1988; Marder and Thirumalai, 2002; Turrigiano and Selverston, 1989; Swensen et al., 2002).

The power of this model system lies in the ability to isolate any neuron or a subset of neurons with selected synapses using a combination of three methods: blockade of the descending modulatory inputs by TTX, pharmacological block of chemical synapses within STG and photoinactivation of electrically coupled neurons (Miller, 1979; Bidaut, 1980). Much insight into rhythmogenesis has been gained from studies of the reduced preparations of the pyloric network. First, elimination of the pacemaker neuron AB does not stop oscillations of the remaining network provided that modulatory input is intact (Miller and Selverston, 1982a), although it slows down considerably. In fact, two neurons (LP and PD) connected with mutually inhibitory synapses are able to form so-called “half-center oscillator” and generate rhythmic output in the presence of
depolarizing current (Miller and Selverston 1982b). However, AB is the only pyloric neuron that is capable of endogenous bursting in the presence of monoamines. Second, descending modulatory input from the anterior ganglia is required for bursting of the pacemaker neuron AB as well as the entire network, as removal of these modulatory inputs terminates the rhythm (Miller and Selverston, 1982a; Russell and Hartline, 1978). Photoinactivation makes it possible to study the function of the pacemaker kernel and its components in isolation. The pyloric pacemaker kernel is made up of the AB and two PD neurons; all three neurons are strongly electrically coupled (Harris-Warrick et al., 1992; Selverston and Moulins, 1987). Modulatory effects on the oscillatory frequency of the isolated AB are different from their effects on the pacemaker kernel (ABx2PDs or ABxPD) (Ayali and Harris-Warrick, 1999).

**Multiple modes of pyloric pacemaker bursting**

In a synaptically isolated AB neuron, dopamine and serotonin can each evoke rhythmic bursting, but appear to use different sets of currents to drive the burst (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Dopamine-induced bursting in the AB neuron is critically dependent on external calcium, minimally affected by external sodium concentration and insensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX). In contrast, serotonin-induced bursting is critically dependent on the concentration of external sodium: low (50%) external sodium prevents bursting, and TTX abolishes bursting, while low external calcium only slows down the 5HT bursting without completely blocking it. Thus, dopamine may activate a bursting mode that is critically dependent on calcium or calcium-dependent currents, while 5HT activates a separate bursting mode that depends critically upon sodium currents. Elevated bath temperature was shown to alter this ionic dependence (Johnson et al., 1992). Building upon the previous work in the lab, I sought to determine the
exact currents targeted by DA and 5HT, and the mechanisms of monoamine-induced bursting in the AB neuron.

**Mechanisms of the DA-induced AB bursting**

Dopamine has numerous effects on the intrinsic and synaptic properties of the different stomatogastric neurons. First, on the pyloric network level, DA slightly decreases cycle frequency and changes the phase relationships, with PD and VD phase delayed while LP, PY, and IC are phase advanced relative to the AB pacemaker (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986a, b). Second, the individual synapses, both chemical and electrical, may be either strengthened or weakened by DA (Johnson *et al.*, 1990, 1993, 1995; Johnson and Harris-Warrick, 1997; Cleland and Selverston, 1997, Ayali *et al.*, 1998). Third, each isolated pyloric neuron changes its intrinsic electrophysiological behavior upon DA application: AB becomes an endogenous oscillator (sometimes called “burster”) (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987), while LP, PY, and IC neurons depolarize and fire tonically and the PD and VD neurons are inhibited and may fall silent (Harris-Warrick *et al.*, 1993). Finally, the individual conductances are modified in a cell-type specific manner. For instance, DA increases the transient potassium current $I_A$ in the PD, while reducing it in LP, PY, IC and AB neurons (Peck *et al.*, 2001). DA also affects other conductances, such as the hyperpolarization-activated current $I_h$ (Peck *et al.*, 2006) and various types of potassium currents (Kloppenberg *et al.*, 1999; Gruhn *et al.*, 2005). DA enhances the voltage-dependent calcium current $I_{Ca(V)}$ in the LP, and importantly for the bursting mechanism under the current study, it strongly inhibits $I_{Ca(V)}$ in the AB neuron (Johnson *et al.*, 2003).

Dopamine is not synthesized within the STG; instead, it is produced by the neurons that are located in the anterior ganglia and the brain and send their axons
down to the STG via the stomatogastric nerve (Kushner, 1977; Sullivan et al. 1977; Barker et al. 1979; Kushner and Barker 1983; Cournil, 1994). DA is also present as a neurohormone in the hemolymph surrounding the STG (Sullivan et al., 1977), although in the lobster, DA concentrations in the blood are probably too low to affect the STG DA receptors.

Three types of DA receptors that belong to the superfamily of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) have so far been identified in the lobster STG: D_{1αPan}, D_{1βPan} and D_{2αPan} (Clark and Baro 2006, 2007). The D_{1αPan} receptor couples with G_s and G_q, while the D_{1βPan} receptor couples with G_s, and the D_{2αPan} receptor couples with G_i (Clark et al., 2008). All three receptor types are localized exclusively to the synaptic neuropil, but the cell type specific distribution of the DA receptors is currently unknown. As described above, the effect of DA on the intrinsic properties of the individual pyloric cell types varies greatly (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986a,b; Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987; Ayali and Harris-Warrick, 1999; Kloppenburg et al., 2000, 2007; Peck et al., 2001, 2006; Johnson et al., 2003; Gruhn et al., 2005); it is expected that each cell type has its own unique complement of DA receptors, secondary messengers, and effector proteins (Clark et al., 2008; Oginsky et al., 2010) which remains to be identified for the AB neuron.

One of the most striking effects of DA in the STG, on which I am focusing in this thesis, is its ability to induce endogenous bursting in the pyloric pacemaker AB neuron, even when isolated from all synaptic inputs (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986a; Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Dopamine-induced bursting in the AB neuron is critically dependent on external calcium, minimally affected by external sodium concentration and insensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX) (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). This calcium-dependence of DA-induced bursting suggests an
involvement of voltage-gated calcium currents (VGCC) and/or the calcium-activated non-selective current ($I_{\text{CAN}}$); both currents have been described as critical players in many rhythmic neurons (Partridge and Swandulla, 1987; Büschges et al., 2000; Perrier et al., 2000; Pace et al., 2007a). However, in the AB neuron, the voltage-gated calcium current is inhibited by up to 75% by DA (Johnson et al., 2003), significantly reducing the influx of calcium ions to the cytoplasm. Thus, the calcium current described by Johnson et al cannot be the major driving force behind the slow depolarization in AB when DA is added, nor can it be the prime driver for the DA-evoked oscillations. Interestingly, DA still enhances calcium-dependent properties in the AB, such as an increase in its synaptic output (Johnson et al., 1995; Harris-Warrick et al., 1998) and an augmentation of $I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$ (Johnson et al., 2003). Therefore, I tested the hypothesis that DA may also enhance the calcium-activated non-specific current, $I_{\text{CAN}}$, in the AB neuron for rhythm generation in the pyloric network.

**Properties, function and DA modulation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$**

Calcium-activated non-selective (CAN) channels are cationic channels with slow activation kinetics. They permeable to calcium, sodium and potassium ions to various degrees; channel opening creates a net inward current leading to depolarization of the membrane potential. In the literature, different terms have been used to denote this current: it may be called a calcium-activated “nonspecific”, “cationic” or “slow inward” current, as well as non-selective cationic (NSC) in different sources. CAN channels were first described (Colquhoun, 1981; Maruyama, 1981) and later extensively studied in non-neuronal cells, where they are involved in diverse processes including, for instance, cytokine production by T lymphocytes (Launay et al., 2004) and arrhythmias in ventricular cardiomyocytes (Guinamard et al., 2002, 2004).
CAN channels are directly activated by accumulation of intracellular calcium ions that bind to a specialized domain on the cytoplasmic side of the channels and increase their open probability. They typically do not show any voltage- or time-dependent inactivation (Partridge et al., 1994, Hille, 2001). Finally, they provide an alternative route for calcium entry into the cell and generate net inward current. These unique properties of the CAN channels put them into an exceptional position to couple intracellular calcium dynamics with many processes in the plasma membrane such as channel gating and synaptic release. First, as a result of their voltage-independent activation, CAN channels may be active at subthreshold voltages and may contribute to the initiation of $V_m$ depolarization, before voltage-gated channels turn on. Second, their lack of voltage- or time-dependent inactivation renders them functionally important in supporting sustained depolarizations such as plateau potentials or bursting. Third, these channels’ permeability to calcium contributes to self-activation creating an additional basis for sustained depolarization (Partridge et al., 1994), although some types of CAN channels strongly prefer $Na^+$ and $K^+$ over $Ca^{2+}$ and hence may lack this property (Payne et al., 1992; Razani-Boroujerdi et al., 1993; Zucker et al., 1998).

Monoamine modulation of CAN channel gating is most likely mediated by GPCRs and can involve changes in calcium handling (discussed below) or the gating properties of the channel itself. One possible result of modulator-evoked phosphorylation is an increase in the Hill coefficient of the calcium-binding site of the channel, which would lower its dependence on calcium concentration, as has been demonstrated for calcium-activated potassium or chloride channels (Reinhart et al., 1991; Marunaka et al., 1990; Golwasch et al., 1986). It is also
It is possible that phosphorylation of the channel directly targets the gating particle and alters the activation and inactivation properties of the channel in a manner that is independent of calcium binding (Partridge et al., 1984). CAN channels are thought to be encoded by members of the \textit{trp} family of genes in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Montell, 2001; Venkatachalam and Montell, 2007; Matsuura et al., 2009). However genes encoding the arthropod CAN channels are unknown.

Known physiological functions of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ include modulation of firing properties (bursting and plateau potentials) of central neurons, shaping sensory receptor activity and endocrine/hormone responses, as well as contribution to pathological processes such as excitotoxicity. Here, I focus on the $I_{\text{CAN}}$’s role in the generation of plateau potentials and bursting. Plateau potentials, or the phenomenon of membrane potential bistability, rely at least in part on activation of the CAN current in the dorsal gastric (DG) neuron in the crab STG (Zhang et al., 1995a), mouse brain stem neurons (Rekling and Feldman, 1997), rat deep dorsal horn neurons (Morisset and Nagy 1999), and rat substantia nigra GABAergic neurons (Lee and Tepper, 2007). However, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ does not participate in generation of plateau potentials in turtle spinal motoneurons (Perrier and Hounsgaard, 1990).

The CAN current contributes to bursting in molluscan neurons (Partridge and Swandulla, 1987) and in the mammalian inspiratory CPG, Pre-Bötzinger Complex (PreBC). In the neonate mouse PreBC, the CAN current was proposed to generate bursting in one type of pacemaker neurons, thus contributing to fictive eupneic activity both in vitro and in vivo (Pena et al., 2004; Del Negro et al., 2005; Tryba et al., 2006). However, this view, and the relevance of the CAN current in respiratory rhythm generation, is still highly disputed (St-John, 2008). In the crustacean stomatogastric ganglion, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ has been demonstrated to play a critical role in the generation of plateau potentials in the dorsal gastric (DG)
motor neuron (Zhang et al., 1995b). The current is blocked by blockers of calcium currents and intracellular injection of calcium chelators, and abolished when calcium ions are replaced by barium. However, CAN channels in the DG appear to be predominantly calcium-permeable, as a substitution of sodium ions does not affect the reversal potential of the current (-27 mV). The CAN current is not directly enhanced by 5HT, even though it underlies the 5HT-evoked plateau potentials; instead, 5HT enhances the voltage-gated calcium current which triggers $I_{CAN}$ activation (Zhang et al., 1995a). The properties and physiological functions of the CAN current in the pyloric network is unknown, and it is possible that $I_{CAN}$ is involved in LP’s prolonged plateau potentials and AB’s bursting in the presence of DA.

**Calcium sources for the activation of $I_{CAN}$**

The open probability of CAN channels increases with binding of the calcium ions to a cytoplasmic site on the channels. Overall, calcium dynamics in neurons is determined by membrane flux via ion channels and pumps, as well as by intracellular organelle release and uptake and cytoplasmic buffering. Thus the calcium that activates $I_{CAN}$ can come from the extracellular space via ion channels, and/or from release from intracellular stores. Calcium influx from the extracellular space occurs via voltage-gated or receptor-gated calcium channels (Hall et al., 2002, Mackay et al., 2007; Pace et al., 2007). Calcium from intracellular calcium stores can come from the smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or mitochondria. $I_{CAN}$ can be activated by IP$_3$-sensitive calcium release from the ER (Partridge and Swandulla, 1987; Sawada et al., 1990; Partridge and Valenzuela 1999; Crowder et al., 2007; Pace et al., 2007a), as a result of Thapsigargin blockade of the sarco-endoplasmic reticular calcium pump.
(SERCA) (Knox et al. 1996), or by caffeine activation of Ryanodine-sensitive calcium release (Currie & Scott, 1992; Vinogradova et al., 2006).

In Chapter 2, I describe the properties of the CAN current in three classes of pyloric neurons. In Chapter 3, I present the results of electrophysiology experiments combined with two-photon calcium imaging to test the role of DA-induced increase in $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ and $I_{CAN}$ in AB neuron bursting.

**Mechanisms of 5HT-induced AB bursting**

In the lobster *P. interruptus*, serotonin has multiple effects on the intrinsic and synaptic properties in the crustacean STNS. It alters the biophysical properties of ion channels located in different STG neurons (Harris-Warrick et al. 1998; Kiehn and Harris-Warrick 1992; Peck et al. 2001; Zhang and Harris-Warrick 1995). In the lobster pyloric network, serotonin induces sustained bursting in the anterior burster (AB) neuron (Ayali and Harris-Warrick 1999; Harris-Warrick and Flamm 1987), inhibits the lateral pyloric (LP) and ventricular dilator (VD) neurons, excites the inferior cardiac (IC) neuron, and has no effect on the pyloric dilator (PD) or pyloric constrictor (PY) neurons (Ayali and Harris-Warrick 1999; Flamm and Harris-Warrick 1986b). In addition, 5HT modulates the strength of electrotonic coupling and chemical synapses within the pyloric circuit (Johnson and Harris-Warrick 1990; Johnson et al. 1993, 1994, 1995).

There are no serotonergic input fibers to the STG. The STG is located inside a blood vessel, and is thus accessible to hemolymph containing 5HT (Sullivan et al., 1977; Beltz et al., 1984; Beltz, 1999). It is generally agreed that 5HT acts as a neurohormone in the *P. interruptus* stomatogastric nervous system (STNS). Arthropods express multiple 5HT receptor (5HTR) types (Tierney, 2001). Five arthropod 5HTR subtypes have been cloned and characterized to date. In the spiny lobster, two different 5HT receptors subtypes have been cloned to date:
5HT\textsubscript{1αPan} and 5HT\textsubscript{2βPan} (Clark et al., 2004; Sosa et al., 2004; Spitzer et al., 2008). Activation of the 5HT\textsubscript{1αPan} receptor inhibits forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation, presumably \textit{via} $G_{i/o}$ inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (Spitzer et al., 2008). The 5HT\textsubscript{2βPan} receptor couples to $G_{q}$ to activate PLC and the IP\textsubscript{3} and PKC pathways, and is constitutively active when stably expressed in HEK cells; it is expressed in the fine neuropil and the NMJ, mostly presynaptically (Clark et al., 2004). It has been suggested that there are three more putative monoamine receptors in the STG yet to be cloned (Clark and Baro, 2007; Clark et al., 2004). All STG cells express identified types of the 5HT receptors, and, while the cellular distribution of these receptors is currently unknown, it is expected that different cell types will express different receptors or sets of receptors, which then could be correlated with the established electrophysiological actions of 5HT.

In the lobster pyloric pacemaker neuron AB, serotonin-induced bursting is critically dependent on the concentration of the external sodium (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Low (50\%) external sodium prevents bursting; in agreement with this sensitivity to low external sodium, 5HT-induced bursting is blocked by $10^{-7}$M TTX, a specific blocker of sodium channels (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). In contrast to its effects on DA-induced bursting described above, low external calcium only slows down the 5HT bursting without completely blocking it. Based on these results, I hypothesized that a persistent sodium current may underlie 5HT bursting in the AB neuron.

\textit{Properties, function and 5HT modulation of $I_{Nat(P)}$ and its role in cellular excitability}

The molecular mechanisms that cause the persistent opening of sodium channels are still debated. The classical “transient” sodium current, which drives action potentials, activates within a millisecond of depolarization and inactivates within
several milliseconds. It was first described by Hodgkin and Huxley in their seminal paper (1952). Their model of the sodium channel predicted an existence of a “window current”, a small amplitude steady-state sodium current at intermediate voltages, where both activation and inactivation are incomplete (Attwell et al., 1979). However, later research suggested that a persistent sodium current outside this window voltage range may arise from a subset of sodium channels with altered gating properties (Alzheimer et al., 1993; Crill, 1996). These channels favor the non-inactivating or “persistent” mode of activity (Raman et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1998), perhaps because of a modulation via G-protein coupled receptors (GPCR) (Numann et al., 1991; Ma et al., 1997) or an association with auxiliary beta subunits modulating their inactivation kinetics (Paton et al., 1994; Morgan et al., 2000). In tuberomammillary neurons of the hypothalamus, Taddese and Bean (2002) proposed an alternative explanation for INa(P), where it is once again a normal property of the fast sodium channels which do not inactivate completely upon depolarization; a small fraction remains active for much longer than usual. To explain this, they generated an allosteric model where depolarization promotes transitions through successive activation states, each with higher affinity for the inactivation particle.

In invertebrate neurons, INa(P) is present in cockroach (Christensen et al., 1988; Lapied et al., 1989), Drosophila (Saito and Wu, 1991) and honeybee (Schäfer et al., 1994) neurons, in the heart interneurons of the medicinal leech (Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994), in squid giant axons (Rakowski et al., 2002; Clay et al., 2003), and in snail interneurons (Nikitin et al., 2006, 2008; Kiss et al., 2009). INa(P) is implicated in both synaptic and cellular plasticity and learning in molluscan neurons (Nikitin et al., 2008; Kiss et al., 2009), while in leech heart interneurons, it underlies prolonged plateau potentials (Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994).
Biophysical properties, pharmacology, gene organization, and intron splice sites of invertebrate sodium channels are largely similar to the mammalian sodium channels (Goldin, 2002; Plummer and Meisler, 1999). In vertebrate CPGs, the functional role of $I_{Na(P)}$ has been investigated more extensively. It was first suggested and tested in a mathematical model that in the inspiratory neurons of the pre-Bötzinger complex (preBC), $I_{Na(P)}$ can function as a primary voltage-dependent burst-generating mechanism (Butera et al. 1999a,b; Del Negro et al., 2001). Later it was demonstrated experimentally in the in vitro brainstem slice preparation, that bursting behavior depends on a balance between the persistent sodium and the leak conductances (Smith et al., 2000; Del Negro, 2001, 2002, 2005; Koizumi and Smith, 2008). In fact, $I_{Na(P)}$ is present in all respiratory neurons, both pacemakers and non-pacemakers; the only difference between the cell types is the ratio of the persistent sodium conductance relative to the leak conductance: the higher the ratio, the more likely a neuron to burst. However, the necessity of this current in network rhythmogenesis in vivo is still controversial (DelNegro, 2005; Pena et al., 2007).

In the locomotor CPG, $I_{Na(P)}$ is critical in network function, as application of the $I_{Na(P)}$ blocker Riluzole stops rhythmic firing in neurons of neonatal mouse spinal cord at concentrations that partially block $I_{Na(P)}$ (Zhong et al., 2007; Tazerart et al., 2007; Ziskind-Conhaim et al., 2008). Furthermore, in rat mesencephalic trigeminal neurons, the mammalian CPG for mastication, $I_{Na(P)}$ along with the resurgent sodium current, is also essential for generation of rhythmic activity (Wu et al., 2005; Enomoto et al., 2006). Serotonin potently facilitates the persistent sodium current in numerous mammalian neurons, generating (Cramer et al., 2005) and modulating (Hsiao et al., 1998; Harvey et al., 2006a,b) rhythmic firing. In pre-BötC neurons of neonatal mice, activation of the 5HT2A receptors, and a
subsequent enhancement of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$, have been proposed to be required for rhythmogenesis (Peña and Ramirez, 2002). However, in rat medullary preparations, Ptak and colleagues (2009) did not find such an enhancing effect on the persistent sodium current, although application of 5HT clearly transformed non-intrinsic bursters into intrinsic bursters, at least in part by affecting the leak conductances in respiratory neurons.

In the lobster pyloric pacemaker AB neuron, the exact conductances mediating 5HT bursting are unknown. Elsen and Selverston previously suggested, based on indirect evidence, that $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ is present and helps drive the gastric mill rhythm in the STNS (Elsen and Selverston, 1997), but to date this current has not been directly measured in any STG neurons. I describe the physiological and pharmacological properties of this current in three classes of pyloric neurons in Chapter 2, and analyze the current’s functional role during 5HT-evoked AB bursting in Chapter 4.

**Multiple mechanisms of bursting**

In this thesis, I describe two inward currents with slow kinetics and look at their functional significance in the pacemaker neuron AB. Both DA and 5HT elicit bursting behavior in the AB neuron, but each monoamine uses a distinct and independent mechanism of action to evoke depolarization. DA triggers the release of calcium ions from intracellular stores, which results in an activation of the calcium-activated non-selective current and rhythmic oscillations. It is particularly interesting that DA evokes AB bursting in part by modulating a conductance that has no intrinsic voltage dependence. Serotonin, on the other hand, inhibits two potassium outward currents, thereby uncovering the depolarizing effect of the persistent sodium current and generating bursting. Most likely, these two mechanisms are complimentary *in vivo* and provide a necessary
redundancy in regulating a vitally important physiological function, which can constitute a common biological design principle. For example, within the respiratory CPG, two different populations of pacemaker neurons with distinct pharmacology and physiological functions employ two different mechanisms of bursting, the cadmium-insensitive (sodium-dependent) and cadmium-sensitive (sodium- and calcium-dependent) (Pena et al., 2004; Viemari JC, Ramirez JM., 2006; Peña and Aguileta, 2007). However, direct evidence for multiple bursting mechanisms employed by a single neuron has not been presented in any system. Here, for the first time I show that two different ionic mechanisms of intrinsic bursting may be employed by a single neuron to generate a rhythmic output.
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CHAPTER 2
CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO INWARD CURRENTS WITH SLOW INACTIVATION KINETICS IN PYLORIC NEURONS

INTRODUCTION

Slowly inactivating or non-inactivating inward currents are thought to be critical in rhythmogenesis (Harris-Warrick, 2002; Ramirez et al., 2004; Tazerart et al., 2008; Rubin et al., 2009). In particular, the calcium-activated non-selective current ($I_{CAN}$) and the persistent sodium current ($I_{Na(P)}$) play important roles in shaping the firing properties of motoneurons (Cramer et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2005) and interneurons (Kramer and Zucker, 1985; Swandulla and Lux, 1985; DelNegro 2002, 2005; Pace et al., 2007a and b; Zhong et al., 2007; Tazerart et al., 2007). In this Chapter I describe biophysical and pharmacological properties of these two persistent inward currents in lobster pyloric neurons. In the following two chapters, I address their modulation by monoamines and their functional importance in the physiology of the pyloric pacemaker neuron.

The calcium-activated non-specific current, $I_{CAN}$, is carried through ionic channels thought to be encoded by members of trp superfamily of genes in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Montell, 2001; Venkatachalam and Montell, 2007; Matsuura et al., 2009); however, their exact structure in lobster STNS is unknown. The total number of insect TRP family members is 13 or 14, approximately half that of mammalian TRP family members (Matsuura et al., 2009). Although the majority of trp-related channels are expressed in sensory neurons and function to shape sensory physiology (Montell, 2001), here I am focusing on the role of these channels in regulating neuronal excitability. CAN channels were first described in non-neuronal cells, including cardiomyocytes (Colquhoun et al., 1981) and pancreatic acinar cells (Maruyama
et al., 1981). In sensory systems, calcium-activated inward currents shape receptor and central neuron physiology (Cho et al., 2003; Van den Abbeele et al., 1994; Zufall et al., 1991). In motor systems they have been shown to be critical in such neuronal properties as calcium-dependent prolonged plateau potentials (Zhang et al., 1995; Lee and Tepper, 2007), afterdepolarizations (Haj-Dahmane et al., 1997) and pacemaker bursting (Swandulla and Lux, 1987; Sawada et al., 1990; Pace et al., 2007a). Indeed, CAN channels possess certain properties that allow them to generate neuronal bistability: they pass depolarizing current; they are voltage-independent and activated by accumulation of intracellular calcium; they do not show any voltage or calcium-dependent inactivation; and the kinetics of activation and deactivation of the current are very slow (Partridge et al., 1994). Additionally, CAN channels can be calcium-permeable themselves and hence are capable of self-activation and amplification of depolarizing mechanism. The permeability of these channels to different cations, however, varies between different types: in cardiomyocytes (Guinamard et al., 2006), photoreceptors, rat dorsal root ganglion cells and an Aplysia pacemaker neuron (Kramer and Zucker, 1985) they are virtually impermeable to calcium, while in a Helix bursting neuron (Swandulla and Lux, 1985), a crab stomatogastric neuron (Zhang et al., 1995), a Lymnaea pacemaker neuron (Yazenian and Byerly, 1989), the respiratory pacemaker neurons (Pace et al., 2007) and rat Substantia Nigra neurons (Lee and Tepper, 2007) they are permeable to both sodium and calcium. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ does not have any intrinsic voltage dependence; instead it is activated by calcium ions binding to the cytoplasmic side of the channels which increases the probability of the opening of the channel. $I_{\text{CAN}}$-activating calcium ions can come from the extracellular space via voltage-gated or receptor-gated calcium channels (Hall et al., 2002, Mackay et al., 2007; Pace et al., 2007a) or can be released from
intracellular calcium stores such as smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or mitochondria. Specifically, in rhythmic cells, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ can be activated by IP$_3$ sensitive calcium release from the ER (Partridge and Swandulla, 1987; Sawada et al., 1990; Partridge and Valenzuela 1999; Crowder et al., 2007; Pace et al., 2007a); or by caffeine activation of Ryanodine-sensitive calcium release (Currie & Scott, 1992; Vinogradova et al., 2006).

In the lobster STG, Zhang and colleagues previously described $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the dorsal gastric neuron and showed that it can be evoked by a depolarizing pre-step or by caffeine puff, but the presence and properties of this current in pyloric neurons were still unknown. I have characterized the biophysical and pharmacological properties of this current in three pyloric cell types, the pacemaker interneuron (Anterior Burster, AB) and two motoneurons (Lateral Pyloric, LP and Pyloric Dilator, PD).

The persistent sodium current is exclusively carried by sodium ions and is thought to represent the small fraction of sodium channels that fail to inactivate rapidly during a voltage step, thus appearing to be persistent during the step (Crill 1996; Magistretti et al. 1999, Alzheimer et al. 1993, Brown et al. 1994, Taddese and Bean 2002). The exact mechanism of this current is not known, although some contribution of a window current (Attwell et al., 1979), as well as regulation by sodium channel auxiliary beta subunits have been suggested (Aman et al., 2009). $I_{\text{Na(P)}}$ is widely expressed in neurons and regulates cellular excitability and synaptic transmission. In peripheral and central sensory neurons, it is involved in mediating neuropathic pain sensation (Lampert et al., 2006)

Because of its biophysical properties, $I_{\text{Na(P)}}$ was shown to be important in regulating neuronal excitability and contribute to bursting in several motor systems (Bevan and Wilson, 1999; Thoby-Brisson and Ramirez, 2001; Taddese
and Bean, 2002; Darbon et al., 2004; Del Negro et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2005; Enomoto et al., 2006; Paton et al., 2006; Van Drongelen et al., 2006; Cramer et al, 2007; Zhong et al., 2007; Tazerart, et al., 2008). \( I_{Na(P)} \) in these neurons activates around -60 mV and peaks at -40mV in most neurons, although some neurons can have an unusually hyperpolarized activation voltage (Huang and Trussell, 2009). In the STG, based on the current clamp experiments and a mathematical model, \( I_{Na(P)} \) was suggested to play a putative role in the STG (Elson and Selverston, 1997), but it was never systematically studied in voltage clamp. Here I have characterized this current in three pyloric neuronal types, the AB, PD and LP neurons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animals and preparation.** Adult California spiny lobsters (*Panulirus interruptus*) were supplied by Don Tomlinson Commercial Fishing (San Diego, CA) and kept in tanks with artificial sea water. Lobsters were anesthetized in ice; the STNS was dissected out and pinned on a Sylgard-coated Petri dish and superfused with oxygenated lobster physiological saline. The temperature of the bath saline was kept at 16-17°C unless specified otherwise. The STG was desheathed and cells were identified as previously described (Selverston et al. 1976). The experiments in this chapter were done on the anterior burster (AB), pyloric dilator (PD) and lateral pyloric (LP) neurons.

**Electrophysiology.** Two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) was performed using Axoclamp-2B amplifier, Digidata 1440 board and pCLAMP10 software (all from Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

**\( I_{CAN} \) measurements.** Lack of inherent voltage-dependence presents a challenge to measuring \( I_{CAN} \) in voltage clamp. Generally, there are two ways to measure this current: by using a depolarizing pre-step to 0 mV to activate voltage-gated
calcium influx or by application of caffeine or IP₃ to trigger a release from ER calcium-release channels. To measure I_{Ca\text{N}} activated by influx of external calcium in voltage clamp, I used depolarizing pre-steps to 0 mV from $V_h=-50$ mV to activate the voltage-dependent calcium currents. For the determination of the I_{Ca\text{N}} reversal potential, an activating pre-step was followed by series of hyperpolarizing steps from -80 mV to -30 mV in increments of 5 mV to evoke a tail current (Fig 2.1). The peak tail current amplitude was measured and plotted against the value of the voltage step. The deactivating portion of the current trace was fitted with an exponential function using Clampex; the time constants were then plotted against voltage steps. All measured currents were leak subtracted before data analysis.

$I_{Na(P)}$ measurements. $I_{Na(P)}$ was measured in voltage clamp by either driving the neuron with a slow depolarizing ramp (with the rate of 25-100 mV/s) or by long depolarizing steps. Both methods measure the slowly inactivating or non-inactivating (persistent) portion of the current without contribution from the fast, rapidly inactivating sodium current. The peak current was measured, and $I_{Na(P)}$ was calculated as a difference between the currents measured in the absence and the presence of one of the sodium blockers (0.1 µM TTX for the AB neuron and 5-10 µM Riluzole for the LP neuron). For all VC experiments, leak subtraction was done either on-line (P/N=6 protocol) or off-line (direct measure of the leak current and post-hoc digital leak subtraction); both methods gave similar results.

**Solutions.** *Panulirus* physiological saline had the following composition (in mM): 479 NaCl, 12.8 KCl, 13.7 CaCl₂, 3.9 Na₂SO₄, 10.0 MgSO₄, 2 Glucose, and 11.1 Tris base, pH 7.4 (Mulloney and Selverston 1974). To pharmacologically isolate the currents of interest, I used the following blockers:
50 mM tetraethyl-ammonium chloride (TEA) and 4 mM 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) to block potassium currents, 5 mM CsCl to block the hyperpolarization-activated current, 0.1 µM TTX to block the sodium currents (excluded when measuring $I_{Na(P)}$), 0.6 mM CdCl₂ to block calcium currents (excluded when measuring $I_{CAN}$), and $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ M picrotoxin (PTX) to block glutamatergic synapses within the STG; the amount of NaCl was adjusted to compensate for high TEA. In addition to the bath-applied blockers, I loaded the cell body with internal K⁺ channel blockers by iontophoresis, injecting small negative current steps through electrodes loaded with 2M TEA and 2M CsCl for 1-1.5 hours; the cell was allowed to recover for 1-1.5 hours before starting the experiment. I used Nifedipine (Nif, 200 µM) to block the $I_{Ca(V)}$, Flufenamic acid (FFA 0.1-30 µM) to block $I_{CAN}$, Riluzole (Ril, 10 µM) to block $I_{Na(P)}$. To determine the effective concentrations of blockers, serial dilution experiments were performed. The chemicals were purchased from Sigma, Tocris, or Cayman Chemical.

**Data analysis.** Current measurements and current fits were performed using Clampex. The statistical analysis was performed using JMP7 (SAS). The figures were prepared using Excell, Origin and Adobe Illustrator. All values are presented as mean+/-SE, the effect was considered statistically significant at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

**CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CALCIUM-ACTIVATED NON-SELECTIVE CURRENT**

I have measured the following physiological and pharmacological properties of $I_{CAN}$: its reversal potential ($E_{rev}$), deactivation time constant, and sensitivity to a specific blocker of this current, flufenamic acid (FFA). Because this current lacks intrinsic voltage-sensitivity, and due to problems of space clamp in these highly...
Figure 2.1. Properties of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the AB neuron

A. The $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is measured as a slowly deactivating tail current evoked by an activating pre-step to 0 mV and a series of hyperpolarizing steps (top, voltage protocol; bottom, current traces from an AB neuron; an arrowhead marks peak $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ activated by the depolarizing pre-step, an arrow marks the peak $I_{\text{CAN}}$, tail currents).

B. Current-voltage relationship of the tail current measured at the peak (the arrow in A). To find the reversal potential of the current, the data points were fitted with a linear function and the $E_{\text{rev}}$ was extrapolated (-29 mV, $n=8$).

C. For each current trace the decay of the tail current was fitted with an exponential function and the mean time constants from all neurons were plotted against voltage steps. There was no voltage dependence of the time constant of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ deactivation; the mean $\tau$ was $74\pm14$ ms ($n=6$).

D. The current traces in control (black), in 100 µM FFA (red) and in 0.6mM CdCl$_2$ (gray). The FFA blocked $I_{\text{CAN}}$ (arrow), but not $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ (arrowhead).

E. The dose response curve for FFA block of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ at 21°C (black, IC$_{50}=13\pm7$ µM, $n=3$) and 16°C (red, IC$_{50}=247\pm5$ µM, $n=4$). The amplitude of the blocked current is expressed as the % of the control amplitude.

F. DA more than doubled the CAN conductance at -65 mV ($n=5$, $p<0.05$, student’s t-test)
**Graphs and Figures**

**A**
- Graph showing voltage vs. current with labels for ICa(v) and ICAN.
- Traces at different voltage levels.
- Scale indicators: 2 nA, 0.1 s, 0 mV, -50 mV, -80 mV.

**B**
- Graph of Current (nA) vs. Voltage (mV) with data points and error bars.
- Erev label indicated.

**C**
- Graph of τ deactivation (ms) vs. Voltage (mV) with data points and error bars.

**D**
- Graph showing current blocked, % control with labels for FFA, CdCl2, and Control.
- Traces at different voltage levels.

**E**
- Graph of Current blocked, % control vs. [FFA], µM with data points and error bars.
- Temperature labels: 21°C, 16°C.

**F**
- Graph of Conductance, µS vs. temperature with data points and error bars.
- Comparison between C and DA conditions.
branched neurons (Kloppenburg et al. 2000), I was unable to carry out a full biophysical analysis. To measure $I_{CAN}$, all voltage-dependent ionic currents other than calcium currents were blocked by bath application of the following blockers: TTX to block the sodium currents, PTX to block glutamatergic transmission in the STG, 4-AP to block the transient potassium current ($I_{A}$), CsCl to block the hyperpolarization-activated current $I_{h}$, and TEA to block the calcium-activated and delayed rectifier potassium currents. To ensure that the potassium currents, which are quite large in these neurons, were blocked as completely as possible, TEA and CsCl were iontophoretically loaded into a cell 1 hour before VC measurements. $I_{CAN}$ was measured as a slow tail current activated by the voltage-gated calcium current evoked by a depolarizing pre-step to 0 mV. The tail current was recorded at the range of voltages from -80 mV to -30 mV in 5 mV increments (Fig. 2.1). The peak amplitude of this tail current was measured at the beginning of the hyperpolarizing step, taking advantage of the difference in deactivation kinetics of the calcium current and that of $I_{CAN}$. The tail current was leak-subtracted and plotted for each voltage step. As expected for a voltage-independent current, the I-V plot had a linear shape (Figure 2.1B). The data points were fit with a linear function, and $E_{rev}$ was extrapolated for each cell type. The decay of the $I_{CAN}$ tail current was fitted with an exponential function, and resulting time constants $\tau$ were plotted against tail current voltage. The current properties were analyzed for each cell type separately, and the results were compared between cell types. 

**Properties of $I_{CAN}$ in the Anterior Burster Neuron**

Figure 2.1 shows the voltage clamp protocol, sample current traces and the I-V plot showing averaged data from 8 AB neurons (Figure 2.1A). At -65 mV, the mean amplitude of the peak $I_{CAN}$ in the AB was $-2.7 \pm 0.7$ nA ($n=8$). The average
reversal potential was -29 mV (extrapolated from all inward currents in Fig. 2.1B). The current decayed during the hyperpolarizing step, reflecting the time constant of channel deactivation. I fitted the current traces from their peaks to the end of the hyperpolarizing steps with an exponential function to measure the time constant of deactivation (τ deactivation). I tested whether there was any voltage dependence of the deactivation rate. The current tended to decay somewhat more rapidly at the voltages more depolarized than -60 mV, but there was no statistically significant difference between τ values at different voltages (Figure 2.1C). The average τ was 74±14 ms (n=8).

I measured the pharmacological properties of the tail current by blocking it with FFA (an ICAN blocker), BAPTA-AM (a membrane-permeable form of intracellular calcium chelator) and CdCl₂ (wide-spectrum calcium current blocker).

FFA is a fairly specific blocker of the ICAN at concentrations 100-300 µM (Korbmacher et al., 1995; Lee and Tepper, 2007; Pace et al, 2007), but it blocked only 37% of the tail current at 100 µM in my preliminary experiments, which were performed at 16°C. However, FFA visibly precipitated out of solution at 16°C, and I had to raise the temperature to 21°C to maintain the drug in solution in the lobster saline (which has higher osmolarity than vertebrate solutions). The average amplitude of the ICAN was higher at 21°C compared to 16°C (at -65mV - 5.9±0.7 and -2.7±0.7 nA respectively), making it easier to measure. When I compared the FFA dose response experiments at 16°C and 21°C, FFA had a significantly lower IC₅₀ (15.9±6.8 µM, n=3, Figure 2.1E) and more complete block at 21°C than at 16°C (247.4 µM, n=4). Figure 2.1D shows sample traces of the currents measured in control (black), after block by 100 µM FFA (red) and 0.6mM CdCl₂ (gray); the baselines were aligned. Note that 100µM FFA blocked
the tail current (arrowheads) with little effect on the voltage-dependent calcium current (arrows); CdCl$_2$ eliminated $I_{Ca(V)}$ and thus also $I_{CAN}$.

**Properties of $I_{CAN}$ in the Pyloric Dilator Neuron**

The mean amplitude of the leak-subtracted peak current in the PD was $-2.6\pm0.8$ nA at the -65mV step ($n=5$, Figure 2.2A). As was seen with the AB neuron, the current showed a linear relation to the hyperpolarizing step voltage, with an average reversal potential of $-31.8\pm0.3$ mV ($n=5$, Figure 2.2B). I measured the deactivation of $I_{CAN}$ during the maintained hyperpolarizing voltage steps and fit it to an exponential function to determine the time constant ($\tau$) of deactivation. This time constant was considerably slower than the deactivation time constant in the AB neuron; for instance at -65 mV $I_{CAN}$ deactivated with mean $\tau$ of $66\pm14$ ms in the AB and $226\pm32$ ms in the PD. Additionally, in the PD there was an inverse linear dependence of the deactivation time constant on voltage step (Figure 2.2C, $R^2=0.78$, $n=3$), so the current decayed more slowly at hyperpolarized than at depolarized voltages (Figure 2.2C).

Next, I measured the pharmacological properties of the tail current in the PD neuron, using the same methods as for the AB neuron. I measured the FFA effect at 21°C because FFA visibly precipitated out of saline at 16°C (see above). Figure 2.3D shows sample traces of the currents measured under control conditions (black), in the presence of 30µM FFA (red), and 0.6mM CdCl$_2$ (gray). Note that 30µM FFA blocked much of the tail current but had minimal effect on the voltage-dependent calcium current; CdCl$_2$ blocked both currents; there was a small inward tail current in the presence of 0.6mM CdCl$_2$ equal to 10% of the control $I_{CAN}$. FFA blocked $I_{CAN}$ with a mean $IC_{50}=37\pm16$ µM (Figure 2.3E, $n=4$). The effects of other blockers on the $I_{CAN}$ amplitude were: 63±10% in 30µM
Figure 2.2. Properties of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the PD neuron

A. Current traces showing activation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in a PD neuron; an arrowhead marks $I_{\text{Ca}(V)}$ activated by the depolarizing pre-step, while an arrow marks the peak $I_{\text{CAN}}$, tail currents activated at the beginning of the hyperpolarizing steps. The voltage clamp protocol is shown on the right.

B. I-V relationship of the tail current measured at the peak (the arrow in A). To find the reversal potential of the current, the data points were fitted with a linear function. $E_{\text{rev}}$ was extrapolated to -32 mV ($n=5$).

C. Measurements of the time constant for deactivation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the PD neuron, measured as in Fig. 1. The time constant of deactivation linearly decreased at more depolarized voltages ($R^2=0.78$, $n=3$), ranging from 272±34 ms to 163±44 ms.

D. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ current traces under control conditions (black), in 30 µM FFA (red) and in 0.6 mM CdCl$_2$ (gray). The FFA blocked $I_{\text{CAN}}$, but not $I_{\text{Ca}(V)}$, although it changed the activation kinetics of $I_{\text{Ca}(V)}$.

E. The dose response curve for FFA block of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ at 21°C, $IC_{50}=37±16$ µM ($n=4$).

F. CAN conductance at -65 mV under control conditions and in DA was not different ($n=5$).
BAPTA-AM (n=3), 11 ±2% in 0.6mM CdCl₂ (amplitude of the remaining current, expressed as a percentage of the control current, n=5).

**Properties of I_{CAN} in the Lateral Pyloric Neuron**

The mean amplitude of the peak current in the LP was -6.7±1.2 nA at -65 mV (n=4, Figure 2.3A, two LP cells), which is significantly larger than in the PD or AB neurons (n=4, p<0.05). The average reversal potential of I_{CAN} in the LP neuron was -31.8±0.4 mV (n=4) (Figure 2.4B). When held at the hyperpolarizing voltages, the current decayed with extremely variable rates for different LP neurons (the range of τ deactivation for different cells was over two orders of magnitude (Fig. 2.3A), which in part may reflect a large unclamped I_{Ca(V)} in the neuropil, typical for LP neurons (Johnson et al., 2003). Hence I excluded from analysis the LP cells with τ values more than 3 SD above or below the mean. As with the PD, the LP deactivation time constants were voltage-dependent (R²=0.9, n=5) and significantly slower than in the AB (n=5, p<0.05).

Finally, I tested the pharmacological properties of the tail current in the LP neuron. Figure 2.3D shows the does-response curve for FFA; the peak current decreased with an \( IC_{50}=32±0.7 \) µM (n=3, Figure 2.3D). FFA did not affect I_{Ca(V)} at concentrations below 300 µM. The intracellular calcium chelator BAPTA-AM also blocked half of the tail current amplitude at 30µM, while 0.6mM CdCl₂ completely blocked both I_{Ca(V)} and I_{CAN}.

**Dopamine Modulation of I_{CAN} in the Pyloric Neurons**

Dopamine is endogenous to the STNS and has multiple effects on both synaptic and intrinsic properties of the pyloric cells. I measured its effect on CAN currents in AB, PD and LP. To compare between different cells, I calculated the mean conductance at -65 mV for all cells tested. Dopamine had a statistically significant effect on this conductance only in the AB neuron (Figure 2.1F). The
Figure 2.3. Properties of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the LP neuron

A. Current traces from two LP neurons; $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ was activated by the depolarizing pre-step to 20 mV and -10 mV and $I_{\text{CAN}}$ was measured at tail currents activated at the beginning of the hyperpolarizing steps. The voltage clamp protocol is shown above the current traces.

B. I-V relationship of the tail current measured at the peak of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ (the arrowheads in A and B). A linear function extrapolated $E_{\text{rev}}$ to -32 mV ($n=4$). Note the larger $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ and $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude compared to AB and PD.

C. Voltage-dependence of deactivation time constant ($R^2=0.9$, $n=5$).

D. The dose response curve for the FFA at 21°C; IC$_{50}=32\pm1$ µM ($n=4$).

E. CAN conductance at -65 mV under control conditions and in DA was not statistically different ($n=3$, $p>0.05$).
CAN conductance in AB increased from 58±9 nS under control conditions to 145±12 nS in the presence of DA (n=5, p<0.05), while it did not change in the PD (Figure 2.2F, n=2) or the LP (Figure 2.3E, n=3) neurons. This effect of DA in the AB neuron is further explored in Chapter 3.

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PERSISTENT SODIUM CURRENT

The persistent sodium current $I_{Na(P)}$ represents the portion of the sodium current flowing via non- or slowly-inactivating sodium channels. Because this current is very small, I used a cocktail of blockers to suppress all other voltage-gated currents and synaptic transmission by bath application and iontophoresis (Materials and Methods, this chapter). To separate the fast sodium current $I_{Na(T)}$ and the persistent sodium current $I_{Na(P)}$ in voltage clamp I used differences in their inactivation kinetics: from a holding potential of -90 mV, I injected depolarizing ramps (25 mV/s to 100 mV/s) which depolarize slowly enough to inactivate the rapid $I_{Na(T)}$; this allowed me to measure $I_{Na(P)}$ without contribution from $I_{Na(T)}$. Pharmacologically, the persistent sodium current is blocked by TTX and Riluzole, though some persistent currents were reported to be TTX-resistant (Elliot and Elliot, 1993; Dib-Hajj et al., 2002; Kiss, 2003). $I_{Na(P)}$ was defined as the difference between the currents measured in the presence and absence of TTX or Riluzole. I have also tested the serotonin modulation of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the pyloric neurons: the AB, the PD and the LP.

Properties of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the Anterior Burster Neuron

In the AB, the current recorded during a slow depolarizing ramp initially rose linearly as a leak, but then showed an inward dip of a negative slope conductance region at a threshold of -62±8mV (n=5) and reaching its negative peak at -46±5mV (n=5). The mean peak current amplitude was -2.6±0.8 nA (n=5).

Sample ramp current traces are shown on Figure 2.4A, where the gray trace is the...
Figure 2.4. Properties of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the AB neuron

A. $I_{Na(P)}$ was measured during slow depolarizing voltage ramps from -80 mV to 10 mV (top, voltage clamp protocol) as a region of negative slope conductance (bottom, current trace: gray is total ramp current, black is leak-subtracted ramp current). The fast sodium current inactivated during the slow ramps and did not contribute to the $I_{Na(P)}$ amplitude.

B. The rate of the depolarizing ramp had minimal effect on the maximal $I_{Na(P)}$ current amplitude (top, voltage ramps of different rates, gray is 133 mV/s, black is 33 mV/s; bottom, the current traces for the corresponding voltage ramps).

C. Current-voltage relationship of $I_{Na(P)}$, a small inward current activating at about -60 mV and reaching its peak at about -45 mV on average ($n=4$). The apparent reversal potential at 0 mV was more hyperpolarized than expected +50 mV, probably because of partially unblocked outward currents.

D. Block of $I_{Na(P)}$ by Riluzole. The traces are examples of the currents under control conditions (black), in 10 µM Riluzole (red) and in 100 µM Riluzole (gray).

E. Riluzole dose-response curve. The amplitude of the blocked portion of the current is shown as % of the control amplitude. IC$_{50}$=3.2±2 µM.

F. Serotonin did not affect maximum $G_{Na(P)}$ in the AB neuron ($n=5$, p>0.05, student’s t-test)
total ramp current and the black trace is the leak subtracted ramp current. Varying the rate of the command voltage ramp had only minor effect on the peak amplitude of the current (Figure 2.4B, overlapped current trace at 133mV/s (gray), or 33mV/s (black)); I mostly used 100 mV/s ramps. The current-voltage relationship of the leak subtracted $I_{Na(P)}$ under control conditions is shown on Figure 2.4C. Note that the persistent sodium current reversed at 0 mV rather than $+50 \text{ mV}$, probably because of the residual unblocked potassium currents. In pyloric neurons, amplitude of these outward currents are normally two orders of magnitude larger than the $I_{Na(P)}$ amplitude I measured in these experiments. Therefore, despite my efforts to block them as much as possible, residual potassium currents contaminated my recordings, shifting the reversal potential of the ramp current to more negative voltages.

I next tested the sensitivity of the AB’s $I_{Na(P)}$ to sodium current blockers Riluzole and TTX. Fig. 2.4D shows sample traces of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the presence of Riluzole which completely blocked the current with an IC$_{50}$ of $3.2\pm0.2 \mu\text{M}$ (n=3, dose response curve, Figure 2.4E). The current in the AB neuron was also completely blocked by 0.1µM TTX (not shown).

Serotonin had no effect on the amplitude of the persistent sodium current in the AB neuron (Fig. 2.1F, Na(P) maximum conductance under control conditions and in 5HT, n=5, p>0.05).

**Absence of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the Pyloric Dilator Neuron**

In all of 5 PD neurons tested, I was unable to detect a significant $I_{Na(P)}$. Examples of the current traces and I-V curve in the PD neuron are given in Figure 2.5A and B: total ramp current traces are gray and the leak subtracted currents traces are black. The slowest ramp rate I tested was 10 mV/s, at which all fast sodium current is presumably inactivated (Figure 2.5A), but I did not detect any region of
Figure 2.5. Absence of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in the PD neuron

A. Failure to detect $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in a PD neuron. Voltage ramps of varying speed (3-10 sec) were used to evoke $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ (inset). The absence of any inward rectification in the current trace, and the failure to block action potential generation during the slow ramp was typical for all PD neurons tested ($n=5$); total ramp current (gray) and leak-subtracted current (black).

B. Current-voltage relationship for a PD neuron (gray is the total ramp current, black is the leak subtracted current).

C. Application of 10 µM Riluzole did not alter the ramp current in a PD neuron: leak subtracted ramps under control conditions (black) and in the presence of Riluzole (red). The small region of the current trace is enlarged below to show the typical break out of VC, with action potentials generated in unclamped regions of the neuron.
Figure 2.6. Properties of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the LP neuron

A. Block of $I_{Na(P)}$ by Riluzole in the LP neuron: control (black), in 10µM Riluzole (gray) and $I_{Na(P)}$ calculated as a difference between control and Riluzole currents (red trace). Compared to AB, LP neurons typically had much larger amplitude.

B. Block of $I_{Na(P)}$ by TTX in another LP neuron: control (black), in 0.1 mM TTX (gray) and the difference between the two (red trace). Lower concentrations of TTX only partially blocked $I_{Na(P)}$ in LP neurons.

C. Riluzole block of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the LP neuron. The current amplitude is expressed as % of control amplitude (n=1, $IC_{50}$=6 µM).

D. TTX block of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the LP neuron. Note that 0.1µM TTX, that is used to abolish action potentials in pyloric neurons, blocked only 38% of the $I_{Na(P)}$ (n=1, $IC_{50}$=330 nM).

E. Serotonin did not change maximum $G_{Na(P)}$ in the LP neuron (n=5, p>0.05, student’s t-test).
negative slope conductance in the PD. PD cells usually escaped from the voltage clamp and began to fire, no matter how slow the slope of the voltage ramp, reflecting the electrotonic distance from the recording site to the spike initiation zone (Example in Fig. 2.5C). Application of 10µM Riluzole did not have any effect on the current-voltage relationship (Figure 2.5C, black trace is under control conditions, red trace is in the presence of 10µM Riluzole).

**Properties of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the Lateral Pyloric Neuron**

LP had the largest $I_{Na(P)}$ among three cell types tested, with a mean peak inward amplitude of 22±6 nA ($n=5$). The current started to activate at -60±2mV and reached its peak at -49±3 mV ($n=5$). Figure 2.6A shows sample current-voltage relation of the ramp current in control (black trace), and in the presence of 10 µM Riluzole (gray); $I_{Na(P)}$ was calculated as the difference between the control and Riluzole currents (red). The apparent IC$_{50}$ for Riluzole block was 6 µM (Fig. 2.6C). TTX was not as effective in blocking $I_{Na(P)}$ as it was in the AB neuron: (Fig. 2.6B, control ramp current, black trace; in $10^{-4}$ M TTX, gray trace; and the difference current, $I_{Na(P)}$, red trace). The concentration of TTX ($10^{-7}$M) sufficient to block action potentials and hence fast sodium currents in lobster pyloric cells, was not adequate to block all of the persistent current in the LP neurons (Fig. 2.6D, IC$_{50}$ for TTX=270 nM), which was different from the AB neuron.

As in the AB, serotonin did not alter the Na(P) maximum conductance in the LP neuron (Fig. 2.6E, $n=5$, p>0.05), although the absolute values of the conductance were significantly larger in the LP compared to the AB (Fig. 2.7E).

**DISCUSSION**

I have characterized two slow inward currents, $I_{CAN}$ and $I_{Na(P)}$, in three different classes of the lobster pyloric neurons. As is the case with other ionic currents in the pyloric neurons that were characterized previously in our lab (Peck et al.,
2001, 2006; Johnson et al., 2003; Gruhn et al, 2006; Ouyang et al, 2006), the slow inward currents had cell-type specific properties. These distinct intrinsic properties are expected, given the different firing patterns and functional roles of these neurons: AB as an interneuron with endogenous bursting capabilities (Miller and Selverston, 1982a; Russell and Hartline, 1978, Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986), PD as a motoneuron with a tendency to fire tonically in isolation (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986), and LP as a motoneuron with strong bistable properties in the presence of neuromodulators.

**Cell-type specificity of $I_{CAN}$**

$I_{CAN}$ was measured in synaptically isolated cells under conditions of block of all voltage-gated currents except calcium current. Although some unblocked potassium currents could be a contributing factor, I tried to eliminate this possibility by using intracellular blockers of potassium channels (CsCl and TEA). I could not use pharmacological block of $I_{Ca(V)}$ to separate it from ICAN; hence I used their electrophysiological properties to do that. In the pyloric neurons, $I_{Ca(V)}$ activates above -40 mV and reaches its peak around -10 mV to 0 mV (Johnson et al., 2003). Therefore, to measure the CAN current on isolation I did it at the voltages below -40 mV. Additionally, $I_{Ca(V)}$ shows calcium-dependent inactivation in some neurons including pyloric neurons, while $I_{CAN}$ does not show intrinsic inactivation (Partridge et al., 1994). Finally, $I_{Ca(V)}$ de-activates on a faster time scale than $I_{CAN}$; hence I measured the tail current at least 100 ms after the command voltage was stepped down to ensure the complete de-activation of the calcium current.

I have found that CAN current amplitude is much larger in the LP compared to the AB and PD. To compare between cell types, I have calculated the conductance at -65 mV step in all neurons tested. Under control conditions, the
Figure 2.7. Cell-type differences in the properties of the slow inward currents

A. Mean calcium-activated nonselective conductances ($G_{CAN}$) calculated from currents measured at -65 mV in AB (n=6), PD (n=5) and LP (n=4). $G_{CAN}$ was significantly larger in the LP compared to the AB and the PD (n=4, p<0.001, student’s t-test). There was no difference between AB and PD mean conductances.

B. Reversal potential for $I_{CAN}$ in AB, PD and LP was not statistically different (n=5, p>0.05, student’s t-test).

C. CAN current deactivation time constant at -65 mV was significantly shorter in the AB neuron compared to the PD and the LP (n=5, p<0.05, student’s t-test).

D. DA significantly increased CAN conductance at -65 mV in the AB neuron (n=5, p<0.05, student’s t-test), but not in the LP (n=3, p>0.05, student’s t-test) or the PD (n=3).

E. There was no significant difference in $I_{Na(P)}$ activation and peak voltages between AB and LP neurons. Vact is the voltage at which $I_{Na(P)}$ starts activating; Vpeak is the voltage at which $I_{Na(P)}$ reaches its peak values as measured with slow depolarizing ramp (n=5, p>0.05, student’s t-test).

F. Maximum persistent sodium conductance was significantly smaller in the AB neuron, compared to that in the LP neuron (n=5, p<0.05, student’s t-test).
mean LP conductance (200±29 nS) was significantly larger compared to those of AB (58±9 nS) and PD (80±25 nS) (n=6, p<0.05). Larger $I_{CAN}$ in the LP can be explained by several factors. First, CAN channels may be more densely expressed in this cell type which, together with larger surface area of LP, may lead to the larger whole-cell current. Based on our earlier measurements of the input capacitance (Baro et al., 1997), the $I_{CAN}$ current density in the LP, AB and PD neurons is 136, 97 and 67 nS/nF, suggesting that the larger current in the LP neuron is not simply a reflection of its larger surface area. Second, $I_{CAN}$ may be larger simply because of the larger $I_{CA(V)}$: peak amplitude of the calcium current is well above 20 nA in the LP, about 15 nA in the PD, and less than 10 nA in the AB (Johnson et al., 2003). Without ability to measure single channel conductance it is impossible to make a reliable conclusion about this difference in CAN current between AB and LP.

A second property of $I_{CAN}$ that showed cell-type specificity was its deactivation kinetics. In the AB, deactivation was independent of voltage, while both PD and LP showed significant voltage-dependence (Figs 2.1C, 2.2C, 2.3C), deactivating more rapidly at more depolarized voltages. When compared at -65 mV, the deactivation time constant after the 200 ms activating pre-step was significantly shorter in the AB (70 ms) compared to PD and LP (220 ms and 250 ms, respectively), while there was no difference between PD and LP deactivation kinetics (n=5, p<0.05 for AB, Fig. 2.7C).

In all three neurons, $I_{CAN}$ was sensitive to FFA and BAPTA-AM; both had approximate half-block concentrations about 15-30 µM at 21°C. This was in agreement with earlier reported values in respiratory CPG, cardiomyocytes and brain slices (Pace et al., 2007; Demion et al., 2006; Lee and Tepper, 2007). In all cell types 0.6 mM Cd$^{2+}$ completely blocked the calcium and the calcium-
dependent currents. Thus, there were no obvious cell-specific differences in the pharmacological blockade of $I_{\text{CAN}}$.

In many vertebrate and invertebrate neurons, the CAN current is subject to modulation by G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR)-activated pathways involving second messengers such as cAMP (Partridge et al., 1990; Van den Abbeele et al., 1994; Cho et al., 2003), DAG (Guinamard et al., 2004) and IP$_3$ (Congar et al., 1997; Partridge & Valenzuela, 1999; Pace et al., 2007). In the STG, Clark and colleagues have cloned three different DA receptors that are coupled to the $G_s$, $G_q$ and $G_i$ pathways. It is possible that DA may act via Gq-PLC pathway to directly enhance $I_{\text{CAN}}$ by DAG or to indirectly activate $I_{\text{CAN}}$ by IP$_3$-sensitive calcium release from endoplasmic reticulum. Dopamine reversibly increased CAN conductance at -65 mV in the AB interneuron (248±15 % of control), but not in the PD or LP motor neurons (106±25 % and 103±29 % of control, respectively) (Fig.2.7D, n=5, p<0.05 for AB). DA enhancement of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ may at least partially underlie AB’s unique ability to burst endogenously upon dopamine application. In the next chapter, I will look in more detail at the dopamine modulation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in the AB neuron and the functional implications of this modulation.

**Cell-type specificity of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$**

As with $I_{\text{CAN}}$, I found that all three types of pyloric neurons have physiologically and pharmacologically distinct persistent sodium currents. The first cell type specific feature was a difference in the amount of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$. The PD, a motoneuron electrically coupled to the AB to form the pacemaking kernel, completely lacked any detectable $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ (Fig 2.5). Since there was no significant difference in the activation and peak voltages between the AB and LP neurons (Fig. 2.7E, n=5, p>0.05), I have calculated the maximum conductance for each cell type to compare $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in AB and LP. The AB, an interneuron capable of endogenous
bursting in the presence of monoamines (Miller and Selverston, 1982a; Russell and Hartline, 1978; Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986), typically had a small current ($G_{\text{max}}=27\pm4 \text{nS, } n=5$), while the LP, a motoneuron with bistable properties, had the largest ($G_{\text{max}}=174\pm27 \text{nS}$). The difference was statistically significant (Fig 2.7F, $n=5$, $p<0.05$). This disparity in the whole cell conductance between AB and LP may in part reflect the differences in the cell size: AB and LP have 50 µm and 150 µm diameters, respectively (Thuma et al., 2009). Based on our earlier measurements of input capacitance in pyloric neurons (Baro et al., 1997), the $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ current density in the AB and LP neurons is 41 and 118 nS/nF, suggesting that the difference between the two neurons is not entirely due to differences in surface area. Both experimental and theoretical work has shown that the relative balance of the opposing ionic currents in a neuron may have a profound effect on the neuron’s functional state (Guckenheimer et al., 1993, Butera et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2000). For instance, the ratio of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ to leak current was shown to be the main difference between pacemaker and non-pacemaker neurons in the respiratory CPG (Smith et al., 1985; Butera et al., 1999; Del Negro et al., 1998, 2002). Hence the final physiological outcome of a smaller or larger $\text{Na}(P)$ conductance may depend on the differences in outward currents in AB and LP. For instance, the AB neuron has smaller $I_A$ and leak currents, resulting in higher input resistance compared to the LP neuron (Peck et al., 2001). This balance between $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ and outward currents may change upon monoamine application because of modulation of these currents. In the AB neuron, serotonin has no effect on the $I_A$ (Peck et al., 2001); and while it did not enhance $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ (Fig. 2.5F), serotonin-induced inhibition of other outward currents in this neuron may unmask $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$, leading to AB bursting as I will discuss in Chapter 4. As for my inability to detect $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in the PD neuron, it may stem from space clamp...
difficulties due to the properties of gap-junction between PD and AB neurons. An experimental study of the effect of electrical coupling on measurements of voltage-gated currents in the spiny lobster determined the errors to be in the range of 5-10% of the measured conductance (Rabbah et al., 2005). However, their model predicted these errors rise up to 20% depending on the location of the electrical synapse (gap-junction) and even higher (up to 90%) for smaller conductances; they have also suggested that measurements of regenerative inward conductances may be complicated by the loss of voltage control.

A second difference between the AB and LP neurons was the differential TTX sensitivity of \( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \). High specificity of TTX block comes from a unique TTX-binding site of the sodium channel, although some sodium channels have been reported to be TTX-resistant (Elliot and Elliot, 1993; Dib-Hajj et al., 2002; Kiss, 2003). In mammals, the binding site of the TTX-resistant channels differs in one residue from that of their TTX-sensitive counterparts; they belong to the subgroups encoded by \( \text{Nav}1.6, 1.8 \) and \( 1.9 \). (Ogata and Ohishi, 2002) and are mostly involved in neuropathic pain (Silos-Santiago I., 2008). Recently, it was shown that TTX still binds to this altered binding site, but lacks the potency to block the current (Farmer et al., 2008). It appears that pyloric neurons may be expressing different subtypes of sodium channels: TTX-sensitive in the AB but less TTX-sensitive in the LP. TTX at the concentration that is routinely used in STNS experiments to block action potentials (0.1\( \mu \)M), was sufficient to block \( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \) in the AB (Fig. 2.4). However, LP had a significantly lower sensitivity to TTX: the same concentration of TTX (0.1\( \mu \)M) blocked less than 40% of the current amplitude, and it took 100 \( \mu \)M TTX for a complete block. However, \( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \) in both cell types was still sensitive to low doses of Riluzole (IC\(_{50}\) in the range of low micromolar concentrations, Fig 2.4 and 2.6).
Functional significance of cell-type specificity of $I_{Na(P)}$. Neuronal excitability is linked to the patterns of expression of specific sodium channel isoforms with distinct biophysical and pharmacological characteristics. For instance, different neuronal subpopulations of DRG neurons were shown to differ in their sodium channel composition which affected their firing properties (Rush et al., 2007).

The AB neuron, as a pacemaker driving the whole pyloric network, has to have high level of excitability and be sensitive to descending neuromodulatory inputs. $I_{Na(P)}$ can provide this higher excitability at subthreshold voltages and ensure the pacemaking function in the AB. The PD neurons are not endogenously rhythmic in the presence of monoamines; instead they are driven by the AB and may act as a frequency regulating mechanism in the pacemaker kernel. PD neurons appear to have little or no $I_{Na(P)}$. Having biophysically and pharmacologically distinct subtypes of pacemaker neurons is not unique to the pyloric system. In the mammalian respiratory CPG, there are two different subtypes of the pacemaker neurons: the fast bursters are blocked by TTX and the slow bursters are blocked by a combination of TTX and CdCl$_2$ (Thoby-Brisson and Ramirez, 2000, 2001); each subgroup is thought to have distinct physiological functions. Finally, the LP is a motoneuron with very strong bistable properties. Since it provides the only synaptic feedback to the pacemaker kernel, the LP may need an additional boost to its depolarizing forces provided by $I_{Na(P)}$. 
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CHAPTER 3
DOPAMINE-INDUCED BURSTING IN THE PYLORIC PACEMAKER NEURON

INTRODUCTION

Dopamine (DA)-induced bursting in the pyloric pacemaker neuron (Anterior Burster, or AB) is critically dependent on external calcium, minimally affected by external sodium concentration and insensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX) (Harris-Warrick and Flamm 1987). This calcium-dependence of DA-induced bursting suggests an involvement of the voltage-gated calcium current (VGCC) and/or the calcium-activated non-selective current ($I_{CAN}$); both currents have been described as critical players in many vertebrate and invertebrate rhythmic neurons (Partridge and Swandulla, 1987; Büschges et al., 2000; Perrier et al., 2000; Pace et al., 2007a).

In the AB neuron, the voltage-gated calcium current is inhibited by up to 75% by 0.1mM DA (Johnson et al. 2003), significantly reducing the influx of calcium ions to the cell. Thus, the voltage-gated calcium current described by Johnson et al. cannot be the major driving force behind the slow depolarization in AB when DA is added, nor can it be the prime driver for the DA-evoked oscillations. However, DA enhances calcium-dependent properties in AB, such as the increase in synaptic output (Johnson et al., 1995; Harris-Warrick et al., 1998) and an augmentation of $I_{K(Ca)}$ (Johnson et al., 2003). Therefore, I tested the hypothesis that DA may also enhance the calcium-activated non-specific current, $I_{CAN}$, in the AB neuron for rhythm generation in the pyloric network.

The CAN channels are non-selective cationic channels with slow activation kinetics; they have been demonstrated to play a critical role in the generation of bistability and plateau potentials in the Dorsal Gastric neuron in the crab STG.
These channels are thought to be encoded by members of the *trp* family of genes in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Montell, 2001; Venkatachalam and Montell, 2007; Matsuura *et al.*, 2009); however, their exact structure in the lobster STNS is unknown. The $I_{\text{CAN}}$ does not have intrinsic voltage dependence; instead, it is activated by calcium ions coming from the extracellular space or from intracellular calcium stores such as the smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or mitochondria. I tested the hypothesis that in the lobster pyloric pacemaker AB neuron, DA may increase $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}$ by triggering $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ release from intracellular stores or by inhibiting the sequestration of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ions, or both; this way DA may indirectly enhance $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and generate rhythmic oscillations.

If $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is involved in the initiation and maintenance of dopamine-induced bursting in the AB neuron, the following predictions can be made:

1. Blockade of the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ should reduce or stop bursting, and DA should enhance the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ as an essential step to generating bursting from a quiescent state.
2. To activate $I_{\text{CAN}}$, dopamine should increase the intracellular calcium concentration by some mechanism, since it does not enhance $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ at the membrane.
3. The most likely source of a DA-induced $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ rise would be by release from intracellular calcium stores. Therefore, treatment with specific blockers of channels or pumps that regulate intracellular calcium handling should prevent the emergence of, or abolish an ongoing dopamine rhythm.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Animals and preparation.* Adult California spiny lobsters (*Panulirus interruptus*) were supplied by Don Tomlinson Commercial Fishing (San Diego, CA) and kept in tanks with artificial sea water. Lobsters were anesthetized in ice; the STNS was
dissected out and pinned on a Sylgard-coated Petri dish and superfused with oxygenated lobster physiological saline. The bath temperature was maintained at 16-17°C unless otherwise specified. The STG was desheathed, and cells were identified as previously described (Selverston et al., 1976). All experiments in this chapter were done on the Anterior Burster neuron (AB). In current clamp (CC) experiments, the AB cell was synaptically isolated by photoablation of three pyloric motoneurons that are electrically coupled to it - the ventricular dilator (VD) and two pyloric dilator (PD) cells, as described in Miller and Selverston (1979). In some experiments (voltage clamp and imaging), to block synaptic and neuromodulatory input to AB neuron I added TTX and PTX to the saline instead of photoablation; this did not make any difference in DA-induced bursting (also see Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987); therefore, all results were pooled for data analysis.

**Electrophysiology.** Conventional current clamp (CC) and two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) recordings were performed using an Axoclamp-2B amplifier, DigiData 1440 data acquisition board and pCLAMP10 software (all from Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). For voltage clamp protocols see the Materials and Methods section of Chapter 2 of this thesis. Leak subtraction was done either on-line (P/N=6 leak subtraction protocol during data acquisition in Clampex) or off-line (direct measurement of the leak current during experiment with digital leak subtraction afterward); both methods gave similar results.

**Solutions.** *Panulirus* physiological saline had the following composition (in mM): 479 NaCl, 12.8 KCl, 13.7 CaCl₂, 3.9 Na₂SO₄, 10.0 MgSO₄, 2 Glucose, and 11.1 Tris base, pH 7.4 (Mulloney and Selverston, 1974). The descending input to the STG from the oesophageal ganglion and the paired commissural ganglia via the stomatogastric nerve (STN) was blocked by application of isotonic
sucrose solution with $10^{-7}$M TTX into a small Vaseline well built around the
STN. To block all other currents I used the following blockers: 50 mM
tetraethylammonium chloride (TEA) and 4 mM 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) to block
potassium currents, 5 mM CsCl to block the hyperpolarization-activated current,
0.1 μM TTX to block sodium currents and $5\cdot10^{-6}$ M picrotoxin (PTX) to block
glutamatergic synapses within the STG. In addition to the bath-applied blockers,
I loaded the cell body with internal blockers by injecting small negative current
steps (1-4 nA) through an electrode loaded with 2M TEA and 2M CsCl for 1-1.5
hours; the blockers were allowed to diffuse through the cell for 1-2 hours before
starting the experiment. I used 100 μM Nifedipine (Nif) to block the L-type $I_{Ca(V)}$,
30 μM Flufenamic acid (FFA) to block the $I_{CAN}$, 30μM BAPTA-AM to chelate
intracellular calcium ions, 10-100 nM Ryanodine (Ry) to block Ryanodine
receptor-channels, 1-10 μM Xestospongion (Xe) C or D to block IP3-receptor
channels, and 10 μM Thapsigargin (Tg) to block the SERCA pump. The
chemicals were purchased from Sigma, Tocris, or Cayman Chemical.

**Two-photon calcium imaging.** Fluorescent dyes were injected into the AB
neuron using an ionophoresis procedure described above and elsewhere
(Kloppenburg *et al.*, 2000). Only AB neurons that at about half an hour following
iontophoresis maintained rhythmic activity and showed labeling in fine neurites
were retained for analysis. We have successfully labeled the AB neuron with
combinations of dextran-conjugated Cascade Blue and potassium salts of Calcium
Green-1 or Indo-1 (all from Invitrogen). The two-photon microscope is based on
an upright Olympus BX50WI unit (Kwan *et al.*, 2009; Diaz-Rios *et al.*, 2007).
The excitation, generated by a Ti:Sapphire laser (Tsunami, Spectra-Physics), was
scanned by a modified Bio-Rad Radiance scan head and focused onto the sample
by a water immersion 40X/NA 0.80 objective (Olympus). The laser intensity was
controlled by a Pockels cell (350-80LA, Conoptics). The typical after-objective laser power used in our experiments was 5-10 mW. For Calcium Green-1, the specimen was excited at 800 nm and the fluorescence was collected by a GaAsP photomultiplier tube (H7422, Hamamatsu) after a bandpass filter between 500-650 nm (Chroma). For Indo-1, the specimen was excited at 720 nm and the fluorescence was separated by a DCLP440 dichroic mirror into two detection channels, 360-430 nm with a bialkali photomultiplier tube (HC125-02, Hamamatsu) and 485-505 nm with a GaAsP photomultiplier tube. The line scans were synchronized to the electrophysiological recordings by connecting the voltage output of the amplifier to the image acquisition system and recording membrane potential on a third detection channel (Kloppenburg et al., 2000).

For the emission ratiometric calcium indicator Indo-1, we estimated the absolute calcium concentration, \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\), by calculating the ratio between fluorescence detected at the two emission channels, \(R = F_{395}/F_{495}\), and using the equation, \([Ca^{2+}] = K_{\text{eff}} \cdot (R - R_0) / (R_1 - R)\), where \(K_{\text{eff}}\) is the in situ dissociation constant of Indo-1, and \(R_o\) and \(R_1\) are the fluorescence ratios at zero and saturating calcium concentrations. Background fluorescence was estimated from a region without neurites and subtracted from the actual fluorescence signals. Because the dissociation constant within fine neurites of STG neurons is not known, we assumed that it is similar to the value measured in salt solution, so \(K_{\text{eff}} \sim K_d = 250\) nM (Gryniewiecz et al., 1985). To measure \(R_1\), we topically applied a calcium ionophore, 4-Bromo A23187 (Invitrogen) to equilibrate the intra- and extracellular calcium concentrations. We also tried to measure \(R_0\) by washing in saline with zero \(Ca^{2+}\) and 100 µM BAPTA-AM, but the fluorescence signals at both detection channels decreased rapidly and precluded a meaningful measurement of \(R_0\). We observed this rapid loss of fluorescence in three different AB neuron
preparations, and the effect also occurs frequently in other STG neurons (Warren Zipfel, personal communication). Instead of measuring $R_0$, we assumed that $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}$ in saline is 97 nM as previously measured in STG neurons (Kloppenburg et al., 2001) and used $K_{\text{eff}}$, $R_1$, and $R_{\text{saline}}$ to calculate $R_0$ and then used these calibration parameters to calculate $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}$ under other conditions.

**Data analysis.** Electrophysiological data analysis was performed using Clampfit10 (Molecular devices). The Calcium imaging data were analyzed with ImageJ (NIH, USA). Synchronization of imaging and physiology was done with custom-written MATLAB software. Statistical analyses were performed with JMP 7 (SAS systems Inc., USA). Graphs and figures were made in Excel, Origin 6 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA) and Adobe Illustrator CS3 (Adobe Systems Inc., USA).

**RESULTS**

**Dopamine-Induced Bursting in AB is Blocked by FFA, a Blocker of the Calcium-Activated Non-Selective Current**

I tested the involvement of the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in rhythmogenesis by application of a specific blocker of this current, Flufenamic acid (FFA), to the oscillating AB neuron. When FFA was applied at 16°C, the AB neuron ceased bursting and fell quiescent within 30 minutes at FFA concentrations of 30-70 µM (Fig. 3.1A, a synaptically isolated AB; Fig. 3.1B, another AB neuron in the presence of TTX+PTX+DA). Unfortunately, at this lower temperature, FFA was poorly soluble in lobster saline (with 0.1 % DMSO), and tended to come out of solution during the experiment. At higher bath temperatures (21°C), the FFA dissolved completely, and its blockade of AB bursting was much more powerful: 1-3µM was enough to block the ongoing dopamine bursting (Fig. 3.1C, AB in TTX+PTX+DA at 21°C). Notably, as the rhythm ceased, the oscillation’s trough potential depolarized until
Figure 3.1. Low concentrations of FFA abolished DA oscillations in a synaptically isolated AB; the effective dose varies with temperature.

A, The AB neuron was isolated by photoablation.
B and C, AB neuron was isolated by application of TTX+PTX. Low temperatures require higher FFA concentration to stop oscillations: A and B, at 16°C the effective concentration of FFA was 30µM; C, at 21°C 3 µM FFA was sufficient to block DA oscillations.
A 16°C
DA  FFA 1 µM 20 min  FFA 10 µM 20 min  FFA 30 µM 30 min
-60mV
10 mV/1 s

B 16°C
DA+TTX+PTX  FFA 1 µM 20 min  FFA 10 µM 20 min  FFA 30 µM 40 min
-60mV
10 mV/1 s

C 21°C
DA+TTX+PTX  FFA 1 µM 20 min  FFA 3 µM 5 min  FFA 3 µM 25 min
-60mV
10 mV/2 s
the cell fell silent at -40-42 mV, near where the slow voltage wave peaked before FFA application during DA oscillations. This depolarization may be caused by an indirect block of \( I_{K(Ca)} \) by FFA and subsequent depolarization of the \( V_m \). To rule out the possibility that this depolarization was responsible for the cessation of bursting, I injected small negative current (usually 1-5 nA) to bring the \( V_m \) down to its pre-blocker trough values of -55-60 mV. Although early during FFA application, AB bursting could be rescued by hyperpolarizing the \( V_m \), after 5-7 more minutes of FFA application, the cell became completely unable to burst or oscillate, even when hyperpolarized. This suggests that it is the FFA block of the inward current and not the possible secondary effect of depolarization that causes disruption of the rhythm.

**Dopamine Enhances \( I_{CAN} \) despite its Inhibition of \( I_{Ca(V)} \) in the AB**

To further study the possible role of the \( I_{CAN} \) in pacemaker bursting, I looked at its modulation by DA. The general biophysical and pharmacological properties of the \( I_{CAN} \) have been described in Chapter 2 of this thesis.

**Amplitude of \( I_{CAN} \).** I compared the peak amplitude of the CAN current before and during application of dopamine. The \( I_{CAN} \) amplitude was measured as the amplitude of the slow tail current after a depolarizing pre-step to 0 mV to activate the \( I_{Ca(V)} \) (Fig. 3.2A, \( I_{Ca(V)} \) is marked by an arrow and \( I_{CAN} \) by an arrowhead; voltage protocol shown in the small inset). In agreement with the previous results in our lab (Johnson *et al.* 2003), DA significantly reduced the amplitude of \( I_{Ca(V)} \) (Fig. 3.2A, red trace, arrow). Despite this, the mean peak amplitude of \( I_{CAN} \) was larger in the presence of DA (Fig. 3.2A, red trace, arrowhead). For all 8 neurons tested, this effect of DA was statistically significant at voltages more hyperpolarized than -45 mV (\( p<0.05 \), Fig. 3.2B). For instance, at -65 mV, the
peak amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in DA was almost twice its amplitude under control conditions (Fig. 3.2C).

*Voltage-dependent deactivation of $I_{\text{CAN}}.* I$ studied the rate at which $I_{\text{CAN}}$ deactivates by measuring the time constant of decay of the current at different voltages following an activating pre-step to 0 mV (Fig. 3.3A, voltage clamp protocol; current traces from top to bottom: control, DA and washout). The decay of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ most likely reflects the availability of calcium ions in the intracellular space near CAN channels. Within each treatment (control, DA and washout) there was no voltage-dependence of the time constants of decay (Fig. 3.3B; student’s t-test, $p>0.05$, $n=7$ for each treatment). However, when I compared the mean time constants between treatments (control and DA), the time constant in DA was significantly slower compared to control at each voltage step. The difference became statistically significant (student’s t-test, $p<0.05$, $n=7$) at -65 mV and more depolarized voltages (Fig. 3.3B, asterisks mark the steps where $\tau$ in DA was statistically different from the $\tau$ in control). Thus, despite the fact that DA inhibits most of the voltage-gated calcium current, the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in DA deactivates more slowly than before DA application.

*Time-dependent inactivation of $I_{\text{CAN}}.* With prolonged voltage steps to 0 mV under control conditions, the voltage-dependent calcium currents inactivate, leading to a drop in amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ when measured as the slow tail current at the end of the depolarizing step. The peak amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ was measured from a series of depolarizing steps of increasing duration (Fig. 3.3C, series of current traces in control, upper panel, in DA, middle panel, and after DA washout, lower panel). Under control conditions, the mean peak amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ decreased from $-7.7\pm2$ nA after a 100 ms pre-step to $-3.7\pm2.1$ nA after a 450 ms pre-step. This reduction in $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude with longer pre-steps most likely reflects inactivation of $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$. 
Figure 3.2. DA enhances the amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ while inhibiting $I_{\text{Ca}(v)}$.

A. Voltage clamp current traces under control conditions (black), DA (red) and after 30 minutes of washout (gray). Dopamine inhibited $I_{\text{Ca}(v)}$ (arrows), while enhancing the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude (arrowheads). Data are not leak-subtracted. The voltage protocol used to measure $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is shown in the small inset.

B. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ current-tail step voltage relationship under control conditions (open squares), in 0.1 mM DA (closed circles) and after washout (gray triangles). All data points are mean±/SE (n=8). Asterisks mark the voltage steps where the difference between control and DA was statistically significant (p<0.05, student’s t-test).

C. Current amplitude at -65mV in DA and after washout as % of control amplitude. Double asterisk: significantly different from control (p<0.05, student’s t-test).
with time. Dopamine practically eliminated the time-dependent inactivation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ at longer depolarizing pre-steps; the mean peak amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ stayed above 7.5 nA at all steps (Fig. 3.3D, open squares, under control conditions; closed circles, in the presence of DA; gray triangles, after washout). This effect was statistically significant for pre-steps of 200 ms duration and longer ($p<0.05$, $n=7$). For example, at the 350 ms pre-step, the mean peak amplitude of the tail current was $230\pm32\%$ of the control value in DA and recovered to $144\pm43\%$ after washout of DA. Thus, despite the clear inactivation of $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ with time, and dopamine’s direct reduction of $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ was enhanced by dopamine and became independent of the amplitude of $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$.

The leak current amplitude. In addition to its enhancement of $I_{\text{CAN}}$, DA simultaneously inhibited the leak current. The leak current was measured at the end of 5 mV hyperpolarizing steps from a holding potential of -60mV or -55mV (Fig. 3.4A, current traces, arrowhead; voltage clamp protocol shown in the small inset). Under our experimental conditions, the mean conductance of the leak current measured at -65mV was $45\pm17$ pS in control, $11\pm8$ pS in dopamine and $41\pm23$ pS after DA washout (Fig. 3.4B). This ~70% reduction in leak current in the presence of DA is larger than the 10% reduction previously reported in the AB (Peck et al. 2001, 2006).

Release of Calcium from Intracellular Stores is Essential for the Dopamine-Induced Bursting in AB

I hypothesized that in the presence of DA, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is activated by an increase in intracellular calcium concentration. This increase may occur as a result of either release of Ca$^{2+}$ from intracellular stores such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or mitochondria, or inhibiting Ca$^{2+}$ sequestration and buffering in intracellular compartments, or both.
I initially assessed the involvement of the calcium stores in induction of bursting by disturbing the intracellular calcium balance in the oscillating AB. This was achieved in two ways: by chelating the intracellular calcium with BAPTA-AM and by blocking some of the calcium-handling proteins (channels and pumps) located on the ER membrane.

The endoplasmic reticulum membrane expresses two types of intracellular calcium release channels, Ryanodine receptor channels (RyR) and IP3 receptor channels (IP3R). The latter are activated by binding of the IP3, a soluble product of the phospholipase C-mediated PIP2 hydrolysis that occurs as a result of activation of GPCRs in the plasma membrane. RyR channels have an inverted U-shaped dose response activation curve: they are activated by low to medium and inhibited by high concentrations of cytoplasmic calcium. Ryanodine receptor channels also release calcium from the ER lumen to the cytoplasm upon binding of calcium ions to the receptor; high cytoplasmic calcium concentrations inhibit RyR. Free cytoplasmic calcium ions are taken up by the sarco-endoplasmic reticular ATP-ase, or SERCA, that pumps calcium back into the ER lumen. Thus either type of the ER calcium channel can activate first and potentiate the other type of calcium channel on the ER, creating a local calcium increase that can lead to the global rise in intracellular calcium.

To test the importance of an intracellular calcium rise during DA-induced bursting, I first applied BAPTA-AM, a membrane-soluble form of the fast calcium chelator. Bath application of 30 µM BAPTA-AM blocked ongoing dopamine oscillations in AB within 40 minutes. Fig. 3.5A shows a synaptically isolated AB that was induced to burst by DA; BAPTA effectively turned this bursting into tonic spiking (n=4).
Figure 3.3. DA un-couples the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ deactivation from the $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$.

A. The tail current ($I_{\text{CAN}}$) traces under control conditions (top), during 0.1 mM DA (middle) and after washout (bottom). Voltage clamp protocol is shown in the small inset.

B. Time constant of deactivation of the tail current vs. voltage steps. Under control conditions (open squares), there was no significant voltage-dependence of deactivation of the tail current. In 0.1 mM DA the time constant of deactivation of the tail current was significantly slower at -65 mV and more depolarized voltages (closed circles); the effect was reversible (gray triangles). Asterisks: significantly different from control (p<0.05, student’s t-test).

C. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude as a function of the duration of the activating pre-step to 0 mV. The pre-step duration was varied from 100 to 550 ms (small inset) followed by a step to -80 mV to measure the slow tail current. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ traces under control conditions (top), 0.1 mM DA (middle) and after washout (bottom).

D. Under control conditions, the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude decreased with longer pre-steps (open squares) reflecting the inactivation of $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$ during the pre-step. In contrast, in DA the amplitude remained at the same level at all pre-step durations (closed circles). The difference between current amplitude in control and DA was significant for pre-steps longer than 200 ms (marked by asterisks).
Next, I applied Ryanodine, a specific blocker of the RyR, to the DA-induced oscillating AB neuron (in this and the following examples, TTX and PTX were applied to block synaptic transmission as another way to isolate the AB from all inputs). DA-induced AB bursting was highly sensitive to Ryanodine; 1nM stopped oscillations within an an hour and a half, while 1nM or higher blocked took around 40 minutes to block the rhythm. (n=7, Fig. 3.5B). Xestospongin C (XeC) is a specific IP₃R blocker (Gafni et al., 1997); 10µM XeC effectively halted DA rhythm in AB neuron (n=3, Fig. 3.5C). Finally, application of 1µM Thapsigargin, a specific blocker of SERCA pump, stopped an on-going DA oscillation within 40-50 minutes (n=3, Fig. 3.5D). In sum, these data suggest that release of intracellular calcium via RyRs and IP₃Rs is essential for dopamine-induced oscillations in the AB neuron.

**Calcium Imaging Reveals an Increase of Intracellular Calcium Concentration in Fine Neurites in the Presence of Dopamine**

To directly test the possibility that dopamine induces an increase in the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration, I collaborated with Alex Kwan (Dept of Biophysics, Cornell) to carry out two-photon laser confocal microscopic imaging of intracellular calcium activity in the AB neuron, using calcium-sensitive indicator dyes. Briefly, we loaded the AB neuron with a calcium indicator dye (Calcium Green-1 or Indo-1, both in potassium salt form), let the dye diffuse for 30 min to 1hr, and performed synchronized imaging and electrophysiological recordings. For Calcium Green-1, the preparation was excited at 800 nm and the fluorescence was collected between 500-650 nm. For the emission ratiometric calcium indicator Indo-1, the preparation was excited at 720 nm and the fluorescence was separated into two detection channels, 360-430 nm and 485-505 nm. The absolute calcium concentration could be calculated from the ratio of
Figure 3.4. DA reduces the leak current in the AB neuron.

A. Current traces under control conditions (black) and during 0.1 mM DA (red) in response to step hyperpolarizations from -60 to -65 mV. Measurements were taken at the end of the step (arrowhead).

B. Leak conductance is significantly lower in the presence of DA (p<0.05, n=5, studet’s t-test)
fluorescence \( R = \frac{F_{395}}{F_{495}} \), as described in the Materials and Methods of this Chapter.

**Distribution and strength of the calcium signal in the AB neuron**

The dye-filled cell body and neurites showed clear calcium fluorescence in both oscillating intact and DA-stimulated AB neurons. The signal from the cell body and primary neurites was mostly saturated and we were unable to detect any oscillation-related fluorescence changes there; this is consistent with previous results suggesting that the oscillations arise outside the soma, in the neuropil. As a consequence all our measurements were done in small neurites with diameter less than 5\( \mu \)M, at distances from the soma of more than 500 \( \mu \)m.

We performed time-lapse measurements of fluorescence in fine neurites before and during dopamine wash-in, combined with simultaneous \( V_m \) recordings from the cell soma. Fig. 3.6 shows an example of the AB soma and its extensive dendritic tree filled with Indo-1 (A, fluorescence from 395 channel), where yellow arrowheads show approximate regions of calcium imaging and the dashed line demarcates the region enlarged in B and C). The calcium signals from 19 regions of interest were measured in this fragment; some of the ROIs are highlighted by different colors in Fig.3.6B. The fluorescence was separated into two detection channels, 395 nm and 495 nm (Fig. 3.6C upper and lower panels respectively) for determination of the calcium concentration.

**Base level of intracellular calcium rises during DA application**

Upon dopamine application, all small neurites visible under control conditions (pre-DA), displayed increases in calcium fluorescence of varying amplitude. From the total of 27 neurites from three different AB neurons, fluorescence measurements were taken at five time points: 1) when the neuron was spontaneously bursting in the physiological saline; 2) in the synaptically isolated
Figure 3.5. Release of calcium from intracellular stores is essential for DA-induced AB bursting.

A. 30 µM BAPTA-AM (BAP) blocked DA-induced bursting in a synaptically isolated AB. In this experiment, the AB neuron was isolated from all synaptic and descending neuromodulatory inputs by photoablation of the PD and VD neurons and local application of TTX to the STN.

B, C and D. 10 nM Ryanodine (Ry), 10 µM Xestospongin C (XeC) and 1 µM Thapsigargin (Tg) blocked DA-induced oscillations in the AB. In these experiments, the AB was isolated by application of TTX and PTX.
**A**
DA
BAP 3µM 20 min
BAP 10 µM 20 min
BAP 30 µM 40 min

**B**
DA+TTX Ry 1 nM 20 min
Ry 10 nM 5 min
Ry 10 nM 24 min
Ry 10 nM 36 min

**C**
DA+TTX XeC 1 µM 30 min
XeC 10 µM 20 min
XeC 10 µM 30 min

**D**
DA+TTX Tg 1 µM 20 min
Tg 1 µM 25 min
Tg 1 µM 40 min
Variability in calcium handling in different neurites

For individual neurites, when averaged calcium measurements were taken at slow speed (6-10 images/sec) the DA-induced increase in calcium concentration ranged from 136% to 555% of the pre-DA TTX+PTX control, with an average of 302% (n=27 ROIs, three AB neurons). Fig. 3.7B shows an example of measurements from 10 different neurites (labeled with different colors) in a single cell. The changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) were monitored in parallel with voltage recordings; DA was added to the bath solution at the first arrowhead, labeled “DA in”); DA wash out started the second arrowhead, labeled “DA out”). The values of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) were plotted for each of 10 neurites at three time points: under control conditions, during DA oscillations near the end of the DA perfusion period, after washout when DA oscillations stopped (white, black and gray bars, respectively, Fig. 3.7 B and C). The different dendrites showed variable rises in \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) before the neuron started to oscillate; once stable oscillations were established, all the neurites showed a significant increase of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) levels. Thus, different neurites of a single neuron showed marked variability in calcium handling both under control conditions and in the presence of dopamine.

The onset of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) rise precedes the onset of bursting

For the large majority of neurites (25 out of 27), the rise in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) started before the emergence of voltage oscillations and bursting. Fig. 3.8A shows synchronized \(V_m\) and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) recordings during the onset of DA application. Calcium started rising about 3 minutes from the moment DA was added to the
Figure 3.6. Anterior Burster neuron filled with calcium indicator Indo-1.

A. Z-stack of the AB neuron labeled with Indo-1, showing fluorescence from the 395 channel. Cell body with a bright nucleus is in the lower left corner. The primary and secondary neurites along with an extensive dendritic tree is clearly labeled throughout. The yellow arrowheads mark the approximate regions of fine neuropil where calcium measurements were typically taken. The bar is 100 µm. The region in the yellow square is shown enlarged in B and C.

B. Fragment of the fine neuropil with some of the regions of interest (ROIs) traced and filled with different colors. The calcium signals from 19 regions of interest were measured in this fragment; the red square is the RIO where the background signal was detected and subtracted before the 395/495 ratios were calculated (for the level of background signal for each channel see B).

C. The same fragment of the fine neuropil where calcium signal was detected from two channels – 395 nm (top) and 495 nm (bottom). The bar is 25 µm. Changes are seen primarily in the 395 nm channel after blockade of synaptic input with TTX, and again after adding 0.1 mM DA.
Figure 3.7. DA induces intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ accumulation in fine neurites.

A. Calcium concentrations calculated from the total of 27 neurites from three different AB neurons (nM). From each of three AB neurons, fluorescence measurements were taken at five time points: 1) when the neuron was spontaneously bursting in the physiological saline (Saline); 2) in the synaptically isolated quiescent AB (TTX); 3) at the start of the first burst during DA wash-in (DAstart); 4) during stable bursting in dopamine (DAosc); 5) in a quiescent AB after DA was washed out of the bath saline (Wash). Asterisks, statistically significant difference, p<0.05.

B. The time course of the calcium signal detected from 10 neurites of a single neuron is displayed: before DA (white bar), in 0.1 mM DA during stable oscillations (black bar) and after washout (gray bar). First arrow marks the time when DA was added to the bath (DA in) and the second arrow marks the time when DA was removed from the saline (DA out). Calculated calcium concentrations from each neurite are represented by a different color. Some neurites (for ex, the dark blue marker) showed a steeper and larger response to DA than others (for ex, the brown marker).

C. For each of the 10 neurites, the [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{in}$ is plotted at three time points: before DA (white bars), during DA oscillations (black bars) and after washout (gray bars). Note the differences in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{in}$ both in control and in DA and the full reversal of the DA effect.
bath. The interval between the start of the calcium increase and the onset of bursting is highlighted with a light gray bar. On average, bursting started 108±12 s after the onset of calcium rise in fine neurites (n=27 neurites, three AB neurons). The earlier onset of calcium rise in fine neurites – more than 1.5 minutes before emergence of bursting, suggests that the rise in the intracellular calcium concentration might bring about depolarization and rhythmic oscillations of the membrane potential. Dopamine’s effect was completely reversible: washing DA out of the bath solution abolishes the membrane potential oscillations and brings the levels of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{in}$ back to pre-DA levels (Fig. 3.8D). Notably, the degree of DA-induced calcium increase increased with the frequency of bursting: more neurites exhibited higher calcium increase during stable oscillations (Fig 3.9).

**Effect of pre-treatment with Ryanodine and Xestospongin C on dopamine bursting**

Our model for AB bursting predicts that pre-treatment with a cocktail of intracellular calcium channel blockers (Ryanodine and Xestospongin C) would prevent the dopamine-induced increase in calcium levels and subsequent bursting. In two cells that were pre-treated with a cocktail of 10 µM Ryanodine and 10 µM Xestospongin C for 30 min, DA elicited a modest calcium increase and voltage oscillations that were somewhat slower than usual (frequency about 0.1-0.5Hz). With continued application of the blockers and DA for an hour, the DA-evoked oscillations stopped and the calcium concentration levels decreased to 113±40 nM (Fig. 3.10A, B). In our next experiment (Fig. 3.10C) we incubated the ganglion with Ryanodine and Xestospongin for one hour before applying dopamine. This longer pre-treatment abolished the DA-evoked increase in intracellular calcium: the mean calcium concentration was 82±11 nM before DA
Figure 3.8. The onset of the DA-induced calcium rise precedes the onset of membrane potential oscillations.

A. The time course of the voltage (top) and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{in}$ change during DA application. 0.1 mM DA was added to the bath at zero time. Note that in the region highlighted with light gray, calcium concentration started rising before emergence of bursting. Voltage oscillations initially are slower and later the rhythm picks up (shown in B on a faster time scale).

B. Voltage oscillations from A on a faster time scale (fragment corresponds to the horizontal bar above the voltage trace in A).

C. Fragment of the fine neuropil of the cell from A, where the calcium measurements were taken. Top is the fluorescence from the 395 channel; bottom is the same image with the neurites color labeled: these correspond to the calcium markers on the calcium plots in A and B. In this cell, the red RIO showed the steepest and the fastest rise in calcium signal; the red line is a sigmoidal fit of the data points from the red neurite.

D. As DA was washed out of the bath solution, the voltage oscillations and calcium signal gradually decreased back to their pre-DA levels.
and 87±17 nM in the presence of DA (p>0.05, n=10 RIOs, one neuron). DA failed to evoke voltage oscillations in this AB neuron, although the V_m slightly depolarized from -58 mV before DA to -50 mV in dopamine, possibly because of dopamine’s effect on other ionic currents (Fig. 3.10B).

**High temporal resolution imaging of intracellular calcium levels with simultaneous recording of membrane potential in the bursting AB**

We used Calcium Green (CG) -1 to track calcium changes on a faster time scale (cycle-by-cycle) during spontaneous and DA-evoked AB oscillations. As above, we did not detect any calcium oscillations in the cell soma or primary neurites, but the fluorescence signal in fine neurites revealed clear oscillatory behavior with a frequency identical to that of the membrane potential oscillations.

To look at the calcium changes on a finer time scale, we used a fast line scan (frequency 164 Hz) to monitor calcium activity in the fine neurites along with synchronized recordings of voltage membrane in the soma. We have found that the change in fluorescence level dF/F in the spontaneously bursting AB oscillated with the same frequency as the voltage did (Fig. 3.11A). Application of TTX and PTX to eliminate descending modulatory inputs effectively blocked both voltage and calcium oscillations within less than 10 minutes (Fig. 3.11B). Addition of DA restored rhythmic bursting of voltage along with calcium oscillations (Fig. 3.11C). Notably, the peaks (i.e. maxima) of the calcium oscillations were phase-delayed by 150 ms relative to the peaks of the membrane potential oscillations. This was true for both spontaneous physiological bursting (Fig. 3.11A) and DA-induced bursting in the presence of TTX and PTX (Fig. 3.11C) and is summarized in Fig. 3.12. Ryanodine treatment of the bursting pacemaker in the continued presence of DA halted both calcium and voltage oscillations within 40 minutes (Fig. 3.11D). Calcium oscillations had a similar delayed time course and
Figure 3.9. Degree of calcium rise increases with bursting intensity. Histogram view of $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ in dopamine, at the onset of oscillations (gray bars) and during stable oscillations (black bars). At the start of bursting, the calcium signal from majority of neurites reached 150-300% of calcium levels in TTX; two neurites showed a decrease in calcium levels while only 1 increased calcium levels by more than 300%. 30 minutes after the onset of bursting, after DA oscillations stabilized, all neurites showed an increase in calcium signal, and 10 had increases above 300% of calcium levels in TTX. Measurements were taken from 27 neurites, three different AB neurons.
amplitude in both a spontaneously bursting AB neuron and a synaptically isolated AB in the presence of DA (Fig. 3.12, spontaneous bursting and DA-induced oscillations, red and black traces, respectively)

**DISCUSSION**

I studied dopamine’s induction of bursting and oscillations in the pyloric pacemaker AB neuron, and explored the possible mechanisms of this effect. The CAN current is thought to be important in pacemaker bursting because of its biophysical properties of slow activation by accumulation of intracellular calcium and voltage-independence. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is permeable to cations including calcium and thus is capable of positive feedback and self-activation (Partridge *et al.*, 1994). To test the involvement of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and intracellular stores in DA bursting, I first looked at dopamine’s effects on biophysical properties of this current. Next I explored the sources of calcium accumulation necessary for $I_{\text{CAN}}$ activation by chelating intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ or blocking the intracellular calcium release channels, and tested whether these actions disrupt or prevent DA bursting. Finally, to directly measure dopamine’s effects on levels of intracellular calcium, I collaborated with Alex Kwan to carry out two-photon calcium imaging and electrophysiological recordings of the AB neuron before and during dopamine application.

**The FFA-sensitive $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is essential in pacemaking and is enhanced by DA**

There are two lines of evidence that $I_{\text{CAN}}$ is a potential dopamine target in generating bursting in the AB neuron. First, FFA terminated ongoing dopamine-evoked oscillations in the AB neuron, suggesting that the CAN current contributes to membrane depolarization and rhythmogenesis (Fig. 3.1). I showed in Chapter 2 that FFA blocks $I_{\text{CAN}}$ with an $IC_{50}$ of 16±7 µM (Fig. 2.1E). The concentration of FFA needed to block the ongoing DA oscillations (3-10 µM) is sufficient to block at least one third of the peak amplitude of $I_{\text{CAN}}$. Thus, in spite
Figure 3.10. Pre-treatment with intracellular calcium channel blockers dampens or prevents the rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ and voltage oscillations during DA application.

A. Dopamine-induced voltage and calcium changes in a neuron pre-incubated with 10 µM Ryanodine and 10 µM Xestospongin C for 30 minutes before DA application. Upon application of DA, $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ increased and the neuron began to burst, but with continued application of the blockers, DA-induced bursting stopped and the calcium signal decreased to its pre-DA levels (10 neurites from 2 AB neurons). DA was added at time 0.

B. Another AB neuron was incubated in the same blocker cocktail for 60 minutes. After this longer pre-incubation, calcium levels did not differ from the levels under control conditions (in TTX) and application of dopamine did not induce an increase of calcium or any voltage oscillations. Note the small depolarization of $V_m$ in DA, probably due to direct effects of DA on other ionic currents (n=10 neurites from a single neuron). DA was added at time 0.

C. Mean calcium concentration in nM under control conditions (TTX, 20 neurites from 3 neurons); after 60 min pretreatment in Ryanodine and Xestospongin C (RyXe, 10 neurites from one neuron, shown in B); in DA (and Ry+Xe) while the cells were oscillating (DAo1, n=10, 2 neurons); 40 min later in DA (and Ry+Xe), oscillations and $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ decreased (DAo2, 10 neurites from 2 neurons); in DA and Ry+Xe when AB was quiescent (DAq, n=20, 3 neurons). Asterisks, statistically significant change (p<0.05): DAo1 was different from RyXe, DAq was different from DAo2. Note that DAo1 and DAo2 were not statistically different (p>0.05).
**Figure A**

Graph showing time (in milliseconds) on the x-axis and voltage (in millivolts) on the y-axis. The graph displays variations in voltage over time for different conditions.

**Figure B**

Graph showing time (in milliseconds) on the x-axis and calcium concentration (in nanomolars) on the y-axis. The graph compares calcium concentration over time for different conditions.

**Figure C**

Bar graph showing the concentration of calcium ions (in nanomolars) under different conditions. The bars are labeled as TTX, RyXe, DAO1, DAO2, and DAO1. The graph includes statistical significance markers (**).
of small amplitude of this current in AB neuron (about -3nA on average), its partial block by FFA was sufficient to halt the dopamine rhythm.

**FFA specificity.** In both invertebrates and vertebrates FFA is routinely used at 100–500 µM (Derjean et al. 2005; Ghamari-Langroudi and Bourque 2002; Green and Cottrell 1997; Morisset and Nagy 1999; Partridge and Valenzuela 2000; Shaw et al. 1995). However, at higher concentrations FFA has multiple side effects. For instance, in the lamprey spinal cord, FFA partially inhibited calcium channels and NMDA receptors at the concentration of 100-200 uM (Wang et al., 2006). In cultured kidney cells, it affected the K(Ca) channels with a U-shaped dose response: in enhanced the conductance at concentrations below 10 uM and above 50 uM, and inhibited the activity in the intermediate range (Kochetkov et al., 2000). FFA appears to induce release from calcium stores in *Aplysia* bag neurons at 300 uM (Gardam et al., 2008) and in circumesophageal ganglion of *Helix aspers* at 500uM, but not at 100uM (Shaw et al., 1995; Lee et al., 1996). At 85uM, FFA triggered calcium release from isolated mouse brain mitochondria (Tu et al., 2009). I attempted to avoid these non-specific effects by using much lower FFA concentration (10-30 uM).

Notably, after bursting was terminated by FFA, the neuron’s membrane potential hung up at around -40-42 mV (Fig. 3.1), which is the upper voltage limit of the slow oscillatory wave under normal conditions. This raises the possibility that the real cause of the rhythm block by FFA may be a lack of calcium supply to the \(I_{K(Ca)}\) that would normally repolarize the potential to its resting state. This end-point depolarization was also seen, although to varying degrees, in all the experiments where I blocked AB bursting by blocking release of calcium from intracellular stores (Fig. 3.5 A-D). It is possible that when \(I_{CaN}\) was blocked by FFA, the threshold potential and the level of \([Ca^{2+}]_{in}\) necessary for activation of
$I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$ were not reached. Everything that blocks accumulation of intracellular Ca seems to block $I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$, and the FFA effect may be via that common need for Ca, so the two may be secondary to the level of the intracellular calcium. If FFA’s indirect block of $I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$ was the real cause of its block of the AB oscillations, then repolarization of the AB neuron would restore oscillations. This did indeed occur when hyperpolarizing current was injected at the time the neuron had just stopped oscillating. However, within 5-10 minutes, when the FFA block was more complete, the cell failed to burst even when hyperpolarized. This suggests that the main cause of the FFA blocking effect was not the block of a calcium-activated outward current, but the block of $I_{\text{CAN}}$.

A second line of evidence for the important role of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in DA-induced AB bursting comes from the fact that DA significantly enhanced the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ amplitude (Fig. 3.2) and slowed down its deactivation (Fig. 3.3). Interestingly, the $I_{\text{CAN}}$ peak amplitude almost doubled during DA application, in spite of dopamine’s inhibition of the voltage-gated calcium current in AB (Johnson et al. 2003).

Monoamine-induced modulation of the CAN channels were observed in Aplysia neuron R15 (Lotshaw et al., 1986) and Helix bursting neurons (Partridge et al., 1990). This may occur due to GPCR-mediated channel phosphorylation which can directly target the gating particle of the channel or result in changes in the Hill coefficient of the calcium-binding sites similar to K(Ca) channels, altering the calcium-dependence of the channel function (Reinhart et al., 1991; Golowasch et al., 1986). In the AB neuron, the larger amplitude and significantly slower deactivation kinetics of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ in DA suggest that dopamine basically uncoupled $I_{\text{CAN}}$ from its normal activation by $I_{\text{Ca(V)}}$, making its functional state less dependent on the voltage-gated calcium entry.
Dopamine-induced Rise in Intracellular Calcium is Central for Pacemaker Bursting

Several bursters rely on intracellular calcium stores as a source of calcium ions necessary for $I_{\text{CAN}}$ activation in both invertebrates (Swandulla and Lux, 1985, Partridge and Swandulla, 1987, Yazenian and Bayerly 1989, Partridge & Valenzuela 1999, Levy 1992, Sawada et al 1990) and vertebrates (Pena et al., 2004, Pace et al., 2007, Del Negro et al., 2008; Rubin et al., 2009).

In the AB neuron, application of the calcium chelator (BAPTA-AM) or intracellular calcium channel blockers (Ry, Xe, Tg) blocked DA oscillations. Although low concentrations of Ry and Tg initially increased the amplitude and frequency of bursting, possibly due to a brief increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ins}}$, with longer treatment or higher dose they ultimately blocked DA oscillations in the AB.

Direct evidence of the importance of intracellular calcium stores came from calcium imaging of the AB neuron in its two states – quiescent and bursting. In a spontaneously bursting AB (with all synaptic and neuromodulatory inputs intact) the calcium levels in fine neurites were on average around 150 nM as estimated by our Indo-1 experiments. Application of TTX lowered calcium levels by blocking this descending modulatory transmission, and abolished rhythmic oscillations; this resulted in decreased calcium levels below 100 nM. Application of dopamine to a quiescent TTX-blocked AB reliably and reversibly induced an increase in the average level of calcium in fine dendrites, along with stable voltage oscillations. Generally, in any rhythmically oscillating AB (bursting in physiological saline with intact modulatory inputs, or DA-induced bursting in TTX), the average calcium levels were at least twofold higher than in a quiescent neuron.
Strikingly, this DA-induced increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_\text{in}$ started almost two minutes before the emergence of voltage oscillations (Fig. 3.8A and C) and even before the neuron started to depolarize. At the start of the first burst in DA, the mean calcium concentrations was around 150 nM; this seems to be the average concentration of intracellular calcium needed for emergence of bursting (i.e. “threshold” calcium level).

Our estimated base calcium concentrations at rest and in a rhythmic AB interneuron are in agreement with values in lamprey spinal cord motoneurons and hippocoglossal motoneurons (Backsai et al. 1995; Lev-Tov and O'Donovan 1995, Ladewig and Keller 2000; Di Prisco and Alford 2004). The magnitude of calcium oscillations during fictive locomotion in these preparations were close to our values in the AB neuron, despite the fact that in these motoneurons, calcium signal changes were due influx of calcium during action potentials, while in AB, they were observed in the presence of TTX.

In pyloric cells, the majority of ion channels and monoamine receptors are located in the fine neuropil (Clark and Baro 2006, 2007, Clark et al., 2008). Moreover, in many neurons the fine neurites, including dendritic spines and synaptic boutons, contain smooth endoplasmic reticulum (Blaustein and Golovina, 2001; Verkhratsky, 2005) which can store calcium ions and release them to the cytoplasm via intracellular calcium receptor-channels (IP$_3$R and RyR). Presumably, in the AB fine neuropil, dopamine receptors activate secondary messenger mechanisms which can interact with nearby IP$_3$R and RyR. If Ryanodine and IP$_3$ receptors play a part in the dopamine effect, then pre-treatment with a cocktail of their blockers (Ryanodine and Xestospongin C) should prevent the emergence of bursting and calcium rise upon application of DA. This was observed provided that the blockers were applied for a long
enough time (Fig. 3.11). The DA-induced small depolarization seen in the presence of Ryanodine and Xestospongin C is the result of the other effects of DA on other channels: enhancement of the hyperpolarization-activated current \( I_h \) (Peck et al., 2006), reduction of the transient potassium current (Peck et al., 2001), and decrease in the leak (Peck et al., 2001 and this chapter).

Ry and Tg application have been reported to augment the calcium signal and \( I_{\text{CAN}} \) in rat hippocampal neurons (Partridge and Valenzuela, 1999). While this is in apparent disagreement with my results, it can be explained by the complex mechanisms of action of Tg and Ry. Initially, Tg blocks the uptake of calcium into the ER lumen, while low doses of Ry make its receptors leakier; both these processes cause a temporary increase in the local \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\). However, with time in the presence of the blockers, ER stores are depleted and a long-term decrease in \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) is observed. When I used the brief pre-treatment (30 min) with Ry and Xe, application of DA initially induced a \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) increase and \( V_m \) oscillations, probably due to the temporary increase in RyR open time probability. After 50 minutes of continuous application of the blockers and DA, when Ry block was more complete and the calcium stores were depleted, both calcium signal and \( V_m \) returned to resting levels. After a longer pre-treatment (60 min) with Ry and Xe, addition of DA failed to increase in \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{in}}\) or induce bursting.

**In Bursting AB Neurons Intracellular Calcium Oscillates in Parallel with Voltage**

Our high speed calcium imaging studies with Calcium-Green-1 showed that when the AB neuron was stably oscillating, the intracellular calcium fluorescence signal also stably oscillated. The amplitude and the time course of calcium oscillations were similar both in a spontaneously bursting AB
Figure 3.11. The AB neuron calcium signal oscillates in phase but with a delay compared with voltage oscillations

A. Spontaneously bursting AB neuron in physiological saline. Simultaneous recordings (from top to bottom): fluorescence signal (Fluo) and membrane potential ($V_m$, blue trace); the sync channel was used to synchronize imaging and voltage traces; $dF/F$ is the relative change in fluorescence. Note that the peak of calcium signal follows the voltage peak. Time markers, in sec, are below the voltage trace.

B. TTX+PTX blocked both voltage and calcium oscillations in less than 10 minutes.

C. DA-induced membrane potential and calcium signal oscillations. Both had the same frequency but the calcium signal is delayed relative to the voltage oscillation.

D. Application of 10 µM of Ryanodine stopped both voltage and calcium oscillations.
A

Spontaneous bursting in saline

B

Quiescent AB in TTX+PTX
Figure 3.11 (Continued)

C
0.1 mM DA 10 min (in TTX+PTX)

D
10 μM Ryanodine 40 min (in TTX+PTX+DA)
(receiving neuromodulatory input from higher ganglia), and in a dopamine-induced bursting AB, with a fluorescence range of about 20-40% above the base level (Fig. 3.12). The calcium signal oscillated with the same frequency as the membrane potential, but the calcium oscillations were phase-delayed by about 0.08 of the period, relative to the voltage oscillations (Figs 3.11 and 3.12). Calcium increased during the fast upstroke (depolarization) of the $V_m$, with the mean threshold -55 mV. One way to interpret these data is that the tonic release of calcium from intracellular stores activates $I_{CAN}$, which acts as a tonic ramp current to depolarize the neuron; above a voltage threshold, the depolarization activates voltage-dependent calcium current $I_{Ca(V)}$ which participates in the rapid rise of the oscillation. This voltage-dependent calcium influx would bring about fast $V_m$ depolarization to the maximum of the voltage wave, activation of $I_{K(Ca)}$ and subsequent repolarization, finishing the cycle.

The essential role of calcium release from intracellular stores is maintained even after the neuron starts to oscillate since application of Ryanodine blocked these ongoing calcium oscillations along with potential oscillations in the AB (Fig. 3.11). One way Ryanodine receptors may participate in maintenance of bursting could be calcium-induced calcium release (CICR) and further amplification of the cytoplasmic calcium rise (see next section). It could also just be uptake into the ER of the calcium coming in from the oscillation, and then being released again.

One would expect that the $[Ca^{2+}]_{in}$ would decline from its peak just like its rise, in parallel with the fall in membrane potential and with a similar delay. We found that the calcium concentration kept slowly declining even as voltage reached its trough (minimum) and started a new depolarization cycle (Fig.
Figure 3.12. Overlay of voltage and calcium traces. Calcium oscillations had similar delayed time course and amplitude both in a spontaneously bursting AB neuron (red traces) and in a synaptically isolated AB in the presence of DA (black traces). Top is the voltage trace and bottom is the calcium signal change (dF/F).
3.12). In lamprey spinal cord CPG (Di Prisco et al., 2004) the calcium signal also decayed slower than the voltage and kept decreasing even during $V_m$ depolarization. This discrepancy between decay time courses of $V_m$ and calcium could be due to a slow buffering of the calcium dye, so that the fluorescent signal could not follow the sharp drop of $V_m$ at the end of the burst (see also Friel and Tsien, 1992; Li et al., 1995; Koshiya and Smith, 1999). In this case we would unable to accurately capture the kinetics of calcium decrease at the end of the bursting cycle. The delay in $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ rise probably reflects the time required to reach the voltage threshold for activation of $I_{\text{Ca}(V)}$, as described above.

**Cross-Talk between IP$_3$ and Ryanodine Receptors and Their Respective Pathways**

The ER appears to play a critical role in DA-induced AB bursting, since blockade of Ryanodine receptors and IP$_3$ receptors prevented or abolished ongoing dopamine bursting in the pyloric pacemaker neuron. My data demonstrated that induction of bursting is dependent on at least one of the intracellular calcium channels: IP$_3$-sensitive or Ryanodine-sensitive receptor-channels (Fig. 3.10, Ry and Xe pre-treatment). Maintaining the bursting requires the normal function of both types, and presumably the SERCA pump (Fig. 3.5). DA is not synthesized by neurons within the STG; it is produced by the neurons that are located in the COG and the brain, and send their axons via the stomatogastric nerve down to the STG (Kushner and Maynard 1977; Sullivan et al. 1977; Barker et al. 1979; Kushner and Barker 1983). DA is also present as a neurohormone in the hemolymph surrounding the STG (Sullivan et al., 1977), though in the lobster the concentrations in the blood are probably too low to affect the STG DA receptors.
Figure 3.13. Intracellular calcium dynamics.
DA-R, dopamine receptor; PIP2, Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; DAG, diacylglycerol; IP3, Inositol trisphosphate; IP3R, Inositol trisphosphate receptor; RyR, ryanodine receptor; SERCA, sarco-endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase; PMCA, plasma membrane calcium ATPase; [Ca2+]in, intracellular calcium concentration; ICAN, calcium-activated non-selective current; ICa(v), voltage-gated calcium current; IK(Ca), calcium-activated potassium current; leak, leak current; INCX, sodium-calcium exchanger current; STIM-1, stromal interaction molecule 1; SOC, store-operated channel.
Three types of DA receptors that belong to the superfamily of G-protein
coupled receptors (GPCRs) have so far been identified in the lobster STG:  
D1alphaPan, D1betaPan and D2alphaPan (Clark and Baro 2006, 2007). The D1alphaPan 
receptor couples with Gs and Gq, the D1betaPan receptor couples with Gs, and the 
D2alphaPan receptor couples with Gi (Clark et al., 2008). All three receptors are  
localized exclusively to the synaptic neuropil, but the pyloric cell type specific  
distribution of the DA receptors is currently unknown. The effect of DA on the  
intrinsic properties of the individual pyloric cell types varies greatly (Flamm  
and Harris-Warrick, 1986a,b; Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987; Ayali and  
Harris-Warrick, 1999; Kloppenburg et al.,2000, 2007; Peck et al., 2001, 2006;  
Johnson et al., 2003; Gruhn et al., 2005); it is expected that each cell type will  
have its own unique set of DA receptors, second messengers, and complement  
of effector proteins (Clark et al., 2008). It would be interesting to see in the  
lobster STNS how DA receptor types are expressed in different types of pyloric  
cells and how the expression pattern correlates with cell identity and  
physiological function.

In vertebrate preparations, DA can trigger mobilization of intracellular calcium  
through concurrent activation of the D1 and the D2 receptors or the D1-D2  
heteromer, via activation of Gq, phospholipase C (PLC), and the inositol  
calcium-sensitive calcium stores (Pollock et al., 1995). In AB neuron, DA most  
likely acts on the D1alphaPan receptor or its heteromer with a D2-like receptor to  
avivate the Gq-PLC-IP3 pathway.
Figure 3.14. Model of DA-induced bursting in the AB neuron. Dopamine modulates multiple currents in the AB neuron: enhancement of ICAN and Ih, reduction of ICa(v), Ileak, IA. DA increases intracellular calcium concentration by triggering calcium release from IP3R and RyR.
In addition, DA could also activate D_{1αPan} or D_{1βPan} coupled to the G_{s}-cAMP-PKA-pathway (Clark et al., 2008) leading to an increased phosphorylation of RyR protein and augmentation of calcium release from ER, as demonstrated in the heart pacemaker (sino-atrial node cells) (Vinogradova et al., 2006). Since both ER receptor channels are sensitive to calcium, they are subject to positive autofeedback, leading to a regenerative opening of RyRs and/or InsP_{3}Rs beyond a certain threshold of [Ca^{2+}], and amplification and globalization of the initial calcium signal (Verkhratski, 2005). Whether it is the IP_{3}Rs or the RyRs that are first activated by dopamine is impossible to say without the knowledge of the exact types of the dopamine receptors expressed in AB neuron. In any case, the initial calcium release from intracellular calcium channels leads to the massive self-amplification of the calcium signal and activation of I_{CAN} throughout the dendritic tree. The replenishment of the intracellular calcium stores is critically important to the DA bursting and it may occur in part via I_{CAN}, in part via I_{Ca(v)} and in part via store-operated calcium entry (SOCE). Although I did not test this directly in the AB neuron, SOCE appears to be a more effective way of refilling the stores than calcium influx via CAN channels in rat hepatocytes (Gregory et al., 2003).

**Model of Dopamine-induced Bursting of the Pyloric Pacemaker Neuron**

Based on the previous work in the STG and my data, I suggest the following model of the dopamine-induced bursting in the lobster pyloric pacemaker (Fig. 3.13):

1. DA binding to D_{1αPan} or D_{1βPan} receptors increases the intracellular calcium concentration in the fine neuropil, with the ER calcium channels and the SERCA pump playing a major part in this process. Most likely the IP_{3}R is
activated first via the $G_q$-PLC-PIP$_2$ pathway with a subsequent activation of more IP$_3$R as well as RyRs as calcium is released from the ER.

2. This rise in intracellular calcium activates and enhances $I_{\text{CAN}}$. DA increases the peak current amplitude and slows the rate of deactivation of the current, probably due to high base levels of calcium.

3. $I_{\text{CAN}}$ activation acts as a ramp current via the influx of cations (mostly Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$, as well as weaker efflux of K$^+$) to depolarize the cell after the termination of the previous burst, bringing the cell to threshold to activate other inward currents including $I_{\text{Ca}(V)}$ to initiate the full burst.

4. Depolarization and high intracellular calcium activate $I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$, which must have either slower activation kinetics or a higher calcium concentration dependence for its activation than $I_{\text{CAN}}$. $I_{\text{K(Ca)}}$ at some point prevails over $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and additional currents underlying the depolarization; this repolarizes the cell, ending the cycle.

5. Extracellular calcium is essential for replenishment of the intracellular calcium stores, and is carried inside in part via $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and in part via remaining voltage-gated calcium channels. In addition, store-operated calcium channels may play a role in the replenishment of intracellular stores as well. Once free calcium ions enter the cytoplasm, they are partially pumped into the ER lumen by SERCA or into the mitochondria or buffered by special proteins.

6. In addition to these effects, previous work in our laboratory (Peck et al., 2001, 2006), as well as my additional measurements, have shown that DA also reduces the tonic leak current, which will make the neuron electrically tighter and more compact, enhancing the effects of the rather small $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and other subthreshold currents. DA also reduces the transient outward potassium current (Peck et al., 2001, 2006), which would normally try to counteract the ramp $I_{\text{CAN}}$ current, so its blockade also enhances the ability of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ to depolarize the
the neurons. Finally, DA enhances the hyperpolarization-activated inward current, \( I_h \) (Peck et al., 2006), which will also contribute to the depolarizing ramp, further contributing to \( V_m \) depolarization and regenerative oscillations in AB (Fig.3.14).

**Multiple Pacemaking Mechanisms**

There are multiple possible mechanisms to generate bursting within neurons, and relative contribution of each may vary under different conditions (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987; Pena et al., 2004). It has been proposed that in rhythmogenic CPGs, there may exist different groups of pacemaker neurons, each with a distinct rhythmogenic mechanism – either sodium-dependent (Pace 2007b, Tazerart et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007) or calcium-dependent (Del Negro et al., 2002; Pena et al, 2004, Pace 2007a). In this chapter I have looked at the ionic currents underlying DA- induced bursting in the pyloric pacemaker that earlier had been shown to be calcium-dependent (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). My data suggest that DA generates rhythmic oscillations by triggering calcium release from the ER, leading to a rise of intracellular calcium concentration and activating various ionic currents in succession – first \( I_{CAN} \) to depolarize membrane potential, next \( I_{Ca(V)} \) to further depolarize and trigger additional calcium influx and finally, \( I_{K(Ca)} \) to repolarize the potential. This process is regenerative and self-sustaining due to involvement of two intracellular calcium pathways (\( IP_3R \) and RyR) and a constant replenishment of calcium stores via CAN and voltage-dependent calcium channels with possible involvement of store-operated calcium channels. In the next chapter I will show that the same pyloric pacemaker AB neuron uses a completely different mechanism of bursting in the presence of serotonin (5HT), a neurohormone in the lobster. Thus, if in the respiratory CPG there may be different groups of pacemaker neurons in the same CPG, in the pyloric CPG, the same pacemaker
neuron can employ two quite distinct mechanisms based on different ionic currents for rhythmogenesis in the pyloric network.
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CHAPTER 4

SEROTONIN-INDUCED BURSTING OF THE PYLORIC PACEMAKER

INTRODUCTION

Properties of Serotonin-Induced AB Bursting

Serotonin-induced AB bursting, unlike that evoked by dopamine, is critically dependent on the concentration of external sodium (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Low external sodium prevents bursting: the cell remains hyperpolarized, near the previous trough of the oscillation. Low external calcium however, only slows down the 5HT bursting without completely blocking it. In agreement with its sensitivity to low external sodium, 5HT-induced bursting is blocked by $10^{-7}$M TTX, a specific blocker of sodium channels (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Intrinsic conductances responsible for the oscillatory bursting behavior in the AB neuron have not yet been identified experimentally. Generally, slow oscillatory behavior can arise from two major mechanisms, slow activation and inactivation of inward current and/or slow activation and inactivation of outward current, for "type I bursting" described by the minimal models of bursting (Bertram et al., 1995; Rinzel and Lee, 1987). Based on the previous work in the lobster pyloric pacemaker neuron (Flamm and Harris-Warrick 1986; Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987), I investigated a possible involvement of a subthreshold sodium inward current with slow kinetics in serotonin-induced AB bursting.

Properties and function of $I_{Nat(P)}$ in cell excitability

The molecular mechanisms that cause the persistent openings of the sodium channels are not well understood. One hypothesis is that it originates from the classical Hodgkin-Huxley type transient sodium channels as a “window current” (Attwell et al., 1979) but this cannot explain the current under study here (see Chapter 2). Another explanation is that persistent activity results from periodic
transition of conventional transient sodium channels to a persistent gating mode (Alzheimer et al., 1993; Crill, 1996). The transition from transient to persistent gating can occur randomly, or as a result of channel phosphorylation by PKC (Numann et al., 1991) or G-protein interaction (Ma et al., 1997). A persistent sodium current (I_{Na(P)}) was found in both vertebrates and invertebrates, which suggests an evolutionary conservation of this channel type. This current was described in cockroach (Christensen et al., 1988; Lapied et al., 1989) and Drosophila (Saito and Wu, 1991) neurons, followed by reports of this current in the mushroom body of the honeybee (Schäfer et al., 1994), the heart interneurons of the medicinal leech (Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994), squid giant axons (Rakowski et al., 2002; Clay et al., 2003), and snail interneurons (Nikitin et al., 2006, 2008; Kiss et al., 2009). Recent molecular cloning revealed that biophysical properties, pharmacology, gene organization, and even intron splice sites of invertebrate sodium channels are largely homologous to those of mammalian sodium channels (Goldin, 2002; Plummer and Meisler, 1999). The pore forming α-subunit can be encoded by multiple genes and some of them may be more likely to switch to the persistent mode (Raman et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1998). The auxiliary β-subunits may also modulate gating properties of the sodium channels favoring incomplete inactivation (Paton et al., 1994; Morgan et al., 2000). I_{Na(P)} is implicated in both synaptic and cellular plasticity and learning (Nikitin et al., 2008; Kiss et al., 2009), while in the leech heart interneurons it underlies plateau potentials (Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994).

The functional role of I_{Na(P)} was more extensively investigated in vertebrate CPGs. It was first postulated and tested in a model that I_{Na(P)} can function as a primary voltage-dependent burst-generating mechanism in the inspiratory neurons of the pre-Bötzinger complex (pre-BötC) of neonatal rat (Butera et al. 1999a,b;
Del Negro et al., 2001). Later it was confirmed experimentally in the *in vitro* brainstem slice preparation, that bursting behavior depends on the balance between the persistent sodium and the leak conductances (Smith et al., 2000; Del Negro, 2001, 2002, 2005; Koizumi and Smith, 2008). Likewise, in the locomotor CPG, $I_{\text{Na}(p)}$ is critical to the pacemaker function, as Riluzole application, at concentrations which partially block $I_{\text{Na}(p)}$, stops rhythmic bursting in the neurons of neonatal mouse spinal cord (Tazerart *et al*., 2007; Ziskind-Conhaim *et al*., 2008). In masticatory CPG, subthreshold sodium currents, including $I_{\text{Na}(p)}$ and resurgent sodium current, are essential for rhythmic burst generation in rat mesencephalic trigeminal neurons (Wu et al., 2005; Enomoto et al., 2006).

$I_{\text{Na}(p)}$ *is a common target of 5HT modulation in CPGs*

Serotonin potently facilitates the persistent sodium current in numerous mammalian neurons, modulating (Hsiao et al., 1998; Harvey et al., 2006a, b) and generating (Cramer et al., 2005) rhythmic firing. In pre-BötC neurons of neonatal mice, 5HT augmented $I_{\text{Na}(p)}$ via activation of 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptors (Peña and Ramirez, 2002) which contribute to the bursting of inspiratory neurons. However, in rat medullary preparations, Ptak and colleagues (2009) did not find such an enhancing effect on the persistent sodium current, although application of 5HT transformed non-intrinsic bursters into intrinsic bursters, at least in part by reducing the leak conductances in respiratory neurons.

Here, I test the hypothesis that serotonin induces bursting in the lobster AB neuron, by shifting the balance between persistent sodium and outward currents. 5HT could do this by either enhancing the persistent sodium current, and/or inhibiting the leak current.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and preparation. Adult California spiny lobsters (Panulirus interruptus) were supplied by Don Tomlinson Commercial Fishing (San Diego, CA) and kept in tanks with artificial sea water. Lobsters were anesthetized in ice; the STNS was dissected out and pinned on a Sylgard-coated Petri dish and superfused with oxygenated lobster physiological saline. The bath temperature was maintained at 16-17°C unless otherwise specified. The STG was desheathed, and cells were identified as previously described (Selverston et al. 1976). All experiments in this chapter were performed on the pyloric pacemaker neuron, the Anterior Burster (AB). In current clamp (CC) experiments, the AB cell was synaptically isolated by photoablation of the three pyloric motoneurons that are electrically coupled to it - the Ventricular Dilator (VD) and two Pyloric Dilator (PD) cells, as described by Miller and Selverston (1979).

Electrophysiology. Conventional current clamp (CC) and two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) recordings were performed using sharp microelectrodes, an Axoclamp-2B amplifier, DD 1440 data acquisition board and pCLAMP10 software (all from Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The command voltage was set as a slow depolarizing ramp (100 mV/sec) from -90 mV to 10 mV. Leak subtraction was done either on-line (P/N=6 protocol) or off-line (direct measure of the leak current and digital leak subtraction); both methods gave similar results.

Solutions. Panulirus physiological saline had the following composition (in mM): 479 NaCl, 12.8 KCl, 13.7 CaCl₂, 3.9 Na₂SO₄, 10.0 MgSO₄, 2 Glucose, and 11.1 Tris base, pH 7.4 (Mulloney and Selverston 1974). The descending input to the STG from the oesophageal ganglion and the paired commissural ganglia via the stomatogastric nerve (STN) was blocked by application of isotonic sucrose solution with 10⁻⁷M TTX into a small Vaseline well built around the
STN. When measuring the persistent sodium current, all other currents were blocked with the following blockers: 50 mM tetraethyl-ammonium chloride (TEA) and 4 mM 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) to block potassium currents, 5 mM CsCl to block the hyperpolarization-activated current, 0.6 mM CdCl₂ to block calcium and calcium-activated potassium currents, and 5·10⁻⁶ M picrotoxin (PTX) to block glutamatergic synapses within the STG. In addition to the bath-applied blockers, I loaded the cell body with internal blockers of potassium channels by injecting small negative current steps (1-4 nA) for 1-1.5 hours to an electrode loaded with 2M TEACl and 2M CsCl; the cell was allowed to recover for 1-2 hours before start of the experiment. I used Tetrodotoxin (TTX) (0.1µM) and Riluzole (Ril) (3-10 µM) to block the persistent sodium current. When measuring the total outward current, I used the cocktail of 10⁻⁴M TTX, 5µM PTX and 5mM CsCl. I added 0.6mM CdCl₂ to this cocktail to isolate $I_A$ which was calculated as a difference between the currents with and without CdCl₂. I added 2 mM 4-AP to TTX, PTX, CsCl mix to isolate the sum of $I_{K(Ca)}$ and $I_{K(V)}$; finally, to separate the last two currents, I added 0.6mM CdCl₂ to the TTX, PTX, CsCl, 4-AP blocker cocktail. The chemicals were purchased from Sigma, Tocris, or Cayman Chemical.

RESULTS

The Persistent Sodium Current is Essential for Serotonin-Induced Oscillations

TTX-sensitive serotonin bursting activity could involve the transient sodium current or the resurgent sodium current along with persistent sodium current (Raman and Bean 1997, Enomoto et al., 2006). To test the hypothesis that $I_{Na(P)}$ is involved in the serotonin-induced bursting, I applied Riluzole, a fairly specific blocker of this current (Urbani and Belluzzi, 2000; Miles et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2005, Kuo et al., 2006) to an AB oscillating in the presence of 5HT.
Figure 4.1. Serotonin-induced oscillations in a synaptically isolated AB are highly sensitive to blockers of sodium currents

A. Riluzole blocks serotonin-induced oscillations in the AB. Intact AB in physiological saline was spontaneously bursting. The synaptically isolated AB became quiescent. 5HT application (10 µM) induced regular bursting, which was abolished by 3-10 µM Riluzole.

B. Time course of Riluzole block: during early wash-in of Riluzole, the AB neuron “skipped” full-sized bursts (180 s); subthreshold oscillations (STO) were still regularly occurring at the same frequency as before, but some of these failed to reach the threshold for bursting (350 s). With further washing, the STO amplitude kept decreasing with no change in frequency (600 s), until oscillations finally completely stopped (1020 s).

C. and D. Frequency (C, closed circles) and amplitude (D, open squares) of oscillatory events as plotted from B. C, The frequency of the events (including both full-sized bursts and STOs) stayed relatively constant until the oscillations completely stopped at the time point around 1020 s. D, Amplitude of the bursts stayed relatively constant, while amplitude of STOs decreased continuously with time. An event was labeled as a “burst” if there was at least one action potential and the amplitude of the voltage “envelope” was larger than 4 mV (marked by a red oval), and as an “STO” when the amplitude was between 2 and 4 mV and lacked action potentials (marked by a blue oval). For clarity, only every 20th event is plotted.
In physiological saline, the spontaneously bursting AB neuron typically stopped oscillating after all synaptic and neuromodulatory input was removed by a TTX block on the STN (Fig. 4.1A). Application of 10 µM 5HT usually restored bursting, which in turn was blocked by low micromolar concentrations of Riluzole in all neurons tested (Fig.4.1A, n=8). As Riluzole (3-10 µM) exerted its effect, the frequency of all oscillatory events stayed relatively constant till the very end, when it sharply dropped to zero (at the time point 1050 s in Fig. 4.1C, closed circles). An oscillatory event was considered a burst if it had at least one action potential and a slow wave amplitude of more than 4 mV; it was considered a subthreshold oscillation (STO) if it lacked APs and had a slow wave amplitude below 4 mV (Fig. 4.1B, full bursts are labeled by arrowheads and STOs are labeled by arrows). In the course of Riluzole application, some subthreshold oscillations (STOs) developed into full bursts, while others failed to come to full size. The amplitude of full bursts stayed about the same (in Fig. 4.1D open squares inside the red oval), while the STOs were getting smaller and smaller in amplitude till they disappeared and the rhythm terminated (Figure 4.1D, open squares inside the blue oval). Thus, Riluzole changed the shape of the burst envelope and sequentially decreased the number of action potentials per burst in a bursting AB as it washed in. With time in Riluzole, the neuron stopped firing action potentials, leaving subthreshold oscillations; the depolarizing slope became smaller and eventually flattened out when AB became quiescent. This suggested that a likely mechanism for the Riluzole block of serotonin-induced bursting might be blocking the neuron from getting to threshold for spike initiation, perhaps by slowing down the rate of depolarization during the rising phase of the oscillation (Kuo et al., 2006; Theiss et al., 2007).
Figure 4.2. Riluzole blocked the AB rhythm by reducing the rate of depolarization preceding the oscillation.

A. The depolarizing slope decreased in the presence of Riluzole, as shown by overlapping voltage traces during 5HT bursting (black voltage trace), in the presence of 1 µM Ril (red trace), after 12 minutes in 3 µM Riluzole, when the neuron was still oscillating (Ril3osc, gray trace), and after 20 min in 3 µM Riluzole, when oscillations stopped (Ril3quies, blue trace).

B. Summary of the depolarizing slope measurements from 2 neurons at four time points: during 5HT bursting (correspond to the black trace in A) and in the presence of different concentrations of Riluzole (Ril1, Ril3osc and Ril3quies correspond to the red, gray and blue traces in A). Asterisks mark statistically significant difference (p<0.05, n=30 bursts per treatment, two AB neurons).
I have measured and compared the slope of the rising phase of the oscillation under control conditions and at different time points during Riluzole treatment. Figure 4.2A shows an overlay of voltage traces in the presence of 5HT (black), in the presence of 1 and 3 µM Riluzole (red and gray traces), while the neuron was still oscillating, and after 20 min in 3 µM Riluzole, when oscillations had stopped (blue trace). The rise slope of each trace is indicated by a dashed line of matching color in an enlarged fragment on the right. During Riluzole treatment the rate of depolarization kept decreasing in parallel with loss of bursting: the mean slope was 16±3 mV/s in 5HT, 8±2 mV/s in 1 µM Riluzole, 7±2 mV/s at the start of 3 µM Riluzole treatment (when the AB is still oscillating) and close to 0 mV/s at the end of Riluzole treatment (silent AB) (p<0.05, n=30 bursts per treatment, 2 different AB neurons, Figure 4.2B). These data suggest that the Riluzole-sensitive $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ determines the rate of depolarization by driving the membrane voltage to the threshold for bursting; the rate of this depolarization has to be fast enough to make the transition to bistability before other currents such as fast sodium current $I_{\text{Na}(T)}$ inactivate and block spiking (Kuo et al, 2006, Theiss et al, 2007).

**Serotonin Does Not Enhance the Persistent Sodium Current in the AB**

In Chapter 2, I described the biophysical and pharmacological characterization of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in the AB neuron. Briefly, the current was measured in TEVC with a slowly depolarizing ramp command voltage and a P/N=6 leak subtraction protocol, after pharmacological block of most other ionic currents. The $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ formed a region of negative slope conductance in the subthreshold voltage region (Figs. 4.3 and 2.4). It activated around -65 mV and peaked around -45 mV (see Chapter 2 for details). The average peak amplitude of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in the AB was around -3 nA. The persistent sodium current was blocked by Riluzole in a concentration-dependent manner.
Figure 4.3. Serotonin does not modulate the persistent sodium current in the AB neuron.

A. Persistent sodium current-voltage plot under control conditions (black), during 5HT application (red) and after 40 min washout (gray) from a representative AB neuron.

B. The mean INa(P) peak amplitude in the presence of 5HT was not statistically different from mean peak amplitude under control conditions or after washout (p>0.05, n=5).

C. The mean Na(P) conductance in 5HT and after washout as a percentage of the control values. There was no significant difference between conditions (p>0.05, n=4).
with an IC$_{50}$ of 3.2±0.2 µM, as well as by TTX with an IC$_{50}$= 4.4±0.2 nM. For figures and detailed description of $I_{Na(P)}$ properties, see Chapter 2.

As shown by the Riluzole block of 5HT- induced oscillations, $I_{Na(P)}$ seemed to be critical in sodium-dependent bursting, but it was not enhanced by 5HT in the AB neuron. There was no difference between I-V plots under control conditions, during 5HT application and after 30 min washout (Fig. 4.3A, black, red and gray traces respectively, from a representative AB neuron). The mean peak amplitude was -2.6±0.8 nA under control conditions, -2.2±0.8 nA in serotonin and -2.4±0.5 nA after serotonin washout (Fig. 4.3B, n=5). The mean reduction in amplitude of 0.4±0.4 nA in 5HT was not statistically significant (student’s t-test, p>0.05, n=5). The mean peak persistent sodium conductance was 28±3.8 nS under control conditions and 22±3.7 nS in the presence of 5HT (p>0.05, n=4)

**Serotonin Inhibits Outward Currents in the AB**

An additional possible mechanism for serotonin-activated bursting is an inhibition of the outward currents which compete with $I_{Na(P)}$ to determine the ramp depolarization leading to the burst; such a reduction would then uncover the persistent sodium current and tip the membrane equilibrium towards bistability.

To measure the 5HT effect on the amplitude of outward currents in the pyloric pacemaker, I used a cocktail of 10$^{-4}$M TTX, 5µM PTX and 5mM CsCl to block sodium currents, glutamatergic synapses and $I_h$, respectively (for these experiments, AB was not isolated from the PD and VD neurons). I have found that in the AB neuron, serotonin significantly inhibited two potassium currents, the leak current and $I_{K(Ca)}$, while it had no visible effect on the transient potassium current, $I_A$.

**Transient potassium current.** To block the calcium-activated potassium current, I used 0.6mM CdCl$_2$ in addition to TTX, PTX, and CsCl to block other currents. I
Figure 4.4. Serotonin effects on the outward currents in the AB

A. The leak current was measured at the end of a -5 mV step from the -55 mV holding potential (arrowhead). Leak current was reversibly reduced by serotonin: black (under control conditions), red (during 5HT) and gray (after washout).

B. Leak current in the presence of 5HT and after wash, as a percentage of control values. Asterisks show statistically significant difference (p<0.05, n=5, student’s t-test).

C. The total outward current is a sum of $I_{K(V)}$ and $I_{K(Ca)}$. Current traces are shown during a step to +25 mV: black, under control conditions, red, during 5HT application, gray, after washout. The transient portion of the current, $I_{tr}$, is marked by an arrowhead; the sustained portion, $I_s$, is marked by an arrow.

D. Mean amplitude of the transient total outward current ($I_{tr}$) decreased in 5HT by 10%. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant difference (p<0.05, n=5, student’s t-test).

E. Mean amplitude of the sustained outward current ($I_s$) decreased in 5HT by 1.2% (p>0.5, n=8, student’s t-test).
then generated two sets of depolarizing voltage steps, one of which is preceded by a long hyperpolarizing pre-step to –80 mV to de-inactivate the transient potassium channels. $I_A$ was calculated as the difference between the current series measured with and without hyperpolarizing pre-steps. In agreement with Peck et al (2001), serotonin did not have any effect on the amplitude of $I_A$ in the AB neuron (data not shown).

*Leak current.* I measured the leak current at the end of 5 mV hyperpolarizing steps (Fig. 4.4A, an upward arrowhead) from a holding potential of -55 mV, in the presence of all the above mentioned blockers. Upon addition of serotonin, the amplitude of the leak current on average was reversibly reduced (Fig. 4.4A, leak current traces under control conditions (black), in the presence of 5HT (red), and after 40 min washout (gray)). The mean reduction of amplitude was 8.1±4.5 % of the control value (Fig. 4.4B, asterisks, statistically significant difference, student’s t-test, p<0.02, n=5).

*Delayed rectifier and calcium-activated potassium currents.* To measure the sum of $I_{K(V)}$ and $I_{K(Ca)}$, 2 mM 4-AP was added to the blocker cocktail to eliminate $I_A$; to separate the two remaining potassium currents, 0.6 mM CdCl$_2$ was applied to measure $I_{K(V)}$; $I_{K(Ca)}$ was calculated as a difference between the currents measured with and without CdCl$_2$.

Serotonin partially inhibited the total outward current measured from a holding potential of -50 mV, which constituted the sum of $I_{K(V)}$ and $I_{K(Ca)}$ (Figure 4.4C). The transient (peak) portion of this total outward current (marked by a downward arrowhead, Fig. 4.4C) showed a statistically significant decrease of 10±3.7% during serotonin application (p<0.005, n=8, Fig. 4.4D). The sustained portion of the total current (marked by a downward arrow, Fig.4.4C) decreased by 1.2% which was not statistically significant (p>0.05, n=8, Fig. 4.4E). To separate
serotonin’s effects on either current, I directly measured $I_{K(V)}$ in the AB neuron after blocking $I_{K(Ca)}$ with Cd$^{2+}$: serotonin slightly increased $I_{K(V)}$ amplitude in two cells (Fig. 4.5A) and decreased it in two other cells (Fig. 4.5B); both effects were reversible upon washout. The mean I-V curve for all 4 neurons tested under control conditions (black), in 5HT (red) and after washout (gray) overlap (Fig 4.5C). The mean amplitude of $I_{K(V)}$ measured at 25 mV was 14.9±3 nA under control conditions and 14.1±6 nA in 5HT, (p>0.05; n=4, Fig. 4.5D).

To determine serotonin’s effect on $I_{K(Ca)}$, I calculated the difference between the total outward current (in the presence of TTX, PTX, 4-AP and CsCl) and $I_{K(V)}$ (measured in CdCl$_2$, TTX, PTX, 4-AP and CsCl) by digital subtraction (Fig. 4.5E, current traces under control conditions and in the presence of 5HT, black and red, respectively). The mean peak current amplitude was 8.3±1.3 nA under control conditions and 6.7±1.5 nA in the presence of 5HT (81% of the control value); this reduction was statistically significant (Fig.4.5F, p<0.05, n=4). Thus, the main effect of serotonin on the AB outward currents was an inhibition of two potassium currents, $I_{K(Ca)}$ and the leak current.

DISCUSSION

The role of the persistent sodium current in motor systems has been extensively studied, both in invertebrate (Christensen et al., 1988; Lapied et al., 1989; Saito and Wu, 1991; Schäfer et al., 1994; Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994; Rakowski et al., 2002; Clay et al., 2003; Nikitin et al., 2006, 2008) and vertebrate CPGs, including those for mastication (Wu et al., 2005; Enomoto et al., 2006), locomotion (Zhong et al., 2007; Tazerart et al., 2007, 2008; Ziskind-Conhaim et al., 2008) and respiration, among others. Notably, in the respiratory CPG, there is still controversy about the role of $I_{Na(P)}$ in rhythmogenesis: some suggest that it is important (Rybak et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2007; Rybak et al., 2003); while
Figure 4.5. Serotonin inhibits $I_{K(Ca)}$, but not $I_{K(V)}$ in the AB

A. Serotonin had a variable, non-significant effect on the delayed rectifier potassium current $I_{K(V)}$ in the AB neuron. Examples from two different AB neurons are shown. A, slight increase of the current amplitude in 5HT; B, slight decrease of the current amplitude in 5HT.

C. Mean I-V curve for $I_{K(V)}$ from 4 AB neuron: under control conditions black), in 5HT (red) and after washout (gray).

D. Mean $I_{K(V)}$ amplitude measured during a voltage step to +25 mV in the presence of 5HT was not different from the current amplitude under control conditions ($p>0.05$, n=4).

E. Isolated $I_{K(Ca)}$ traces from a representative AB neuron during a voltage step to 25 mV: black, under control conditions, red, in 5HT. The current was calculated as a difference between the total outward current and $I_{K(V)}$.

F. Mean peak (transient) current amplitude of $I_{K(Ca)}$ at +25 mV in 5HT and after washout, as a percentage of control values. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant difference ($p<0.05$, n=4).
others suggest it is not necessary for network rhythm generation (Del Negro et al., 2002; Pace et al., 2007) even though $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ clearly enables a population of respiratory neurons to burst rhythmically (Del Negro et al., 2002; Pace et al., 2007). These differences in the results on $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ are probably due to different experimental approaches and different preparations used in these studies.

More critical than the mere presence of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ in these neurons is the balance between this current and outward currents that oppose it in the subthreshold voltage range. In particular, the ratio of the persistent sodium conductance and the leak conductance was demonstrated both theoretically and experimentally to be the most reliable criterion for a neuron to be able to burst in the respiratory Pre-Bötzinger complex (Butera et al., 1999a; Smith et al., 2000; DelNegro, 2002, 2005; Koizumi and Smith, 2008).

**Riluzole blocks 5HT bursting by slowing down the rate of $V_m$ depolarization**

Two lines of evidence suggest that the persistent sodium current is essential for serotonin-induced AB bursting. First, bursting is highly dependent on the external sodium concentration, and is blocked by TTX (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Second, Riluzole blocks 5HT-induced bursting at 3-10 µM (Fig. 4.1), a concentration that would block 40-80% of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ based on the $\text{IC}_{50}=3.2 \ \mu\text{M}$ determined in Chapter 2.

My results suggest that Riluzole blocks serotonin bursting by an inhibition of $I_{\text{Na}(P)}$ which specifically slows down the rate of depolarization in the rise to the start of each burst (Figure 4.2A and B). Riluzole changed the shape of the burst envelope and sequentially decreased the number of action potentials per burst in a bursting AB as it washed in. With time in Riluzole, the neuron stopped firing action potentials, leaving subthreshold oscillations; the depolarizing slope became smaller and eventually flattened out when AB became quiescent. The mean
depolarizing slope in the presence of 1 μM Riluzole was half of its pre-Riluzole value; the difference in slope values of bursting AB neuron before and during Riluzole application was statistically significant (p<0.05, n=30, Fig. 4.2C). The data suggest that $I_{Na(P)}$ determines the slope (rate) of the membrane potential depolarization making it more likely to reach the threshold for bursting. In spinal motoneurons, $I_{Na(P)}$ provides the drive for reaching the threshold for action potential generation and repetitive firing (Kuo et al, 2006, Theiss et al, 2007). Thus, in the AB neuron, the persistent sodium current activates at more hyperpolarized voltages, initiates depolarization to reach the threshold for $I_{Na(T)}$ and helps the activation of the transient sodium channel overcome its inactivation. It appears that both sodium currents are needed to generate sustained bursting in the AB. Unfortunately, there is no experimental way to selectively block $I_{Na(T)}$ without also blocking $I_{Na(P)}$ to separate the roles of each current in bursting generation. Using a mathematical model of AB or the Dynamic clamp may be useful in addressing this question.

Specificity of Riluzole effect. A critical issue is whether Riluzole stops 5HT oscillations by blocking currents other than $I_{Na(P)}$. Although 10-300 μM is routinely used as a specific blocker of $I_{Na(P)}$ in vertebrate neuronal preparations (Urbani and Belluzzi, 2000; Miles et al., 2005; Kuo et al., 2006; DelNegro et al., 2002; Wu at al., 2005; Cramer et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2007; Tazerart et al., 2007), Riluzole has side effects on other currents at higher concentrations. For instance, it was reported to block (Zona et al., 1998; Duprat et al., 2000; Cao et al., 2002; Ahn et al., 2005) or activate (Duprat et al., 2000; Beltran-Parrazal and Charles, 2003) potassium conductances at concentrations of 100 μM and above. Riluzole also blocks high voltage activated and other types of calcium channels at 100-300 μM (Huang et al., 1997; Siniscalchi et al., 1997; Stefani
et al., 1997). In addition, Riluzole also inhibits the synaptic release of GABA and glycine (Umemiya & Berger, 1995; Mohammadi et al., 2001; He et al., 2002; Lamanauskas and Nistri, 2008). Moreover, Riluzole directly inhibits PKC at 10-30 µM in cultured cortical and endothelial cells (Noh et al., 2000; Yoo et al., 2005).

To avoid these and other possible side effects, I used low micromolar concentrations (1-5µM) of Riluzole. It is unlikely that Riluzole’s block of 5HT bursting was due to its side effects. First, all glutamatergic transmission in the STG was already blocked in the experiments, and the AB neuron does not receive GABAergic or glycinergic synapses. Second, 5HT-induced oscillations persisted in low calcium saline (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987), thus making the possible partial block of calcium currents irrelevant. Finally, the effect on potassium channels was observed only at concentrations higher than 100 µM.

Like its counterparts in mammalian neurons, one of the arthropod 5HT receptors cloned from the spiny lobster STNS (5-HT\textsubscript{2bPan}) couples with the \(G_\text{q}\) to PLC which activates the PKC signaling pathway (Clark et al., 2004). Moreover, this receptor was constitutively active when stably expressed in HEK cells (Clark et al., 2004). PKC has been shown to differentially modulate sodium channels, inhibiting the fast sodium and enhancing the persistent sodium currents (Numann et al., 1991; Franceschetti et al., 2000; Baker, 2005). Riluzole is also known to directly inhibit PKC at 10-30 µM concentration (Noh et al., 2000; Yoo et al., 2005), and it is possible that Riluzole blocks the persistent sodium current and hence 5HT oscillations via inhibition of the PKC pathway. However, given the much lower concentration of Ril needed to block bursting, the most likely mechanism is a direct blockade of \(I_{Na(P)}\).

**Model for Serotonin -induced Bursting in the AB Neuron**
Figure 4.6. Ionic mechanisms of serotonin and dopamine bursting in the AB neuron.

A. Serotonin significantly increases the ratio of the persistent sodium and leak conductances in the AB. $G_{Na(P)}/G_{Leak}$ is expressed as a percentage of the ratio under control conditions (n=4, p<0.05). 5HT, in the presence of serotonin; W, after washout.

B. Serotonin induces bursting by inhibition of two outward currents, $I_{leak}$ and $I_{K(Ca)}$. This reduction uncovers the depolarizing effect of $I_{Na(P)}$, which speeds up the rate of depolarization bringing the voltage to the threshold for bursting quickly enough to induce regenerative oscillations.

C. DA application restores the bursting of an isolated AB neuron after Riluzole blocked 5HT-induced bursting. 5HT, serotonin induced bursting in a synaptically isolated AB; 5HT+Ril, application of 3 µM Riluzole blocks bursting within 20 min; 5HT+Ril+DA, DA application restores bursting within 5 minutes. Horizontal bar corresponds to -51 mV.

D. Dopamine induces bursting by increasing the intracellular calcium concentration and enhancing $I_{CAN}$; DA also enhances $I_h$ (Peck et al., 2006), inhibits outward currents $I_A$ and $I_{leak}$ (Peck et al., 2001) and considerably reduces $I_{Ca(V)}$ amplitude (Johnson et al., 2003).
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Although the persistent sodium current seems to be critical for pacemaker bursting evoked by 5HT, it was not itself enhanced by bath-applied serotonin. Instead, serotonin appears to reveal the effect on $I_{Na}^{(P)}$ by inhibiting two competing outward currents, $I_{K(Ca)}$ (20% reduction) and the leak current (8% reduction). The inhibition was small but statistically significant and fully reversible on washout for both currents, and appears to be sufficient to uncover the depolarizing effect of the slow sodium current.

In the rodent Pre-Bötzinger complex, serotonin is critically involved in respiratory bursting, although the exact targets of serotonin vary in different species: 5HT enhanced $I_{Na}^{(P)}$ in mouse (Pena and Ramirez, 2002) and inhibited leak currents in rat (Ptak et al., 2009). However, the common net effect of serotonin in the respiratory CPG is to increase the ratio of the persistent sodium conductance to the potassium conductances, including leak, M-current and $I_{K(Ca)}$. The importance of this balance between $I_{Na}^{(P)}$ and outward currents in bursting pacemaker neurons was initially proposed by Butera et al. (1999). The ratio $G_{Na}^{(P)} : G_{leak}$ is the main difference in intrinsic properties of pacemaker and non-pacemaker neurons: the higher the ratio, the more likely for a neuron to be able to burst endogenously (Butera et al., 1999a, Smith et al., 2000; Del Negro et al., 2002, 1998; Pace et al, 2007). Serotonin not only enhanced the general excitability of respiratory neurons, but transformed non-intrinsic bursters into intrinsic bursters in this CPG, although it is not clear whether 5HT inhibition of the potassium-dominated leak conductance alone is sufficient for burst generation in these neurons (Ptak et al., 2009). My own results in the pyloric pacemaker neuron provide further support for this mechanism. In the AB, the ratio of $G_{Na}^{(P)}$ to $G_{Leak}$ calculated at subthreshold voltage (-60 to -65 mV) significantly increased in the presence of 5HT (Fig. 4.6A); this suggests that in the AB neuron reduction
of leak conductance alone could be enough to unmask the effect of persistent sodium conductance.

It is interesting that the 5HT$_{2\beta_{Pan}}$ receptor in the spiny lobster has a one amino acid substitution that could render it constitutively active (Clark et al., 2004). Although the exact 5HT receptor profile of the AB neuron is currently unknown, it is intriguing possibility that this constitutively active receptor maintains a basal level of PKC activity, which is shown to augment $I_{Na(P)}$ (Numann et al., 1991; Franceschetti et al., 2000; Baker, 2005) to continuously provide the depolarizing force for AB bursting.

**Dopamine and Serotonin Employ Different Strategies to Evoke Bursting in the Pacemaker AB Neuron**

Building on the previous work by Harris-Warrick and Flamm in the lobster pyloric pacemaker neuron, I showed two distinct mechanisms of pacemaking – those relying on $I_{Na(P)}$ and those relying on $I_{CAN}$, are employed by the same AB neuron. Each of the mechanisms requires the presence of its own neuromodulator, serotonin for the $I_{Na(P)}$-based bursting and dopamine for the $I_{CAN}$-based bursting. Serotonin acts by a conductance decrease mechanism, similar to that in rat respiratory neurons (Ptak et al., 2009): it partially inhibits two outward potassium currents to uncover the depolarizing effect of the persistent sodium current, which in turn determines the rate of membrane depolarization making it more likely to reach the threshold for bursting (Fig.4.6B). Although, I did not address this question in the current study, burst termination most likely occurs because of slow time- and voltage-dependent inactivation of $I_{Na(P)}$ in the AB, as well as activation of $I_{K(Ca)}$.

As described in Chapter 3, unlike serotonin, dopamine increases the basal levels of cytoplasmic calcium by triggering the release of calcium from internal stores.
Both types of intracellular calcium channels are critical for bursting as shown by the block of DA oscillations by Xestospongin and Ryanodine, specific blockers of IP3 and Ryanodine receptors channels, respectively. This high intracellular calcium leads to an activation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and a subsequent depolarization of the membrane potential (Fig. 4.6D). This initial depolarization most likely leads to calcium influx via voltage-gated calcium channels; higher influx of calcium results in a cycle of more calcium influx via CAN channels and calcium release from RyR and IP3R. This process of calcium–dependent depolarization is self-sustained due to several positive feedback loops: calcium-dependent activation of both $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and ER calcium channels. At some point, the intracellular calcium concentration may reach levels at which it becomes inhibitory to the voltage-gated and intracellular calcium channels leading to the burst termination. In addition, higher $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}$ combined with depolarization trigger activation of the calcium-dependent potassium current, which overcomes the depolarizing effect of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ and terminates bursting. Additionally, other known effect of DA, such as enhancement of $I_h$ (Peck et al., 2006), reduction of $I_A$ and the leak current (Peck et al., 2001) contribute to the overall excitability of the AB to facilitate rhythmic bursting.

In addition to the distinct ionic dependence, DA and 5HT bursting have dissimilar pharmacology. DA oscillations are sensitive to FFA, but not to TTX or Riluzole, while 5HT oscillations are sensitive to TTX and Riluzole, but not to FFA. Interestingly, DA application can restore oscillations in the AB neuron after Riluzole or TTX blocked 5HT bursting (Fig. 4.6C, n=5); this fact reinforces the idea that within a single neuron DA and 5HT employ two independent mechanisms for rhythm generation.
The general outcome of the burst-inducing modulators such as DA and 5HT is to trigger a non-linear response from the cellular membrane potential that is a result of interplay between ionic currents, second messenger cascades and intracellular calcium (Harris-Warrick, 2002; Ramirez et al., 2004). The change that the neuromodulators introduce into this interplay need not be large to make the transition from quiescence or tonic spiking to bursting; changes of just a few percent in conductance or voltage dependence may be sufficient (Guckenheimer et al., 1993).

This redundancy in bursting mechanisms is not unique for the pyloric system. Many motor systems have degenerate networks or rhythmogenic mechanisms in both invertebrates and vertebrates. For example, within respiratory CPG, two different populations of pacemaker neurons with distinct pharmacology and physiological functions employ two different mechanisms of bursting, the cadmium-insensitive (sodium-dependent) and cadmium-sensitive (sodium- and calcium-dependent) (Pena et al., 2004; Viemari JC, Ramirez JM., 2006; Peña and Aguileta, 2007). However, clear evidence for multiple bursting mechanisms in a single neuron has not been presented in other systems.

Finally, it is noteworthy to remember that the pyloric pacemaker kernel consists of the AB neuron electrically coupled to the two PD neurons that have very different physiological profiles from the AB neuron. PD neurons only slow endogenous bursting in the presence of appropriate neuromodulators; they are inhibited by DA, and fire tonically when isolated from all synaptic and neuromodulatory input. The net effect of DA is different in reduced preparations of the AB alone, or the AB coupled to one or two PD neurons, compared to its effect on the more intact pyloric network (Ayali and Harris-Warrick 1999). Thus the ionic mechanisms of the AB bursting in the presence of monoamines have to
be placed into the general functional picture of the pacemaker kernel and pyloric subcircuit, as well as the whole STG.
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CHAPTER 5
THE SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PRESENT STUDY
AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Pacemaking mechanisms

Rhythmogenesis has been extensively studied in other CPG systems (respiration, mastication, whisking, and locomotion – both invertebrate and vertebrate); the cellular mechanisms are diverse and still not well understood. The role and necessity of pacemaker neurons in rhythmogenesis are widely disputed. There is currently a lack of experimental data regarding the identity or even existence of specialized pacemaker neurons in (mammalian) motor systems. For this reason, pacemaker neurons’ role, necessity and sufficiency for rhythmogenesis are currently impossible to address in the mammalian systems, partly because of the technical challenges of studying the complex vertebrate circuits. Invertebrate CPGs, such as the lobster pyloric circuit, give us an opportunity to address the problem due to several factors. First, the lobster pyloric network is unarguably driven by a pacemaker neuron, the AB. Besides, this system offers a substantial technical advantage: it is a well known system, with easily identifiable components of the circuit; the ability to synaptically isolate the AB in-situ without damaging its morphology or dendritic arborizations; the ability to study intrinsic properties without interference from synaptic inputs. All this makes the STG the best model system to address the mechanisms of intrinsic bursting of a pacemaker and rhythmogenesis.

I focus on the mechanisms of monoamine-induced AB bursting. Previous work has shown the AB’s unique ability to generate endogenous bursting in the presence of monoamines and the distinct ionic dependencies for each (Harris-Warrick and Flamm, 1987). Briefly, the authors previously showed that DA
oscillations are calcium–dependent and insensitive to TTX, while 5HT oscillations are highly dependent on external sodium and blocked by TTX. Building upon this work, I addressed the following questions: (1) How does the pacemaker generate an endogenous rhythmic output in the presence of each monoamine? (2) What are the ionic targets of monoamines in each bursting mode? (3) What conductances or processes are affected by monoamine in each case?

Summarizing the results that were described in detail in the previous chapters, I determined the major targets of DA and 5HT that induce bursting in the pyloric pacemaker neuron AB. DA induces bursting by an increase of cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations, most likely by triggering Ca\(^{2+}\) release from ER stores, and in so doing, DA activates \(I_{\text{CAN}}\) for initial depolarization. 5HT, in turn, induces bursting by a dual potassium conductance decrease mechanism, thus unmasking the depolarizing effect of \(I_{\text{Na}(P)}\) which is necessary for the serotonin bursting.

**Novelty of This Study and Contributions to the Field**

I have described and characterized the physiological properties and the pharmacology of \(I_{\text{Na}(P)}\) and \(I_{\text{CAN}}\) in three lobster pyloric neurons. Both currents exhibit cell-type specificity in their properties and modulation. This work brings added details to our knowledge of the inner workings of the pyloric circuit and may help to explain certain modulatory effects as well as being useful to refine existing mathematical models of the pyloric neurons and the whole circuit.

I have also established that DA induces bursting by modulation of the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{in}}\) dynamics. In addition to the previously known DA inhibition of influx via \(I_{\text{Ca}(V)}\) (Johnson et al., 2003), I have obtained a direct evidence that when dopamine is applied, the intracellular calcium concentration rises before the emergence of the membrane potential oscillations. Furthermore, I have shown that a block of the
RyR and IP₃R channels prevents the DA-induced calcium increase and bursting, providing a strong evidence that these intracellular calcium channels are critically involved in the AB bursting.

Finally, I have also shown that there is voltage-dependent calcium influx during DA-induced oscillations, with the calcium peaks following the voltage peaks with a 0.2 phase delay. Hence the DA-insensitive portion of the $I_{\text{Cal}(V)}$ (which constitutes about 30% of the calcium current under control conditions, measured in the soma) still contributes to the calcium influx in the presence of DA.

The nature of the DA modulation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$ suggests that the AB neuron switches from the external calcium-dependent bursting to the internal calcium-dependent bursting, or rather from the voltage-dependent calcium influx to the receptor- or store-dependent calcium influx during DA bursting. This indeed needs to be tested directly, and before that I cannot think of any possible explanations and advantages of such a switch to the pacemaker.

**Limitations of the Conducted Study and Future Directions**

The focus of this study was on the mechanisms that help to initiate the depolarizing phase of the bursting cycle, while the mechanisms of the repolarizing phase (“burst termination”) were not addressed. Of course, both processes have to be controlled to generate the rhythmic oscillatory behavior and my work needs to be complemented with experimental testing of the “burst termination” mechanisms to construct a complete model.

As with any electrophysiological experiment in the STG, where the neurons have extensive dendritic arborizations, the “space clamp” problem may interfere with accuracy of the measurements of ionic currents. Since the currents I studied ($I_{\text{CAN}}$ and $I_{\text{NaP}}$) are presumed to originate in the fine neuropil, hundreds of microns away from the neuronal soma where the VC measurements are done, a significant
error may be introduced into the measurements. Additionally, this error may be
magnified by the fact that the currents of interest are among the smallest in
amplitude, in the range of several nA, as opposed to the potassium currents of
several hundreds of nA. Therefore, due to these technical limitations, I could not
carry out a full biophysical characterization of the slow inward currents in the
pyloric neurons. However, I was able to show that they are expressed in the
pyloric neurons in different amounts and exhibit distinct pharmacological and
neuromodulatory profiles. For instance, \( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \) was not detectable in the PD neuron,
while it was clearly expressed in the AB and the LP. The AB’s \( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \) at -3 nA was
much smaller in amplitude than the LP’s which could reach up to -25 nA on
average. Furthermore, there was a significant difference in the TTX-sensitivity of
\( I_{\text{Na}(P)} \): the LP it was 100 times higher than in the AB. Although \( I_{\text{CAN}} \) was present
in all three types of neurons, it was much larger in the LP and the PD neurons
compared to the AB. Moreover, DA significantly enhanced \( I_{\text{CAN}} \) in the AB
neuron, while it did not have any effect on the same current in the LP neuron.
This cell type-specificity of neuronal intrinsic properties is well-known and
described for all other ionic currents in the STG (for examples, see Peck et al.,
2001, 2006; Johnson et al., 2003; Kloppenburg et al., Gruhn et al., 2005 among
others)

\textit{DA bursting in the AB neuron} is highly dependent on calcium metabolism, which
has a complex and dynamic nature involving many feedback loops and multiple
regulatory mechanisms. One caveat to the use of calcium indicator dyes is that
they are themselves calcium buffers: they change the calcium concentrations,
distorting the readings. In addition, the kinetics of the calcium indicator’s calcium
binding and unbinding may be too slow for some processes to correlate the
changes in the calcium signal with faster electrical phenomena.
Overall, the calcium dynamics in neurons are determined by membrane flux via ion channels and pumps, as well as by intracellular organelle release and uptake and cytoplasmic buffering. Calcium influx from the extracellular space occurs via voltage-gated or receptor-gated calcium channels, as well as store-operated calcium channels (SOCC) and the sodium-calcium exchanger (NCX) operating in a reverse mode. Additional influx may occur via non-specific cationic channels that may be activated by calcium itself or other second messengers such as cyclic nucleotides (cAMP, cGMP) or NADHP. Free calcium ions are tightly regulated: they can be pumped out by the plasma membrane calcium ATPase (PMCA) and NCX, taken up by organelles (endoplasmic reticulum ER and mitochondria), or buffered by specialized calcium-binding proteins in the cytoplasm. The ER releases calcium via two types of calcium-receptor proteins: IP3-activated (IP3R) or Ryanodine-sensitive (RyR); both may be activated or inhibited depending on the $[Ca^{2+}]_m$. Mitochondria release or up-take free calcium ions depending on the pH and other regulatory factors. Thus, $I_{\text{CAN}}$ can potentially be activated by calcium ions coming from any of those sources, and DA may be targeting any of those players in the calcium metabolism. Therefore, the main challenge for me was to choose what players and processes to focus on, since controlling and measuring all aspects of the calcium dynamics was not practical. Indeed, in my DA bursting experiments I did not explore the involvement of the sodium-calcium and potassium-dependent sodium-calcium ATPases (NCX and NKCX, respectively), store-operated calcium channels (SOCE) and various receptor-activated calcium channels. Nor did I look at the role of mitochondria, which are critical in the calcium metabolism. Each of these players (channels, pumps, or receptors) was reported to be tightly linked to the prolonged depolarizations or rhythmic oscillations in different experimental systems. It is possible that some or
all of these targets are modulated by DA, and each additional player adds multiple layers of complexity due to sheer number of possible interactions with other players.

However, even with the limited number of calcium metabolism players I have chosen to focus on in the study, there are still several points that beg to be addressed. First, to test whether the IP3R and RyR are sufficient for DA bursting, one could stimulate them and look at the calcium levels and emergence of oscillations. Specifically, for the IP3R, the stimulation could be done with IP3 injection; one would expect to see bursting and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{in}}$ increase. To stimulate RyR one could use caffeine which does evoke spontaneous TTX-resistant oscillations in the isolated AB (Kadiri, unpublished); one would expect to see an intracellular $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ rise as well. Additionally, to link the activation of these receptors and intracellular calcium rise to activation of $I_{\text{CAN}}$, one would need to show in VC that IP3 and caffeine enhance $I_{\text{CAN}}$.

Another point that needs clarification is what role the extracellular calcium plays in the DA bursting. At the least, it may be the calcium store replenishment occurring via the SOC, receptor-activated calcium and/or CAN channels. At the most, it may be an active participation in the depolarization via an engagement of the high-voltage activated calcium channels. Those would need an initial depolarization to reach their threshold for activation. It is possible that $I_{\text{CAN}}$ provides the initial (slower) ramp for depolarization, while the small portion of the voltage-activated channels unaffected by DA (see Johnson et al., 2003) may be playing a critical role in the late phase (faster) depolarization. To fill in the gaps in the DA model, one could block the above-mentioned calcium-permeable pumps and channels one-by-one, while monitoring the membrane potential and intracellular calcium signal during DA application.
Finally, because of the several reports about the FFA-induced calcium release from intracellular stores, it is important to run control experiment in the STG and look at FFA’s effect on intracellular Ca^{2+} release. Unfortunately, due to time limitations on the two-photon rig, we could not perform all these critical experiments.

*For the 5HT bursting project*, the main obstacle was that we do not know as much about its effects on the AB’s conductances as we do for DA. Due to a lack of experimental evidence about the 5HT effects on other currents in the AB (V-dependent calcium current, hyperpolarization-activated current, I_h, calcium-activated potassium current, to name the few), and pumps (Na/K and Ca/Na exchangers), I cannot construct a more complete picture of the bursting mechanism. It would be worthwhile to look at the serotonin modulation of those ionic current in the AB neuron. Additionally, I cannot exclude the effect of APs in the bursting dynamics (in DA, the AB neuron can generate slow waves without APs, hence the DA bistability does not depend on the APs). Since I_{Na(P)} in the AB is as sensitive to TTX as I_{Na(T)} is, there is no known experimental way to separate the roles of these two currents during bursting. In this case, I think using the mathematical model of the AB (Guckenheimer, 1993) would be of great use.

*Molecular underpinnings of monoamine modulation*. We do not know the exact types of DA and 5HT receptors in the AB, nor the G-protein pathways they are linked to. For now, it is possible to use the 5HTR agonists and antagonists, whose pharmacology in the lobster was recently established by Baro and colleagues, to gain some insight into the signaling cascade of the 5HT modulation. Ultimately, we would like to find out the exact receptor and G-protein coupling profile of the AB neuron to pinpoint the precise pathways involved in its monoamine-induced bursting behavior and pyloric rhythmogenesis.
Mathematical models. Bursting is a non-linear behavior and as such it is difficult to study and to predict, without the knowledge gained from mathematical models and a dynamical systems approach. Mathematical models can help with better understanding of the intracellular calcium dynamics during DA bursting, and its role in burst initiation and termination. While there are numerous models of slow bursters incorporating the detailed descriptions of calcium dynamics and its role in firing properties, these models are mostly for non-neuronal cells, describing insulin secretion, hormone secretion from the hypothalamus and pituitary (Rinzel and Lee, 1997; Stojilkovich, 2005) and heart pacemaker activity (Sherman; Lakkatta, 2008). It would be interesting to see whether the calcium-dependent processes that work for endocrine and muscle cells will work for the faster oscillatory behavior of the neuronal cells.

The mathematical model of the AB can help with better understanding of the 5HT bursting mechanism, and the possible importance of action potentials (APs) in the 5HT bursting: fast-slow dynamics may generate a parabolic bursting type, where APs are an integral part of the oscillatory mechanism (Aplysia R15 model). In the existing AB model (Guckenheimer, 1993), an increase of $G_{\text{Na}(P)}$ (by simply setting the inactivation of 1% of $G_{\text{Na}(T)}$ to zero) does not result in bursting, but instead in a silent depolarized state (Guckenheimer, unpublished). I believe that incorporating the findings described in this work could be used to refine this model and use it to gain deeper understanding of the oscillatory behavior of the AB neuron and map out the future experiments.