

# **OA: Impartial Overview**

**Paul Ginsparg**

**Physics and CIS, Cornell University**

Apolitical perspective on questions raised by Open Access initiatives.

**Cornell Conference on Open Access Scholarship, 9 May 05**

# Open Access (OA)

- inevitable? possible? sensible? promising? threatening?
- OA “supports the principle that the published output of scientific research should be available, without charge, to everyone” (UK House of Commons Science and Technology Committee, 2004)
- self-evident from public policy standpoint? ⇒ legislated?
- endorsed by Nobel laureates, library associations, and US Chamber of Commerce.
- OA ≠ “free access”
  - ▷ OA: authors retain copyright and give license under to permit future uses (frequently prohibited when copyright transferred)
  - ▷ OA: can be deposited in central server, available in searchable “information space” in perpetuity
- published research: share knowledge + author recognition

# Financial Models

- naive? hopeless? obvious?
- Current publishing revenues:  
**subscriptions, author fees, advertising**
  - ▷ free access undercuts subscription revenue?
- **OA** shifts burden to authors (and authoring institutions):
  - ▷ **author fees, advertising, institutional and grant support**
  - ▷ sustainable?
  - ▷ “No author left behind”  
fee waivers for authors w/o financial support
- **Why us? Why now?**
  - ▷ New technology enables new model for scholarly publication

# What's the problem?

- concern that current system not working (serials crisis?)
- libraries struggle with shrinking budgets and soaring journal prices  
rose more than 3x faster than inflation from 1980–2000
- libraries worldwide canceling journal subscriptions  
libraries in peril  $\Rightarrow$  scientific research dissemination jeopardized?
- commercial publishers have unreasonably large profits?
- publishers: real costs to ensure quality?
- need more competition?

**Ignorance is bliss:** the average author is much more concerned to discover that per article publication costs might be as high as a few thousand \$\$, than to learn that more than twice that is actually paid.

- Will better educated authors alter their behavior?

# Finances

- globally \$8B/year for 1.5–2M STM articles/year
  - ⇒ ~\$4500/article aggregate revenue (researchers unaware)
- Large hierarchies in revenues (\$1k – \$15k / article)
- and large hierarchies in costs (Jul 04 data):
  - ▷ APS: editorial = \$1000/ published article, + production = minimum \$1800/article
  - ▷ science=\$12000, nature = \$18000, ACS = \$2500
  - ▷ PNAS: 1/6 acceptance rate, \$3600/article, \$2800 w/o print
  - ▷ J Cell Biology = \$8000/ published article, 15–20% acceptance rate (just editorial and production, not print)
  - ▷ selective journals cost more to produce?
  - ▷ Blume: more peremptory editorial rejection to reduce costs

**Will OA reduce costs? or just shift point at which funds enter system?**

# Are all disciplines created equal?

OA costs  $< 1\%$  of research budget?

NIH:  $\sim 60,000$  NIH funded articles, research budget  $\sim \$20\text{B}$

$\Rightarrow$  public funding  $> \$300\text{k/article}$

Typical “well-funded” discipline:

Theoretical HEP: DOE + NSF funding  $< \$40\text{M/year}$ ,

$>$  few thousand articles / year (primary US authors)

$\Rightarrow$  public funding  $< \$20\text{k/article}$

And the rest . . . ?

(e.g. J. Ewing:  $> 2/3$  of mathematicians have no grant funding at all)

# Initiatives

- **Journals (BMC, PLoS, ...)**
- **Institutional archives (Dspace, CCSD, ...)**
- **Disciplinary archives (arXiv, PMC, ...)**
- **World initiatives**
  - ▷ **HINARI** (Health InterNetwork Access to Research Initiative, [www.healthinternetnetwork.org](http://www.healthinternetnetwork.org))
  - ▷ **INASP** (International Network for the Availability of Scientific Publications, [www.inasp.info](http://www.inasp.info))
  - ▷ **AGORA** (Access to Global Online research in Agriculture, [www.aginternetnetwork.org](http://www.aginternetnetwork.org))

# Changes?

- **Subscription-based journal publishers relax access restrictions**
- **Some new OA journals accepted by scientists**
- **Gov'ts becoming involved , some may mandate some form of OA.**
  - ▷ **NIH “Draft Proposal for Enhanced Public Access to NIH Research Information”:** all NIH-funded research freely accessible 6 months after original publication, deposited in PMC
  - ▷ **other funding agencies?**
- **lower profit margins?**
- **Priorities: cost or functionality?**
- **Change peer review methodology?**