SCME CONFOUNDED ARRANGEMENTS

BU-43-M

W. T. Federer

June, 1953

For a time and motion study* in a dairy barn a $2^{4}x3^{2}$ factorial arrangement of the treatments was selected. Two weights of a milk pail (a), two types of pail (b), two methods of carrying the pail (c), two heights of pouring (d), three distances of carrying the pail (e), and three types of paths (straight path, a path with a right angle turn, and a path with stairs) (f) represented the six factors making up the 144 treatments for the $2^{4}x3^{2}$ factorial arrangement. Since the number of treatments is large it was not possible to carry out all 144 treatments within a short time period. Also, six subjects were available to carry out the experiment. The character measured was the oxygen consumption of the subject performing the task.

Various schemes of confounding for this experiment are available. A relatively simple scheme of confounding would involve three pairs of subjects with each pair performing 48 treatments. If one of the main effects E or F is completely confounded with pairs of subjects three sets of 48 treatments would be performed by each pair. Then, the ABCD effect could be confounded with subjects within a pair. The 24 treatments performed by each subject are given in figure 1. The analysis of variance would yield full information on all main effects, all two-factor interactions and all three-factor interactions among the 5 factors a, b, c, d and e. The residual sum of squares would contain 10 degrees of

*W. H. M. Morris, Agricultural Engineering, Cornell University.

freedom. However, it is doubtful that the three-factor interactions have real meaning in an experiment of this kind, and they may be pooled with the residual sum of squares resulting in 26 degrees of freedom for the error mean square.

A second scheme of confounding is presented in figure 2. Four subjects are used, and each subject performs 36 treatments. The arrangement given confounds the AD, the ABC, and the BCD interactions. Other interactions may be completely confounded with the differences among subjects if the above ones are considered important. In the analysis of variance for the confounded arrangement given in figure 2 full information is available on all main effects, on all two-factor interactions except AD, and on all three-factor interactions except ABC and BCD. The residual sum of squares is associated with 65 degrees of freedom.

The third arrangement described (figure 5) involves partial confounding of the EF component of the E x F interaction and complete confounding of ABCD. The scheme also partially confounds some three- and higher- factor interactions but since three-factor interactions are not considered important this is of no consequence in the present design. In the analysis of variance 10^4 degrees of freedom are associated with the residual sum of squares. If meaningful the three-factor interactions may be partitioned out of the residual sum of squares.

Since the experiment could be performed in an hour's time with all six subjects and since it was desired to utilize the services of the available subjects the experimental plan presented in figure 3 appeared to be the desired one. However, other schemes of confounding are possible and the one sacrificing the least amount of information will depend upon the nature of the experimental material and the factors involved.

REFERENCE

Yates, F. The design and analysis of factorial experiments. Imperial Bureau of Soil Science, Tech. Comm. 35, 1937.

- 2 -

Figure	1.	Experimenta	1	plan	and	an	alysis	of	variance	for	a
2	⁴ x3	factorial i	n	incon	plet	e	blocks	of	24.		

.

,

Ł

abcde	abcde	Source of variation	_ <u></u>	f
00000	00010	Blocks (ABCD) Main effects	1 6	
00002	00012	Δ	Ŭ	٦
00110	00100	B		1
00111	00101	c C		ĩ
00112	00102	D		ĩ
01010	01000	Ē		2
01011	01001	Two-factor interactions	14	_
01012	01002	AB	_ ·	1
01100	01110	AC		1
01101	01111	AD		1
01102	01112	AE		2
10010	10000	BC		l
10011	10001	BD		l
1.0012	10002	BE ·		2
10100	10110	CD		1
10101	10111	CE		2
10102	10112	DE		2
11000	11010	Three-factor interactions	16	
11001	11011	ABC		l
11002	11012	ABD		l
11110	11100	ABE		2
11111	11101	ACD		1
11112	11102	ACE		2
		ADE		2
		BCD		1
I aval of a	ffooto	BCE		2
TEVET OI 6	1120.02	BDE		2
		CDE		2
(ABCD)	(ABCD)	Residual	10	
v	7			
subject 1	subject 2	Total	47	
	-		•	

- 3 -

Figure 2. Experimental plan and analysis of variance for a $2^{4}x_{3}^{2}$ factorial in incomplete blocks of 36.

abcdef	abcdef	abcdef	abcdef	Source of variation		df
000000	001000	000100	001100	Blocks (AD, ABC, BCD)	3	
000001.	001001	000101	001101	Main effects	8	
000002	001002	000102	001102	A		1
000010	001010	000110	001110	В		1
000011	001011	000111	001111	C		l
000012	001012	000112	001112	D		l
000020	001120	000120	001120	E		2
000021	001021	000121	001121	F		2
000022	001022	000122	001122	Two-factor interactions	25	_
011000	010000	011100	010100	AB		1
011001	010001	011101	010101	AC		1
011002	010002	011102	010105	AE		2
011010	010010	011110	010110	AF'		2
011011	010011		0101111	BC		Ţ
011012	010012	011112	010112	BU		Ť
011020	010020	011120	010120	BE		2
011021	010021	011121	010121	DF.		2
1011022	10010022	1011122	100000			T T
101100	100100	101000	100000			2
101101	100101	101001	100001			2
101110	100110	101002	100002			2
101111	100111	101011	100011	ינע. געד		<u>ح</u> ار
101112	100112	101012	100012	Three-factor interactions	42	-
101120	100120	101020	100020	ARD		٦
101121	100121	101021	100021	ABE		Ż
101122	100122	101022	100022	ABF		2
110100	111100	110000	111000	ACD		้า
110101	111101	110001	111001	ACE		ā
110102	111102	110002	111002	ACF		2
110110	111110	110010	111010	ADE		2
110111	111111	110011	111011	ADF		2
110112	111112	110012	111012	AEF		4
110120	111120	110020	111020	BCE		2
110121	111121	110021	111021	BCF		2
110122	111122	13.0022	111022	BDE		2
·)	·			BDF		2
				BEF		4
	Level of	effects		CDE		2
(ABC)	(ABC)	(ABC)	(ABC)	CDF		2
······································	1,	((1)	CEF		4
(AD)	(AD)	(AD)_	(AD)	DEF	~	4
· '0	· ′0	1	1,	Residual	65	
(BCD)	(BCD).	(BCD).	(BCD)			
, , ,0	• • 1	· - 1	· · · · · 0	Total	143	
subject	subject	subject	subject		,	
1	2	3	4			
)		

1

- 4 -

Figure	3.	Experimenta	1 p	lan	and	ana	lysis	of	variance	for	a
2	2 ⁴ x3 ²	factorial	in	inco	omple	te	blocks	s of	£ 24.		

no.	abcdef	abcdef	abcdef	abcdef	abcdef	abcdef
1	000000	000010	000020	000100	000110	000120
2	000001	000011	000021	000101	000111	000121
3	000002	000012	000022	000102	000112	000122
4	001110	001120	001100	001.010	001020	001000
5	001111	001121	001101	001011	001021	001001
6	001112	001122	001102	001012	001022	001002
7	010120	010100	010110	010020	010000	010010
8	010121	010101	010111	010021	010001	010011
9	01.0122	010102	010112	010022	010002	010012
10	011000	011001	011002	011100	011101	011102
11	011012	011010	011011	011112	011110	011111
12	011021	011022	011020	011121	011122	011120
13	100100	100110	100120	100000	100010	100020
14	100101	100111	100121	100001	100011	100021
15	100102	100112	100122	100002	100012	100022
16	101010	101020	101000	101110	101120	101100
17	101011	101021	101001	101111	101121	101101
18	101012	101022	101002	101112	101122	101102
19	110020	110000	110010	110120	110100	110110
20	110021	110001	110011	110121	110101	110111
21	110022	110002	110012	110122	110102	110112
22	111101	111102	111100	111001	111002	111000
23	111110		111112	111010	111011	111012
24	111122	111120	111121	111022	111020	111021
	1	t (} /			

Level of effects

.

ż

(ABCD)	(ABCD) _O	(ABCD) _O	(ABCD) ₁	(ABCD)	(ABCD)
(EF) ₀	(EF) ₁	(EF) ₂	(EF) ₀	(EF) ₁	(EF) ₂
on 10-12	on 10-12	on 10-12	on 10-12	on 10-12	on 10-12
(EF) ₁	(EF) ₂	(EF) ₀	(EF) ₁	(EF) ₂	(EF) ₀
on 22-24	on 22 - 24	on 22 - 24	on 22-24	on 22-24	on 22-24
subject	subject	subject	subject	subject	subject
l	2	3	4	5	6

Figure 3 continued.

Analysis of variance

Source of variation

Blocks Main effect	5 8	1
B		ī
		L r
E		2
F		2
Two-factor interaction	26	
AB		1
AC AD		1
AT:		2 T
ΔF		2
BC		ī
BD		ī
BE		2
BF		2
CD		1
CE		2
CF		2
		2
עד (דד ²)		2
(EF) (nert conf.)		2
Residual	104	

Total

•

1

143

۰,

df

- 6 -

1

: