For a time and motion study* in a dairy barn a $2^{4} \times 3^{2}$ factorial arrangement of the treatments was selected. Two weights of a milk pail (a), two types of pail (b), two methods of carrying the pail (c), two heights of pouring (d), three distances of carrying the pail (e), and three types of paths (straight path, a path with a right angle turn, and a path with stairs) (f) represented the six factors making up the 144 treatments for the $2^{4} x 3^{2}$ factorial arrangement. Since the number of treatments is large it was not possible to carry out all 144 treatments within a short time period. Also, six subjects were available to carry out the experiment. The character measured was the oxygen consumption of the subject performing the task.

Various schemes of confounding for this experiment are available. A relatively simple scheme of confounding would involve three pairs of subjects with each pair performing 48 treatments. If one of the main effects $E$ or $F$ is completely confounded with pairs of subjects three sets of 48 treatments would be performed by each pair. Then, the $A B C D$ effect could be confounded with subjects within a pair. The 24 treatments performed by each subject are given in figure 1. The analysis of variance would yield full information on all main effects, all two-factor interactions and all three-factor interactions among the 5 factors $a, b$, $c, d$ and $e$. The residual sum of squares would contain 10 degrees of

[^0]freedom. However, it is doubtful that the three-factor interactions have real meaning in an experiment of this kind, and they may be pooled with the residual sum of squares resulting in 26 degrees of freedom for the error mean square.

A second scheme of confounding is presented in figure 2. Four subjects are used, and each subject performs 36 treatments. The arrangement given confounds the AD , the ABC , and the BCD interactions. Other interactions may be completely confounded with the differences among subjects if the above ones are considered important. In the analysis of variance for the confounded arrangement given in figure 2 full information is available on all main effects; on all two-factor interactions except $A D$, and on all three-factor interactions except $A B C$ and $B C D$. The residual sum of squares is associated with 65 degrees of freedom.

The third arrangement described (figure 3) involves partial coniounding of the EF component of the E $\times F$ interaction and complete confounding of $A B C D$. The scheme also partially confounds some three- and higher- factor interactions but since three-factor interactions are not considered important this is of no consequence in the present design. In the analysis of variance 104 degrees of freedom are associated with the residual sum of squares. If meaningful the three-factor interactions may be partitioned out of the residual sum of squares.

Since the experiment could be performed in an hour's time with all six subjects and since it was desired to utilize the services of the available subjects the experimental plan presented in figure 3 appeared to be the desired one. However, other schemes of confounding are possible and the one sacrificing the least amount of information will depend upon the nature of the experimental material and the factors involved.

## REFERENCE

Yates, F. The design and analysis of factorial experiments. Imperial Bureau of Soil Science, Tech. Comm. 35, 1937.

Figure 1. Experimental plan and analysis of variance for a $2^{4} \times 3$ factorial in incomplete blocks of 24.


Figure 2. Experimental plan and analysis of variance for a $2^{4} \times 3^{2}$ factorial in incomplete blocks of 36 .

| abcdef | abcdef' | abcdef | abcdef | Source of variation |  | df |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000000 | 001000 | 000100 | 001100 | Blocks ( $A D, A B C, B C D$ ) | 3 |  |
| 000001. | 001001 | 000101 | 001101 | Main effects | 8 |  |
| 000002 | 001002 | 000102 | 001102 | A |  | 1 |
| 000010 | 001010 | 000110 | 001110 | B |  | 1 |
| 000011 | 001011 | 000111 | 001111 | C |  | 1 |
| 000012 | 001012 | 000112 | 001112 | D |  | 1 |
| 000020 | 001120 | 000120 | 001120 | E |  | 2 |
| 000021 | 001021 | 000.21 | 001121 | $F$ |  | 2 |
| 000022 | 001022 | 000122 | 001122 | Two-factor interactions | 25 |  |
| 011000 | 010000 | 011100 | 010100 | $A B$ |  | 1 |
| 011001 | 010001 | 011101 | 010101 | AC |  | 1 |
| 011002 | 010002 | 011102 | 010102 | AE |  | 2 |
| 011010 | 010010 | 011110 | 010110 | AF |  | 2 |
| 011011 | 010011 | 011111 ' | 010111 | BC |  | 1 |
| 011012 | 010012 | 011112 | 010112 | BD |  | 1 |
| 011020 | 010020 | 011120 | 010120 | BE |  | 2 |
| 011021 | 010021 | 011121 | 01.0121 | BF |  | 2 |
| 01.1022 | 010022 | 011122 | 010122 | $C D$ |  | 1 |
| 101100 | 100100 | 101000 | 100000 | CE |  | 2 |
| 101101 | 100101 | 101.001. | 100001 | CF |  | 2 |
| 101102 | 100102 | 101002 | 100002 | DE |  | 2 |
| 101110 | 100110 | 101010 | 10001.0 | DF |  | 2 |
| 101111 | 100111 | 101011 | 100011 | EF |  | 4 |
| 101112 | 100112 | 101012 | 100012 | Three-factor interactions | 42 |  |
| 101120 | 100120 | 101020 | 100020 | ABD |  | 1 |
| 101121 | 100121 | 101021 | 100021 | ABE |  | 2 |
| 101122 | 100122 | 101022 | 100022 | ABF |  | 2 |
| 110100 | 1.11100 | 110000 | 111000 | ACD |  | 1 |
| 110101 | 111101 | 110001 | 11.1001 | ACE |  | 2 |
| 110102 | 111102 | 1.10002 | 111002 | ACF |  | 2 |
| 110110 | 111110 | 110010 | 111010 | ADE |  | 2 |
| 110111 | 111111 | 110011 | 111.011 | ADF |  | 2 |
| 110112 | 111112 | 110012! | 111012 | AEF |  | 4 |
| 110120 | 111120 | 110020 | \|11.1020 | BCE |  | 2 |
| 110121 | 111121 | 11.0021 | 1111021 | BCF |  | 2 |
| 110122 | 111122 | 13.0022 | 1.11022 | BDE |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | BDF |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | BEF |  | 4 |
| Level of effects |  |  |  | CDE |  | 2 |
| $(A B C){ }_{0}$ | $(\mathrm{ABC})_{1}$ | $(A B C){ }_{0}$ | $(A B C){ }_{1}$ | CDF |  | 2 |
| $(\mathrm{AD})_{0}$ | $(A D)_{0}$ | $(\mathrm{AD})_{I}$ | $(\mathrm{AD})_{1}$ | DEF <br> Residual | 65 |  |
| $(\mathrm{BCD})_{0}$ | $(\mathrm{BCD})_{1}$ | $(\mathrm{BCD})_{1}$ | $(B C D)_{0}$ | Total | 143 |  |
| subject | subject | subject | subject |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |

Figure 3. Experimental plan and analysis of variance for a $2^{4} \times 3^{2}$ factorial in incomplete blocks of 24.

| no. | abcdef | abcdef | abcdef | abcedef | abcdef | abcdef |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000000 | 000010 | 000020 | 000100 | 000110 | 000120 |
| 2 | 000001 | 000011 | 000021 | 000101 | 000111 | 000121 |
| 3 | 000002 | 000012 | 000022 | 000102 | 000112 | 000122 |
| 4 | 001110 | 001120 | 001100 | 001.010 | 001020 | 001000 |
| 5 | 001111 | 001121 | 001101 | 001011 | 001021 | 001001 |
| 6 | 001112 | 001122 | 001102 | 001012 | 001022 | 001002 |
| 7 | 010120 | 010100 | 010110 | 010020 | 010000 | 010010 |
| 8 | 010121 | 010101 | 010111 | 1010021 | 010001 | 010011 |
| 9 | 010122 | 010102 | 010112 | 010022 | 010002 | 010012 |
| 10 | 011000 | 011001 | 011002 | 011100 | 011101 | 011102 |
| 11 | 011012 | 011010 | 011011 | 011112 | 011110 | 011111 |
| 12 | 011021. | 011022 | 011.020 | 011121 | 011122 | 011120 |
| 13 | 100100 | 100110 | 100120 | 100000 | 100010 | 100020 |
| 14 | 100101 | 100111 | 100121 | 100001 | 100011 | 100021 |
| 15 | 100102 | 100112 | 100122 | 100002 | 100012 | 100022 |
| 16 | 101010 | 101020 | 101000 | 101110 | 101120 | 101100 |
| 17 | 101011 | 101021 | 101001 | 101111 | 101121 | 101101 |
| 18 | 101012 | 101022 | 101002 | 101112 | 101122 | 101102 |
| 19 | 110020 | 110000 | 110010 | 110120 | 110100 | 110110 |
| 20 | 110021 | 110001 | 110011 | 110121 | 110101 | 110111 |
| 21 | 110022 | 110002 | 110012 | 110122 | 110102 | 110112 |
| 22 | 111101 | 111102 | 111100 | 111001 | 111002 | 111000 |
| 23 | 111110 | 111111 | 111112 | 111010 | 111011 | 111012 |
| 2.4 | 111122 | 111120 | 111121 | 111022 | 111020 | 111021 |

Level of effects

| ( $A B C D)_{0}$ | ( ABCD$)_{0}$ | $(A B C D)_{0}$ | $(\mathrm{ABCD})_{1}$ | $(\mathrm{ABCD})_{1}$ | $(\mathrm{ABCD})_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & (E F)_{0} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{EF})_{1} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & (E F)_{2} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{EF})_{0} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{EF})_{1} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (E F)_{2} \\ & \text { on } 10-12 \end{aligned}$ |
| (EF) ${ }_{1}$ <br> on 22-24 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} (E F)_{2} \\ \text { on } 22-24 \end{array}\right\|$ | (EF) ${ }_{0}$ on 22-24 | $\begin{aligned} & (E F)_{1} \\ & \text { on } 22-24 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} (E F)_{2} \\ \text { on } 22-24 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & (E F)_{0} \\ & \text { on } 22-24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{1}{\text { subject }^{2}}$ | $\underset{2}{\text { subject }}$ |  | $\underset{4}{ }{ }_{4}^{\text {subject }}$ | ${\underset{5}{\text { subject }}}^{\text {and }}$ | $\underset{6}{\text { subject }}$ |

Figure 3 continued.

Analysis of variance
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[^0]:    *W. H. M. Morris, Agricultural Engineering, Cornell University.

