"Final Project Report to the NYS IPM Program, Agricultural IPM 2002-2003"

### Title:

Relationship of Sweet Corn Silking Stage to Oviposition by the Corn Earworm

## **Project Leader:**

Richard W. Straub, Cornell's Hudson Valley Lab., Highland NY

## **Cooperator(s):**

John Ĝill, Gill Corn Farms, Inc., Hurley, NY Teresa Rusinek, Ulster County CCE, Kingston, NY

## **Type of grant:**

Monitoring, forecasting, and economic thresholds

# **Project location:**

Throughout the Northeast

#### Abstract:

It is commonly believed that corn earworm (CEW) do not oviposit (i.e., lay eggs) on sweet corn silks after they have dried. If true, insecticide treatments could logically cease at some predetermined time interval after pollination, because silks commence drying within hours of pollination. Problematic however, is that neither conventional wisdom, nor the historical literature, precisely defines the point at which silks are sufficiently dry to become unattractive for oviposition. We hypothesized that CEW cease to oviposit on silks that are 50% dried. Field experiments during 2001, utilizing silk dryness treatments ranging from 0% to 75% and methods to prevent oviposition before the silk dryness targets were attained, were utilized to test the hypothesis. The results did not confirm our hypothesis, for 50% and 75% silk dryness treatments yielded 58% and 44% infestation of ears, respectively. Duplicate experiments performed during 2002 and reported here, provided similar results. Under extremely high infestation pressure from CEW, all treatments were nearly 100% infested regardless of the degree of silk dryness when exposed to oviposition. Possible reasons for this unanticipated result are discussed.

# **Background and justification:**

A concept handed down for decades implies that CEW do not oviposit on sweet corn silks after they have dried. If this is indeed true, insecticide treatments could logically cease at some predetermined time interval after pollination, because silks commence drying within hours of pollination. Adherence to this concept could potentially save growers two to three silk sprays during the periods of peak oviposition.

A search of the early literature pertaining to the egg-laying habits of CEW revealed that the concept probably originated from the classic work of Phillips & Barber (1933). They reported that peak oviposition on field corn occurred 3 da after 1<sup>st</sup> silk, and that ~90% of total oviposition had occurred by the 16<sup>th</sup> or 17<sup>th</sup> day after 1<sup>st</sup> silk - at which point 50% of the silks had ceased to be moist. From this information it has been generally concluded that CEW do not lay eggs on dried silks, and the concept has survived untested on sweet corn. The original work of these authors however, does not clearly or precisely define what they meant by 'silk drying'; stating only that a proportion of silks ceased to be moist. Because producers generally perceive

this concept to be valid, field research is needed to verify or identify situations in which treatment for CEW could cease according to a silk stage threshold.

#### **Objectives:**

1. To elucidate sweet corn physiological (% silk moisture over time) and morphological (visual estimations of silk dryness) parameters that define the relationships between 'silk drying' and oviposition by CEW.

2. To examine a hypothesis that CEW cease to oviposit on silks that are 50% dried (based on visual estimates).

#### **Procedures:**

A field plot (40 rows x 125 ft), planted to present silking ears to maximum exposure to CEW oviposition was established at the Hudson Valley Lab vegetable research facility in New Paltz, NY.. Experiments were arranged in a paired-row configuration, one used as a control, the other used to establish 5 silk dryness treatments: <u>1</u>) fresh silk moisture; <u>2</u>) silk 10% dry; <u>3</u>) silk 25% dry; <u>4</u>) silk 50% dry; and <u>5</u>) silk 75% dry. Each treatment consisted of 25 plants selected for uniform stature and silking date, and was replicated four times. No insecticides were used.

Because any method to protect silks from natural oviposition by CEW also prevents the natural pollination necessary for development of kernels, we employed bagging - tassel and shoot bagging is a conventional technique used by corn breeders to control pollen transfer. At the onset of pollen shed, all tassels in treatments 2 through 4 were bagged to collect pollen, and primary ears were shoot (silk) bagged to prevent natural infestation by CEW (treatment #1 was allowed to pollinate and be infested by natural means). After 48 hr, treatments were manually pollinated from pollen collected in tassel bags; bags constructed of saran screening were placed over silk masses to prevent oviposition by CEW. The bags permitted air circulation and thus allowed for natural drying of silks.

Daily estimations of silk browning from the companion rows were used to determine degrees of silk dryness; also, 10 silk masses were collected daily and weighed to determine % moisture loss over time (relative to fresh wt. on 'day +3'), as a comparison to our dryness estimates. When targeted silk dryness levels (e.g., 10% moisture, etc.) were attained, shoot bags were removed to facilitate natural oviposition. Ovipositional preference for each silk dryness treatment was assessed by harvest evaluations of infested ears (25 plants/rep). The procedures and time sequences are summarized in Figure 1.

Figure	1
	_

Date	Tmt. days	Plant Phenology & Procedure
All treat	ments ·	
8/16		Early green-tassel stage; 100 plants/tmt of similar silking stage
		tagged for subsequent attention.
<u>Treatme</u>	<u>nts 2 - 5</u>	
8/18	day 0	Beginning of pollen shed & 1 <sup>st</sup> silk; tassel bags (to collect pollen) and
		shoot bags (to prevent oviposition) were emplaced.
8/21	day +3	Treatments hand pollinated; shoot bags replaced.
8/22	day +4	Shoot bags removed from treatment #2 (estimated 10% dry silk).
8/25	day +7	Shoot bags removed from treatment #3 (estimated 25% dry silk).
8/30	day +12	Shoot bags removed from treatment #4 (estimated 50% dry silk).
9/1	day +14	Shoot bags removed from treatment #5 (estimated 75% dry silk).
9/3	day +16	Silks completely dry.
9/6	day +19	Harvest maturity; evaluation of all treatments for infested ears.

#### **Results and discussion:**

Results are presented in Table 1. Our hypothesis that CEW cease to oviposit on silks that are 50% dry was based on the work of Phillips & Barber (1933) in which they stated that 90% of oviposition occurred by day 16 or 17 after first silk, a point at which 50% of silks "ceased to be moist" (i.e., 50% dry silk). Our results did not validate the hypothesis. Under extremely high infestation pressure from CEW, all treatments were nearly 100% infested regardless of the degree of silk dryness when exposed to oviposition.

The results from a 2-yr study suggest that CEW adults do not discriminate against oviposition on dry silks, at least not to the extent implied by historical data. Earlier research however, was performed on field corn rather than sweet corn. Apparently the time frame for sweet corn silk maturity is accelerated from that of field corn. Our results show that 50% dry silk occurs between day 9 and 12, contrasted to day 16 or 17 for field corn (Philips and Barber 1933). The results may have been influenced by (a) unusually high nighttime temperatures between early green-tassel and first silk resulting in rapid plant development, and (b) CEW populations were many times higher than normally experienced in NY – adults may have oviposited on husks prior to silk emergence, and before silks or ears were protected by bags.

Work toward the establishment of a silk dryness threshold will continue for one more season. If determined to be of practical value, a threshold could potentially aid in current IPM efforts on sweet corn and assist producers in deciding when insecticide applications for CEW can cease.

#### **References:**

Phillips, W. J. and G. W. Barber. 1933. Egg-laying habits and fate of eggs of the corn earworm moth and factors affecting them. Va. Polytech. Inst., Bull # 47. 14pp.

Phillips, W. J. and G. W. Barber. 1936. Oviposition by <u>Heliothis obsoleta</u> Fab. on the silks of corn. Va. Polytech. Inst., Bull # 58. 14pp.

	No. days	% silk	%
Treatment <sup>1</sup>	after fresh silk	wt. $loss^2$	infested ears <sup>3</sup>
1. Fresh silk; no protection			
from oviposition	0	0.0	100.0 a
2. Protection from oviposition			
removed when silks 10% dry	4	8.4	100.0 a
3. Protection from oviposition			
removed when silks 25% dry	7	20.1	97.8 a
4. Protection from oviposition			
removed when silks 50% dry	12	427	100.0 a
5. Protection from oviposition			
removed when silks 75% dry	14	68.4	100.0 a
* Silks 100% dry	16	-	NA

Table 1. Relationship of sweet corn silk dryness to oviposition and infestation by the corn earworm, Cornell's Hudson Valley Lab., Highland, NY-2002.

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P<0.05; Fisher's Protected LSD test). Data subjected to arcsine transformation prior to analysis. <sup>1</sup>Estimates of the relative amount of brown silk in an entire silk mass.

<sup>2</sup> Treatment wts. of silk masses relative to base wts. of silk masses sampled at fresh silk.

<sup>3</sup>Species complex: European corn borer, 2%; fall armyworm, 0.5%; corn earworm, 97.5%.