FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF BIODIGESTER DEVELOPMENT IN WASHINGTON STATE

N. Lake-Brown
David Paul Rosen & Associates
Irvine, CA

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

David Paul Rosen & Associates (DRA) was retained by the Washington State Housing Financing Commission (WSHFC) to analyze the financial feasibility of anaerobic biodigester development in Washington State. DRA interviewed biodigester developers, operators, contractors and consultants to identify issues and obstacles regarding the feasibility of biodigester development in the State.

DRA found that the biodigester industry in Washington State is rapidly changing as new sources of feedstocks and new revenue sources from biodigester by-products are tested and developed. In addition, funding sources that assisted development of the first six operating dairy-based biodigesters in the State are in flux.

Under State law, certain anaerobic biodigesters are allowed to operate without a solid waste permit, provided operators meet certain conditions. Anaerobic biodigesters located on or near dairies that co-digest organic wastes with manure may qualify for this permit exemption if other conditions are met. In order to qualify for the exemption, the digester must use at least 51 percent livestock manure and may include up to 30 percent pre-consumer organic waste. On-farm wastes may comprise the other 20 percent. Other conditions must also be met.

DRA modeled the financial feasibility of two prototypical dairy biodigester projects, with 2,000 and 750 cows, respectively, assuming the biodigester owner sells the electricity it produces to the local utility provider.

DRA modeled the supportable first mortgage debt based on the projected net operating income from the biodigester prototype assuming a 1.5 debt coverage ratio, a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 80%, and an interest rate of 8.0%, with a 20-year amortization period, based on input from the biodigester interviews. We also modeled a second alternative assuming tax-exempt bond financing at a 5.0% interest rate.

We then calculated the amount of equity that may be raised assuming a required internal rate of return (IRR) for the equity investment of 20 percent. DRA also modeled a second alternative assuming an IRR of 15 percent.

The difference between the total development cost of the prototype and the combined total of debt and equity equals the funding shortfall that would need to be filled by subsidized gap financing sources.

All six of the currently operating biodigesters in Washington State received government financial assistance, such as federal stimulus funds, the 30% Treasury grant in lieu of an investment tax credits, and USDA Rural Energy for America (REAP) grants to close the gap between the amount of serviceable debt and total development costs. However, given the demise of these funding sources, DRA analyzed financial feasibility of digester development without gap financing to determine if, and under what conditions, biodigester development is financially feasible without subsidy. The assumptions used in DRA's financial analysis are described below.

EXISTING OPERATING DIGESTERS IN WASHINGTON STATE

DRA gathered information in June 2011 on the six dairy-related anaerobic biodigesters currently operating in Washington State. Characteristics of these biodigesters are described in Table 1 and summarized as follows:

- Three of the biodigesters are located in Whatcom County, with one each in Skagit, Snohomish and Yakima counties.
- Two of the biodigester projects are owned by Farm Power Northwest, three are owned by the dairies on which they are located, and one is owned by a nonprofit corporation involving representation from local dairy owners, the Tulalip Tribe, and a salmon recovery organization.
- Five of the biodigesters were designed by GHD/Andgar; the sixth biodigester was designed by DariTech.
- Production capacity of the biodigesters ranges from 400 kW to 1.2 MW.
- The number of cows feeding the biodigesters ranges from 1,000 to 5,300.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

Electricity Prices and Generation

In Washington, the three investor-owned utilities (IOUs) – Pacific Power, Avista Corporation, and Puget Sound Energy – are required to set and publish tariffs for their purchase of renewable energy at its avoided cost. Avista and Pacific Power's avoided cost rates apply to qualified renewable energy projects that are 1 MW of rated capacity or less. Puget Sound Energy's rates apply to qualified projects that are up to 2 MW. For contracts executed in 2010, Puget Sound Energy paid \$0.08467 per kWh for power produced in 2010 and increases the purchase price throughout the term of the contract until it reaches \$0.10838 in 2020.

¹ Does not include all biodigester operations in Washington. Wastewater treatment plants and industrial facilities also use biodigester technology.

Table 1: Currently operating agricultural/dairy anaerobic biodigesters, Washington State, July 2011

| r Van Dyk-S Holsteins | Lynden Whatcom Jun. 2011 | iry) 1,000 (1 dairy) | ır DariTech | Complete mix te | DariTech composter | 400 kW | d Puget Sound Energy | Electricity Solids (bedding) Tipping fees dits Maybe carbon credits |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Farm Power Lynden | Lynden Whatcom Nov. 2010 | 2,000 (1 dairy) | GHD/Andgar | Hybrid plug flow-complete mix | None | 750 kW | Puget Sound Energy | Electricity Solids (bedding) Tipping fees Carbon credits |
| Farm Power Rexville | Rexville Skagit Aug. 2009 | 1,200 (2 dairies) | GHD/Andgar | Hybrid plug flow-complete mix | None | 750 kW | Puget Sound Energy | Electricity Solids (bedding) Tipping fees Carbon credits |
| Qualco Energy | Monroe Snohomish Dec. 2008 | 1,100 (1 dairy) | GHD/Andgar | Hybrid plug flow- complete mix | Two DariTech composters | 450 kW | Snohomish PUD wheels to PSE | Electricity Tipping fees Carbon credits |
| George DeRuyter & | Outlook Yakima Nov. 2006 | 5,300 (2 dairies) | GHD/Andgar | Hybrid plug flow-complete mix | Addition of bacteria | 1200 kW | Pacific Power | Electricity Solids (peat moss replace.) Tipping fees Carbon credits |
| FPE Renewables | Lynden Whatcom Nov. 2004 | 1,100 (2 dairies) | GHD/Andgar | Hybrid plug flow-complete mix | None | 600 kW | Puget Sound Energy (PSE) | Electricity Solids (bedding) Tipping fees Carbon credits |
| Digester Name | Town County Start of operation | Number of Cows Feeding Digester | Digester Designer | Digester Type | Additional solids treatment | Rated production capacity | Utility purchasing electricity | Products sold/used from digester |

Avista's avoided cost for a project beginning operation in 2010 was \$0.06276 per kWh for a one-year contract. The rate increases with the length of the PPA signed with the renewable energy producer until it reaches \$0.06454 per kWh for a 5-year contract. Pacific Power's avoided cost rate is \$0.06133 per kWh plus \$1.46 per kW per month for power delivered in 2010.

The three IOUs' avoided cost tariff schedules show annual increases in the tariffs. The average annual increase for the three schedules is 2.1 percent. We have used this figure as the annual electricity purchase price inflation factor.

DRA estimates electricity output from manure alone at 0.25 kW per cow. Adding 10% food waste is estimated to increase electrical production by 25%. Adding 20% food waste is estimated to increase electrical production by 50% compared to manure alone. A dairy that uses a flush disposal process for manure is estimated to produce 20% less electricity.

Estimations for biogas production were as follows. For scrape dairy manure, 0.25 kW/WCE where WCE refers to a Wet Cow Equivalent, or the manure production from a mature lactating Holstein. Production for flush dairy operations was slightly reduced to 0.20 kW/WCE as present systems require various forms of manure concentration for suitable energy balance, with concentration causing a corresponding loss of some of the energy value in the manure. Co-digestion is a more difficult scenario to obtain a suitable rule of thumb. Braun et al (2003) and Kumke et al (2000) in their review of European dairy manure digesters have stated that biogas production can be elevated by a range of 20-400% depending upon degree and type of supplementation with outside organics. Frear et al (2011), during a long-term analysis of a Washington State dairy biodigester, have shown that a 20% pre-consumer food processing wastes with dairy manure resulted in approximately a 100% increase in biogas production as compared to a manure-alone control. Historical review of European co-digestion practices and economics as well as early findings in localized environments with Washington State have shown that availability, distribution forms and received prices can be strongly affected over time with development of a mature industry with increased biodigester concentration. In particular, many digesters in Europe have turned to digestion of less energy-intensive supplementary organics such as field grasses, grains and residues and away from high-energy food processing waste, fats and greases. This lowers their biogas increase to the lower end of that stated by Braun et al (2003) and Kumke et al (2000).

In anticipation of industry changes for future farm-based biodigester projects and with an eye on conservative evaluation, a generalized rule of thumb for codigestion with dairy manure has been used in this study. That rule is for 10% volumetric supplementation, an increase in biogas production of 25% and for 20% supplementation, an increase of 50%. While these numbers are half of that shown in the case study of Frear et al (2011), we believe it is a fair but conservative value reflecting future organic waste distribution and form.

Renewable Energy Credits

Renewable energy credits (RECs) are purchased from renewable energy producers at a set rate per kWh of electricity produced. The REC purchase price is set in a contract negotiated and executed annually between the buyer and seller of the REC. The Bonneville Environmental Foundation estimates that a renewable energy system that begins operations in 2012 can sell its RECs at a rate of \$0.012 per kWh in the project's first year in service, increasing by \$0.001 per kWh per year until it reaches a negotiated, pre-determined cap.

State law (RCW 19.285.040) allows RECs purchased from distributed generation facilities to count at double the facilities' output for the utility company purchasing the RECs. Anaerobic biodigesters with a rated capacity of 5 MW or less qualify as distributed generation facilities under this section. Therefore, one would expect that anaerobic biodigester owners should be able to sell the RECs associated with their facilities at double the market REC price.

The biodigester operators we interviewed sell their RECs along with their produced electricity to the local utility provider. They report receiving rates in the \$0.01 per kWh range for their RECs.

DRA initially assumes that the biodigester prototype sells RECs at a rate of \$0.01 per kWh in its first year of operation, increasing by \$0.001 annually. We analyze the sensitivity of the financial feasibility of the prototype to doubling the REC price.

Fiber Sales/Avoided Costs

Substantial research has and is occurring in Washington State regarding the potential use of fiber produced as a by-product to a biodigester operation. Currently, most of the existing dairies that own or contribute manure to biodigesters use the fiber as bedding for their dairy cows, or sell it as bedding to neighboring farmers. We calculate the avoided bedding cost to the farmer at 10 cubic yards per cow per year, with 50% of the fiber used as bedding at an avoided cost of \$9.00 per cubic yard.

Fertilizer Sales/Avoided Costs

Research is also being done on nutrient extraction from biodigester effluent, which may be sold as fertilizer in liquid or pelleted form. Currently, most dairies that own or contribute manure to biodigesters use the liquid effluent on their own fields. Since the use of fertilizer by-products from the biodigester may just replace the use of manure itself, the benefit is difficult to quantify. We have not assumed any sales revenue or avoided cost from fertilizer in our financial modeling.

Tipping Fees

The feasibility of the prototype is analyzed with and without tipping fees. Tipping fee revenue, when included, is estimated at \$12 per ton of food waste used in the biodigester each year.

Carbon Credits

The carbon credit market is in flux and has yet to be stabilized. Our baseline financial analysis assumes no revenue from carbon credits, while our sensitivity analysis examines the effect of carbon credit sales assuming 3.5 tons of carbon credits per cow, and a carbon credit price per ton of \$8.00.

Operating Costs

Operating costs are estimated at \$0.028 per kWh based on our research and interviews. This includes maintenance on equipment in the engine room at \$.007 per kWh, maintenance on the separator at \$0.007 per kWh, and long-term maintenance agreement for major problems at \$0.008 per kWh, and sinking fund at \$0.006 per kWh. It also includes daily monitoring costs and insurance costs estimated at \$20,000 per year.

Escalation Rates

As noted above, electricity rates are escalated at 2.10 percent annually. Other revenues (from fiber, tipping fees and carbon credits) are escalated at 2% annually. Operating costs are escalated at 4% annually.

RESULTS

The findings of the financial sensitivity analysis are summarized in Table 2, for the 2,000 cow biodigester, assuming an internal rate of return on equity of 20%, and Table 3 assuming a 15% IRR on equity. The table shows whether each scenario is feasible without additional gap financing. The findings are summarized as follows:

- With tipping fees, the prototype is feasible at the Puget Sound Energy electricity purchase rate assuming 10% waste feedstock and a 20% IRR on equity.
- At the Pacific Power electricity rate, the prototype is feasible with 10% waste feedstock, tipping fees, carbon credits, and a lower interest rate.
- The prototype is close to being feasible with 20% waste feedstock without tipping fees at the lower Pacific Power electricity rate.

Table 2: Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other Loan Financing | Scenario 1 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound \$900,000 | Scenario 2 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Puget Sound \$953,101 | Scenario 3 10% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power \$700,000 \$1,926,055 | Scenario 4 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power \$850,000 \$2,359,196 | Scenario 5 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits \$1,000,000 \$2,731,143 |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Gap Financing Required Total Sources/Uses: | \$486,241 \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$1,3/3,945 \$4,000,000 | \$790,804 | \$268,857 |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio | 8.00% 20 | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| (DCR) Max. Loan to Value Ratio (LTV) | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 80% | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR | \$262,350 | \$305,826 | \$193,324 | \$236,799 | \$274,132 |
| Debt Serv. Based on Min. of DCR/LTV Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | \$262,350 20% 20% | \$305,826 20% 22% | \$193,324 20% 20% | \$236,799 20% 20% | \$274,132 20% 20% |

Table 2 (Continued): Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario: % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Sc Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 6 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power | Scenario 7 20% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon | Scenario 8 0% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits | Scenario 9 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Price \$900,000 | Credits \$1,000,000 | Lower Int. Rate \$650,000 | Lower Int. Rate \$800,000 |
| Loan Financing Gap Financing Required (| \$2,660,294 \$439,706 | \$2,752,199 \$247,801 | \$2,336,872 \$1,013,128 | \$3,200,000 |
| | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate | 8.00% | 8.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |
| Required Debt Coverage Ratio (DCR) | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Max. Loan to Value Ratio (LTV) | %08 | %08 | %08 | %08 |
| Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR | \$267,021 | \$276,246 | \$185,068 | \$307,377 |
| Debt Serv. Based on Min. of DCR/LTV | \$267,021 | \$276,246 | \$185,068 | \$253,423 |
| Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% |
| Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 31% |

Table 2 (Continued): Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario: % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 10 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound Carbon Credits Lower Int. Rate | Scenario 11 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound PTC Lower Int. Rate | Scenario 12 10% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power PTC Lower Int. Rate | Scenario 13 10% No Flush Dairy Puget Sound PTC Lower Int. Rate |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Equity Loan Financing Gap Financing Required | \$800,000 \$3,200,000 \$0 | \$800,000 \$3,200,000 \$0 | \$750,000 \$3,200,000 \$50,000 | \$625,000 \$2,981,892 \$1,193,108 |
| Total Sources/Uses: | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,800,000 |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio (DCR) Max. Loan to Value Ratio (LTV) Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR Debt Serv. Based on Min. of DCR/LTV Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$299,684 \$253,423 20% 34% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$295,594 \$253,423 20% 29% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$307,377 \$253,423 20% 32% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$236,150 \$236,150 20% 20% |

Table 3: Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 15% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 1 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound | Scenario 2 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Puget Sound | Scenario 3 10% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power | Scenario 4 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power | Scenario 5 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Equity | \$1,300,000 | \$953,101 | \$950,000 | \$1,100,000 | \$1,268,857 |
| Loan Financing | \$2,613,759 | \$3,046,899 | \$1,926,055 | \$2,359,196 | \$2,731,143 |
| Gap Financing Required | \$86,241 | \$0 | \$1,123,945 | \$540,804 | \$0 |
| Total Sources: | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Financing Assumptions Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio Maximum Loan to Value Ratio Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR Debt Service Based on Min. of DCR/LTV Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% |
| | \$262,350 | \$305,826 | \$193,324 | \$236,799 | \$274,132 |
| | 15% | 15% | \$193,324 | \$236,799 | \$274,132 |
| | 15% | 22% | 15% | 15% | 15% |

Table 3 (Continued): Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 15% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 6 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Double REC Price | Scenario 7 20% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits | Scenario 8 0% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits Lower Int. Rate | Scenario 9 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits Lower Int. Rate |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| USDA Value Added Producer Grant (4) Equity Loan Financing Gap Financing Required | \$0 \$1,250,000 \$2,660,294 \$89,706 | \$0 \$1,247,801 \$2,752,199 \$0 | \$0 \$900,000 \$2,336,872 \$763,128 | \$0 \$800,000 \$3,200,000 \$0 |
| Total Sources: | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Financing Assumptions Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio Maximum Loan to Value Ratio Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR Debt Service Based on Min. of DCR/LTV Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 8.00% 20 1.50 80% \$267,021 15% 15% | 8.00% 20 1.50 80% \$276,246 \$276,246 15% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$185,068 15% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$274,132 \$253,423 15% 30% |

Table 3 (Continued): Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 2000 Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 15% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 10 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound Carbon Credits | Scenario 11 10% No Scrape Dairy Puget Sound | Scenario 12 10% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power | Scenario 13 10% No Flush Dairy Puget Sound |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Lower Int. Rate | Lower Int. Rate | Lower Int. Rate | Lower Int. Rate |
| USDA Value Added Producer Grant (4) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Equity Loan Financing | \$3,200,000 \$3,200,000 | \$3,200,000 | \$3,200,000 | \$2,981,892 |
| Gap Financing Required | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$968,108 |
| Total Sources: | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,800,000 |
| Financing Assumptions | | | | |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate | 2.00% | 2.00% | 2.00% | 2.00% |
| Amortization Period (Years) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Required Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Maximum Loan to Value Ratio | %08 | %08 | %08 | %08 |
| Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR Debt Service Based on Min. of | \$299,684 | \$295,594 | \$307,377 | \$236,150 |
| DCR/LTV | \$253,423 | \$253,423 | \$253,423 | \$236,150 |
| Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) | 15% | 15% | 15% | 15% |
| Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 34% | 79% | 30% | 15% |

- Reducing the interest rate to 5% eliminates the need for gap financing for most of the scenarios modeled, except for the Pacific Power electricity rate and 0% waste feedstock.
- Modeling a flush dairy, as opposed to a scrape dairy, with its assumed higher development costs and lower electricity production, generates a gap even at the Puget Sound Energy power rate, a production tax credit and the lower interest rate.
- Reducing the required IRR on equity to 15% makes almost all of the scenarios modeled feasible.

Table 4 shows the results for the 750-cow biodigester, assuming an internal rate of return on equity of 20%. All of the scenarios generate a gap at both a 20% internal rate of return and a 15% internal rate of return.

REFERENCES

- Braun, R., E. Brachtl, and M. Grasmug. 2003. Codigestion of proteinaceous industrial waste. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 109 (1-3): 139.
- Kumke, G.W. and G. Langhans. 2000. Plant scale co-fermentation of farm manure and industrial organic wastes. Annu. Residuals Biosolids Manage. Conf., 14th: 657.
- Frear, C., T. Ewing, and S. Chen. 2011. Evaluation of co-digestion at a commercial dairy anaerobic digester. Clean: Soil, Air, Water.

Table 4: Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 750-Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System | Scenario 1 10% No Scrape Dairy | Scenario 2 10% Yes Scrape | Scenario 3 10% No Scrape Dairy | Scenario 4 10% Yes Scrape Dairy | Scenario 5 10% Yes Scrape Dairy |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Electricity Purchaser | Puget Sound | Puget Sound | Pacific Power | Pacific Power | Pacific Power |
| Other | | | | | Carbon Credits |
| Equity Loan Financing Gap Financing Required | \$320,000 \$917,892 \$1,762,108 | \$360,000 \$1,080,319 \$1,559,681 | \$225,000 \$660,003 \$2,114,997 | \$275,000 \$822,431 \$1,902,569 | \$330,000 \$961,911 \$1,708,089 |
| Total Sources/Uses | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| Required Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Maximum Loan to Value Ratio Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on | %08 | %08 | %08 | 80% | %08 |
| DCR Debt Service Based on Min. of | \$92,131 | \$108,435 | \$66,246 | \$82,550 | \$96,550 |
| DCR/LTV | \$92,131 | \$108,435 | \$66,246 | \$82,550 | \$96,550 |
| Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% |
| Projected Return on Equity (IRR) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% |

Table 4: Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 750-Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other | Scenario 6 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Double REC Price | Scenario 7 20% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits | Scenario 8 0% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits Lower Int. Rate | Scenario 9 10% Yes Scrape Dairy Pacific Power Carbon Credits Lower Int. Rate |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Equity Loan Financing Gap Financing Required | \$315,000 \$935,342 \$1,749,658 | \$350,000 \$969,807 \$1,680,193 | \$220,000 \$797,408 \$1,982,592 | \$375,000 \$1,376,560 \$1,248,440 |
| Total Sources/Uses | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio Maximum Loan to Value Ratio Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on DCR Debt Service Based on Min. of DCR/LTV Min. Required Return on Equity (IRR) | 8.00% 20 1.50 80% \$93,883 20% | 8.00% 20 1.50 80% \$97,342 \$97,342 20% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$63,150 \$63,150 20% | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$109,016 20% |
| Projected Keturn on Equity (IRK) | %02 | %02 | %02 | %02 |

Table 4: Financial Sensitivity Analysis Scenarios, 750-Cow Dairy Anaerobic Biodigester, 20% Return on Equity

| Scenario Scenario 10 % Food Waste Tipping Fees? Dairy Manure Disposal System Electricity Purchaser Other Carbon Credits | \$435,000 Loan Financing Gap Financing Required \$1,224,868 | Total Sources/Uses \$3,000,000 | Annual Loan Interest Rate Amortization Period (Years) Required Debt Coverage Ratio Maximum Loan to Value Ratio Max. Ann. Debt Service Based on \$106,131 | Service Based on Min. of LTV Required Return on Equity (IRR) cted Return on Equity (IRR) |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Scenario 11 10% No No Scrape Dairy d Puget Sound its PTC | \$325,000 \$1,320,770 \$1,354,230 | \$3,000,000 | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$104.598 | \$104,598 20% 20% |
| Scenario 12 10% No Scrape Dairy Pacific Power PTC Lower Int. Rate | \$250,000 \$993,917 \$1,756,083 | \$3,000,000 | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$78.713 | \$78,713 20% 20% |
| Scenario 13 10% No Flush Dairy Puget Sound PTC Lower Int. Rate | \$250,000 \$1,035,081 \$2,314,919 | \$3,600,000 | 5.00% 20 1.50 80% \$81,973 | \$81,973 20% 20% |